## The Millennium Simulation and its use for interpreting LSS



Simon White MPI for Astrophysics





### **Moore's Law for Cosmological N-body Simulations**

Springel et al 2005

- Computers double their speed every 18 months
- A naive N-body force
- calculation needs N<sup>2</sup> op's op's Simulations double their size every 16.5 months Simulations double their
- Progress has been roughly equally due to hardware and to improved algorithms



## **Moore's Law for Cosmological N-body Simulations**

#### Springel et al 2005

Horizon Simulation

- Computers double their speed every 18 months
- A naive N-body force
- calculation needs N<sup>2</sup> op's op's Simulations double their size every 16.5 months Simulations double their
- Progress has been roughly equally due to hardware and to improved algorithms



### **Galaxy autocorrelation function**

Springel et al 2005



For such a large simulation the purely statistical error bars are negligible on  $\xi$ even for galaxies



## Large-scale structure at high redshift

Springel, Frenk & White 2006

Large-scale structure in the galaxy distribution evolves very little with redshift

It is as strong at z=8.5 as at z=0

## **Evolution of mass and galaxy correlations**

#### Springel, Frenk & White 2006



## **Questions for the Millennium**

- How well do the models fit high-z structure?
- **Does WMAP1 → 3 change observed LSS?**
- Which galaxies hold the baryons at high-z?
- What do observed high-z galaxies become?
- How do galaxies grow?
- **Can we measure merging rates?**

## How well do the models fit high-z structure?



## Comparison with COSMOS survey w( $\theta$ )

#### McCracken et al 2007



### **Redshift distributions for single galaxies and pairs to** $B_{AB}$ **= 26**







Meneux et al 2007

 $\langle z \rangle \sim 0.6$ 





#### Coil et al 2007



## **Does WMAP1 -> 3 change observed LSS?**

### WMAP1 vs WMAP3 luminosity functions

#### Wang et al 2007





### WMAP1 vs WMAP3 galaxy correlations

#### Wang et al 2007

z = 0

### WMAP1 vs WMAP3 cosmic SFH

Wang et al 2007



### WMAP1 vs WMAP3 galaxy mass functions

#### Wang et al 2007



### WMAP1 vs WMAP3 high-z galaxy correlations

Wang et al 2007



### WMAP1 vs WMAP3 high-z galaxy correlations

#### Wang et al 2007



## Which galaxies hold the baryons at high-z?











## What do observed high-z galaxies become?

# Colour-stellar mass plot for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M<sub>o</sub>/yr) and their descendants



# Colour-stellar mass plot for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M<sub>o</sub>/yr) and their descendants



# Colour-stellar mass plot for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M<sub>o</sub>/yr) and their descendants



# Stellar mass histograms for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M /yr) and their descendants



# Stellar mass histograms for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M<sub>o</sub>/yr) and their descendants



# Stellar mass histograms for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M<sub>o</sub>/yr) and their descendants



## Bulge-to-total histograms for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M /yr) and their descendants



# Bulge-to-total histograms for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M /yr) and their descendants



# Bulge-to-total histograms for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M /yr) and their descendants



# Autocorrelation functions for z=2 star-forming galaxies (SFR > 5 M<sub>o</sub>/yr) and their descendants



How do galaxies grow?



**DIMENSIONLESS**  
**GROWTH RATES**  
**IN STELLAR MASS**  
Guo & White 2007  
$$R = \langle t_{Hubb} \Delta M / M \Delta t \rangle$$
  
where  $\Delta M/M$  is the

where  $\Delta M/M$  is the stellar mass fraction added over the last ~0.2Gyr through Major Mergers All Mergers Star Formation



DIMENSIONLESS  
GROWTH RATES  
IN STELLAR MASS  
Guo & White 2007  
$$R = \langle t_{Hubb} \Delta M / M \Delta t \rangle$$
where  $\Delta M/M$  is the  
stellar mass fraction  
added over the last

V S added over the last  $\sim 0.2 Gyr$  through Major Mergers All Mergers **Star Formation** 

mass growth rate



**GROWTH RATES IN STELLAR MASS** Guo & White 2007  $R = \langle t_{Hubb} \Delta M / M \Delta t \rangle$ 

**DIMENSIONLESS** 

where ∆M/M is the stellar mass fraction added over the last ~0.2Gyr through Major Mergers All Mergers Star Formation



**DIMENSIONLESS**  
**GROWTH RATES**  
**IN STELLAR MASS**  
Guo & White 2007  
$$R = \langle t_{Hubb} \Delta M / M \Delta t \rangle$$
  
where  $\Delta M/M$  is the

where  $\Delta M/M$  is the stellar mass fraction added over the last ~0.2Gyr through Major Mergers All Mergers Star Formation





## **Can we measure merging rates?**

#### **Small-scale correlations in the MS versus SDSS**



Kitzbichler & White 2008

#### **Major merger rate in the Millennium Simulation**



- galaxy merger rate
- halo merger rate
  - halo accretion rate

Kitzbichler & White 2008

#### Major merger probability as function of mass and redshift



Kitzbichler & White 2008

#### **Timescale for converting close pair counts into merger rates**



 $\Gamma_{\text{merge}} = (\text{Abundance of projected close pairs}) / (\text{Merger rate of such pairs}) \\ \propto r_p M^{-0.3} (8 + z)$ Kitzbichler & White 2008

### How to estimate merger rates from pair counts

- 1 Count close pairs ( $r_p < 50 \text{ or } 30 \text{ kpc}$ ) with well defined criteria on magnitude difference, stellar mass, etc.
- 2 Make completeness and background corrections to estimate abundance of pairs of chosen type at known z
- 3 Divide close pair abundance by the merger timescale to get merger rate (per unit volume) of the chosen pair type e.g. for pairs of ~10<sup>10</sup> M<sub>o</sub> galaxies at  $z \sim 1$  with  $r_p \leq 30$  kpc/h (physical) and  $\Delta v < 300$  km/s  $T_{merge} = 2.0$  Gyr/h

## Happy Birthday, Marc!