

Venice, October 2003

The Formation of Galaxies: connecting theory to data

Simon D.M. White Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics



A measurement of dark matter clustering

Van Waerbeke et al 2001

- $\langle \gamma^2 \rangle$ is the mean square gravitational shear of background galaxy images within circles of radius 9.
- It is proportional to the mean square lensing mass within these circles
- On scales of a few arcmin the signal is dominated by *nonlinear* DM clustering, i.e. by the dark halos of galaxies and galaxy groups



Structure in the intergalactic medium



Status of *A***CDM as a structure formation model**

- CMB data confirm the material content of the Universe and the linear initial conditions convincingly down to ~ rich cluster scales
- Cosmic shear data confirm the predicted nonlinear structure convincingly down to ~ Milky Way halo scales
- Lyman α forest data *appear* to confirm the model down to halo mass scales ~10¹⁰ M_o or baryon mass scales ~ 10⁹ M_o **Uncertainties/conflicts**
- Slope $n \sim 1$ of the primordial power spectrum
- Possible spectral cut-off on small scales
- Modifications from new DM physics warm dark matter?
 - self-interacting dark matter?
- Data conflicts in high density regions galaxy/cluster cores?
 - substructure abundance?

Small-scale structure in ACDM halos

A rich galaxy cluster halo Springel et al 2001

A 'Milky Way' halo Power et al 2002



Goals for a galaxy formation theory

- Predict the sizes, stellar masses and characteristic velocities of galaxies, their distributions and the relations between them
- Predict bulge/disk ratios, gas/star ratios and their distributions as a function of global galaxy properties
- Predict distributions of chemical abundances within and among galaxies and in the intergalactic medium
- Predict the relation between galaxy properties and galactic environment
- Predict all of the above as a function of redshift and clarify the relation between objects seen at different redshifts
- Clarify the relation between galaxy formation and SMBH formation -- between QSO's and bulge (?) formation

Many of these things are observationally poorly determined

Additional physics needed for galaxy formation

- Gas cooling -- metal content, phase structure, conductivity
- Star formation -- threshold, efficiency, IMF
- Stellar evolution -- spectrophotometric evolution, metal yields
- Dust evolution -- formation, redistribution, heating/cooling
- Wind evolution -- fountains, winds, IGM heating, metal mixing
- AGN evolution -- BH growth, feedback to galaxy and environment
- Reionisation -- suppression of dwarf galaxy formation
- Galaxy interactions/mergers -- morphological transformation

 Only the last can be simulated realistically *ab initio* semi-analytic (phenomenological) techniques
 N.B. SA modelling is a *technique* for studying galaxy formation Published models are *not* intended/expected to be definitive Multiwavelength data will require *more* such modelling

Predicted redshift distributions in K-limited surveys



Present sizes of galaxy disks

Mo, Mao, White 1998



- Angular momentum from hierarchical clustering can explain disk size distribution *provided* disks assemble late
- Angular momentum losses in simulations of spiral galaxy formation in Λ CDM lead to disks which are too small

Galaxy sizes from SDSS data





- Stellar mass -- surface mass density relation is tight and changes its behaviour at M_{*} ~ 3 x 10¹⁰M_o
- Size distribution at low mass as predicted by simple theory

Disk-bulge decompositions of SDSS galaxies



- GIM2D decompositions of a magnitude limited sample of large visually classified galaxies
- Disk (but not bulge) scalings consistent across Hubble types

Light fraction in bulges in the local Universe





The mean fraction of galaxy light in the bulge component is a strong function of absolute magnitude

Independent *r* and *i* band analyses give very similar values

Combining with the SDSS LF's gives volume-averaged values for the fraction of light in bulges

 $i_{\text{bulge}} = \begin{array}{c} 0.308 \pm 0.009 & i \text{ band} \\ 0.297 \pm 0.009 & r \text{ band} \end{array}$

See Lidia Tasca's poster

Galaxy Population is Bimodal in Colour-Colour Space Blanton et al 2003



Trends in Stellar Age Indicators as a Function of Galaxy Structural Parameters

Kauffmann et al 2003



Spectral indices are much more sensitive to age effects than colours

They are less dependent on metallicity and do not depend at all on dust

Galaxies with high/low concentration and mass density contain only old/ some young stars

Trends in Stellar Age Indicators as a Function of Stellar Mass

Kauffmann et al 2003



Transition in spectral properties at 3 x 10^{10} M $_{\odot}$

SA simulation of cluster formation

- Semi-analytic methods allow the simulation of a Coma cluster following all galaxies with $M_B < -12$
 - Nearly all galaxies with $M_B < -16$ retain their own dark halos
 - Protocluster can be analysed at high z



Evolution of the galaxy population in a Coma-like cluster Springel et al 2001 •Formation of the z=2 z=3 galaxies tracked within evolving (sub)halos Luminosity and mass of galaxies 6 Mpc/h is uncertain Positions and velocities are All galaxies $M_{p} \le -18$ followed well z=1 z=0





- Cluster mass is 7 x 10^{14} M_{\odot}/h
- 104 member ellipticals with $M_B^{<}$ -18
- Stars form early
- Most ellipticals assembled early
- Many ellipticals accreted late



Deviations of SDSS early-type galaxies from their mean L – (g-r) relation: environment dependences



Environment dependence of stellar populations



SDSS spectroscopic sample z = 0.05Depth of slice ± 500 km/s

Galaxies with $M_* < 3 \times 10^{10}$

Colour code indicates the value of $D_n(4000)$

Oldest galaxies are in the densest regions

Kauffmann et al 2004

Environment dependence of stellar populations



SDSS spectroscopic sample z = 0.05Depth of slice \pm 500 km/s

Galaxies with $M_* > 3 \times 10^{10}$

Colour code indicates the value of $D_n(4000)$

High mass galaxies are older and are in denser regions

Kauffmann et al 2004

Environment dependence of stellar populations



SDSS spectroscopic sample z = 0.05Depth of slice \pm 500 km/s

Galaxies with type 2 AGN

Colour code indicates AGN luminosity (in [OIII])

Many *high mass* galaxies are AGN

Brighter AGN have younger stars and are less clustered

Kauffmann et al 2004

The Dependence of Galaxy Mass on Local Density

Kauffmann et al 2004



However, the relation between galaxy structure and mass does NOT depend on density! There is NO morphology density relation at fixed stellar mass!



The Dependence of Stellar Age on Local Density

Kauffmann et al 2003



The relation between age and galaxy mass/structural parameters exhibits a *strong* dependence on density.



The Mass-Metallicity Relation in SDSS

The RMS scatter is only +/- 0.1 dex

A pronounced turnover is evident at high mass

Tremonti et al 2003



Testing Closed Box Chemical Evolution Models: Estimating the gas mass fraction

We have no *direct* knowledge about the gas mass fraction but we can approximate it

 $H\alpha_{dered} \rightarrow SFR$ (in the fiber)

 $\Sigma_{\rm SFR} = {\rm SFR} / {\rm A_{fiber}}$

 $\Sigma_{\rm SFR} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\rm gas}$

 $\Sigma_{\text{star}} = (M/L) L_{z \text{ fiber}} / A_{\text{fiber}}$

 $\mu_{\text{fiber}} = \Sigma_{\text{gas}} / (\Sigma_{\text{gas}} + \Sigma_{\text{star}})$

Tremonti et al 2003



Testing Closed Box Chemical Evolution Models

 $Z = y \ln(1/\mu)$

We define the effective yield:

 $y_{eff} = Z / ln(1/\mu)$

 $y_{eff} = y_{true}$ when galaxies are closed boxes

Evidence for metal loss below masses of $10^{10} \, M_{\odot}$

Tremonti et al 2003



Modelling metal enrichment requires following many mixing and exchange processes



De Lucia et al 2003

Modelling the enrichment of a cluster and its galaxies

De Lucia et al 2003



Reproducing the observed mass-metallicity and luminosity-gas fraction relations requires star-formation efficiency to increase with V_{circ} Reproducing the mean metallicities of the galaxies and the ICM requires an (overly) high yield and a high ejection efficiency



Critical issues for galaxy formation

- Origin of the bright and faint cutoffs in the luminosity function
- Relative prevalence of disks/spheroids -- violent/quiescent modes
- Sizes of disks and spheroids -- *J* evolution, merging
- Efficiency/IMF of star formation -- understanding down-sizing
- Efficiency of feedback -- heating/enrichment of galaxies/IGM
- Relation of SMBH growth to galaxy formation -- QSOs/starbursts

Interpretation of large multiwavelength datasets will require careful quantitative analysis using detailed physical models in the context of a standard structure formation paradigm