

At At

Moore's Law for Cosmological N-body Simulations

Springel et al 2005

- Computers double their speed every 18 months
- A naive N-body force calculation needs N² op's pire
- Simulations double their size every 16.5 months
- Progress has been roughly equally due to hardware ¹⁰ and to improved algorithms











Halo Mass Functions in the MS

Springel et al 2005



Mass Power Spectra in the MS



Mass/galaxy autocorrelations in the MS

Springel et al 2005





A high-resolution Milky Way halo

Navarro et al 2006

$$N_{200} \sim 3 \times 10^7$$



Convergence tests on density profile shape

Navarro et al 2006

DM profiles are converged to a few hundred parsecs The inner asymptotic slope must be shallower than -0.9



Density profile shapes at large radii



Density profile shapes at large radii



Hayashi et al 2006

- Mean density profiles of halos of given M_{200} are well fit down to overdensities of 10 by the fitting formula of Navarro et al (2004)
- At lower overdensities they are well fit by the *linear* mass correlation function with bias from Sheth, Mo, Tormen (2001)

Density profile shapes at large radii



 Mean density profiles of halos of given M₂₀₀ are well fit down to overdensities of 10 by the fitting formula of Navarro et al (2004)

• At lower overdensities they are well fit by the *linear* mass correlation function with bias from Sheth, Mo, Tormen (2001)

Galaxy-mass cross-correlations to large radii



 Galaxy mass crosscorrelations are directly measurable through galaxy-galaxy lensing

• They can be predicted from an HOD model and mean halo mass profiles

• Here they are predicted with the Croton et al gal. formation simulation

• On large scale they follow the *nonlinear* ξ_{mm}

Does halo clustering depend on formation history?



Gao, Springel & White 2005

The 20% of halos with the *lowest* formation redshifts in a 30 Mpc/h thick slice

 $M_{halo} \sim 10^{11} M_{\odot}$

Does halo clustering depend on formation history?



Gao, Springel & White 2005

The 20% of halos with the <u>highest</u> formation redshifts in a 30 Mpc/h thick slice

 $M_{halo} \sim 10^{11} M_{\odot}$

Does formation history depend on environment?



Gao, Springel & White 2005

An equal number of randomly chosen DM particles



Halo bias as a function of mass and formation time

Gao, Springel & White 2005

• Bias increases smoothly with formation redshift

• The dependence on formation redshift is strongest at low mass

• This dependence is consistent *neither* with excursion set theory *nor* with HOD models

Lagrangian DM density at the present day

Gao et al 2006





z = 0 Galaxy Light



Evolution of mass and galaxy correlations

Springel, Frenk & White 2006



Precise estimates of autocorrelation functions Luminous red galaxies in the SDSS

Masjedi et al 2005



Precise estimates of autocorrelation functions Luminous red galaxies in the M.S.

From public Millennium Simulation data archive



 Matching the observed correlations on scales below ~ 200kpc requires a radial distribution of satellites differing

(i) from the mass distr'n

(ii) from the simulated subhalo distribution



Large-scale structure at high redshift

Springel, Frenk & White 2006

Large-scale structure in the galaxy distribution evolves very little with redshift

It is as strong at z=8.5 as at z=0



Baryon wiggles in the *galaxy* distribution

Springel et al 2005

Power spectra from the Millennium run divided by a baryonfree Λ CDM spectrum

Galaxy samples are matched to plausible large observational surveys at given z

Assembly bias in simulated galaxy catalogues

Croton, Gao & White 2006



- Take a *simulation* of galaxy formation (Croton et al 2006)
- Calculate galaxy correlations for absolute magnitude limited galaxy samples
- Shuffle galaxy populations among halos of *identical* mass
- Calculate galaxy correlations for the same galaxy samples
- Compare relative bias on large scales as function of mag. limit





Documentation 1. Introduction 1.1 Simulation 1.2 Semi-analytical galaxy formation 1.3 Science questions 1.4 Storing merger trees 1.5 Peano-Hilbert spatial indexing 1.6 Links 2. Relational databases and SQL 3. Tables 3.1 HALO 3.2 FOF 3.3 SAGFUNIT 3.4 SNAPSHOTS 3.5 GALAXY	select D. I HALO, D. SNAPNUM, D. N P as D NP, P1. N P as P1_NP, P2. N P as P2_NP from HALO P1, HALO D P2, HALO D where P1. SNAPNUM=P2. SNAPNUM and P1. I_HALO < P2. I_HALO and P2. I_DESCENDANT = D. I_HALO and P1. N P >= .2*D. N P and P2. N P >= .2*D. N P and D. N_P >= .2*D. N_P Help			
4. Views 5. Functions 6. Demo queries Halo 1	Maximum number of rows to return to the query form: 10 💌 Previous queries :			
Galaxy 1 Halo 2 Halo 3 Halo 4 Halo 5 Galaxy 5 Galaxy 6	Halo 1Galaxy 1Find halos/galaxies at a given redshift (SNAPNUM) within a certain part of the simulation volume (X,Y,Z).Halo 2Find the whole progenitor tree, in depth-first order, of a halo identified by its id (I_HALO)Halo 3Find the progenitors at a given redshift (SNAPNUM) of all halos of mass (N_P) greater than 4000 at a later redshift (SNAPNUM). The progenitors are limited to have mass >= 100.Halo 4Find all the halos of mass (N_P) >= 1000 that have just had a major merger, defined by having at least two progenitors of mass >= 0.2*descendant mass.Halo 5Galaxy 5Find the mass/luminosity function of halos/galaxies at z=0 using logarithmic intervals.Galaxy 6Find the Tully-Fisher relation, Mag_b/v/i/k vs V_vir for galaxies with bulge/total mass ratio < 0.1. Subsample by about 1% (RANDOM between 20000 and 30000).	 Find halos/galaxies at a given redshift (SNAPNUM) within a certain part of the simulation volume (X,Y,Z). Find the whole progenitor tree, in depth-first order, of a halo identified by its id (I_HALO) Find the progenitors at a given redshift (SNAPNUM) of all halos of mass (N_P) greater than 4000 at a later redshift (SNAPNUM). The progenitors are limited to have mass >= 100. Find all the halos of mass (N_P) >= 1000 that have just had a major merger, defined by having at least two progenitors of mass >= 0.2*descendant mass. Find the mass/luminosity function of halos/galaxies at z=0 using logarithmic intervals. Find the Tully-Fisher relation, Mag_b/v/i/k vs V_vir for galaxies with bulge/total mass ratio < 0.1. Subsample by about 1% (RANDOM between 20000 and 30000). 		

 Reformat
 CSV

 Plot (VOPlot)
 This button wil attempt to start up VOPlot within an applet, so that the current result can be explored graphically. This clearly requires that the browser has been configured for viewing applets. DISCLAIMER This functionality has been partially tested only. Any problems are our responsibility, not VOPlot's. It seems that the applet does not work properly with Konqueror.

Query time (in millisec) = 15623 Number of rows retrieved from database = 12 (Maximum # = 10000)

http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/Millennium

i_halo	snapnum	d_np	p1_np	p2_np
2576	60	1079	924	222