

Heating and Cooling in Galaxies and Clusters Garching August 2006

### **Feedback and Galaxy Formation**

Simon White

Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics





15.6 Mpc/h





## Cluster assembly in ACDM

Gao et al 2004

- 'Concordance' cosmology
- Final cluster mass ~10<sup>15</sup> M<sub>o</sub>
- Only DM within  $R_{200}$  at z = 0 is shown











z= 1.00

#K 3

Cluster assembly in ACDM

Gao et al 2004

- 'Concordance' cosmology
- Final cluster mass ~10<sup>15</sup> M<sub>o</sub>
- Only DM within  $R_{200}$  at z = 0 is shown
- DM within
   20 kpc at z = 0
   is shown blue



# Cluster assembly in ACDM Gao et al 2004

- 'Concordance' cosmology
- Final cluster mass ~10<sup>15</sup> M<sub>o</sub>
- Only DM within  $R_{200}$  at z = 0 is shown
- DM within
   20 kpc at z = 0
   is shown blue

#### **Halo Mass Functions in the MS**

Springel et al 2005, Mo & White 2002



#### **Halo Mass Functions in the MS**

Springel et al 2005, Mo & White 2002



### Radiative processes in galaxy formation



Rees & Ostriker 1977 Silk 1977 Binney 1977

A/B

dvn

- When gas clouds of galactic mass collapse: (i) shocks are radiative and collapse unimpeded when cool dvn (ii) shocks are non-radiative and collapse arrested when t cool where quantities are estimated at virial equilibrium
- Galaxies form in case (ii) since fragmentation is possible
- $10^{12} \,\mathrm{M}$ ----- characteristic mass • Primordial cooling curve

### Towards a "modern" theory



Adding : (i) dark matter, (ii) hierarchical clustering, (iii) feedback
 -- cooling always rapid for small masses and early times
 -- only biggest galaxies sit in cooling flows

-- feedback à la Larson (1974) needed to suppress small galaxies

• A good model had: 
$$\Omega_{\rm m} = 0.20$$
,  $\Omega_{\rm gas} / \Omega_{\rm DM} = 0.20$ ,  $\alpha = 1/3$  (n = -1)

### Towards a "modern" theory



Adding : (i) dark matter, (ii) hierarchical clustering, (iii) feedback
 -- cooling always rapid for small masses and early times
 -- only biggest galaxies sit in cooling flows

-- feedback à la Larson (1974) needed to suppress small galaxies

• A good model had:  $\Omega_{m} = 0.20$ ,  $\Omega_{gas} / \Omega_{DM} = 0.20$ ,  $\alpha = 1/3$  (n = -1)

### Luminosity Function in a ACDM model w/o Feedback



Concordance model with cooling and star formation but no feedback --- too many stars --- wrong shape --- cD's too massive

### **Types of Feedback**

Radiative Feedback

- -- UV/X-rays from young stars, AGN, Population III ?
  - -- dissociate H<sub>2</sub> in early structures?
  - -- heat and reionise the IGM
  - -- suppress dwarf galaxy formation?
- Hydrodynamic Energy Input
  - -- flows driven by SN, stellar winds, AGN?
    - -- regulate star formation in galaxies
    - -- drive galactic fountains, winds?
    - -- suppress cooling flows in galaxy clusters
- Material Feedback
  - -- injection of metals/ISM/relativistic plasma into IGM
    - -- enriches the IGM?
    - -- creates bubbles in IGM  $\rightarrow$  gaps in the Ly  $\alpha$  forest?

### **Feedback Epochs**

Pre-reionisation

- --  $H_2$  destruction by Pop III truncates early formation?
- Reionisation
  - -- UV radiation from first galaxies and AGN creates overlapping HII regions, ionising and heating the IGM
  - -- UV/X-rays heat HI causing 21cm emission
- Galaxy formation
  - -- feedback controls efficiency of star formation in protogalaxies
  - -- winds enrich the IGM
  - -- AGN ionise HeII
- Low redshift Universe
  - -- radio source input to cluster atmospheres
  - -- suppression of star formation in massive galaxies?

### **Feedback Effects on Galaxy Formation**

#### Reionisation/radiative feedback

radiative heating produces large effective Jeans mass and suppresses gas fraction in halos with less than the *filter* mass  $f(M, z) = f_0 / (1 + 0.26 M_F(z) / M)^3$  Gnedin 2000; Kravtsov et al 2004

### • Supernova feedback Reheats ISM $\Delta M_{reheat} = \varepsilon_{reheat} \Delta M_{*}$ Martin 1999 Heats halo gas $\Delta E_{halo} = \varepsilon_{halo} \frac{1}{2} \Delta M_{*} V_{SN}^{2}$ White & Frenk 1991 Ejects gas $\Delta M_{eject} = \Delta E_{halo} / \frac{1}{2} V_{vir}^{2} - \Delta M_{reheat}$ Kauffmann et al 1999

#### AGN feedback

"Radio" mode  $\Delta M'_{cool} = \Delta M_{cool} - \eta f_{gas} M_{BH} T_{clus}^{-3}$  Croton 2006 "Quasar" mode builds up BH masses --establishing  $M_{bh}$  -  $\sigma$  relation Kauffmann & Haehnelt 2000 --truncating star formation? Hopkins, Hernquist, Di Matteo, Springel et al

### **Feedback Effects on Galaxy Formation**

To study the influence of these processes on the properties of the observed galaxy population we need...

- (a) Techniques to include them in cosmological simulations(Kauffmann & Haehnelt 2000; Springel et al 2001, 2005; Croton et al 2006)
- (b) Simulations of high enough resolution to follow the assembly of small galaxies
- (c) Simulations of large enough volume to represent bright galaxies, galaxy clusters, quasar hosts...

This requires simulations with a LARGE number of particles



z = 0 Galaxy Light





### Large-scale structure at high redshift

Springel, Frenk & White 2006

Large-scale structure in the galaxy distribution evolves very little with redshift

It is as strong at z=8.5 as at z=0

### Model comparison at high redshift



Details in the treatment of AGN growth and feedback can fix the differences at high redshift



Bower et al 2006



#### Effects of "radio mode" feedback on cooling rates

Croton et al 2006













The effects of "radio mode" feedback on z=0 galaxies

Croton et al 2006

In the absence of a "cure" for the cooling flow problem, the most massive galaxies are:

 too bright
 too blue
 disk-dominated

• With cooling flows suppressed by "radio AGN" these galaxies are less massive red elliptical

### Which aspects of feedback are critical?

- Reionisation filtering has little effect on any but the faintest galaxies. May be relevant for faint Local Group dwarfs? At late times radiative effects are weak because of poor coupling.
- SN feedback can progressively reduce the star formation efficiency in galaxies fainter than L\* and so flatten the faint end slope of the LF. Hard to get a strong enough effect. Insufficient energy to suppress massive galaxy formation.
- An additional mechanism is needed (radio AGN?) to suppress star formation in massive "cooling flow" systems. It should not involve star formation since the most massive galaxies are red. It must become effective at *high* mass and at *late* times

### **Indications from Observations**

- Radio AGN (rather than SN or optical AGN) appear to be linked closely to low redshift cool cores.
- Radio and optical AGN activity appear to be independent phenomena at low redshift (and low luminosity?)
- It is optical (and X-ray) AGN activity which appears to be linked to the *growth* of black hole mass (Sołtan argument) and to the *formation* of bulge stars (SDSS data)
- Little evidence for strong, large-scale outflows from optical/ X-ray AGN

suppressing inflow is a radio phenomenon? -





Documentation 1. Introduction 1.1 Simulation 1.2 Semi-analytical galaxy formation 1.3 Science questions 1.4 Storing merger trees 1.5 Peano-Hilbert spatial indexing 1.6 Links 2. Relational databases and SQL 3. Tables 3.1 HALO 3.2 FOF 3.3 SAGFUNIT 3.4 SNAPSHOTS 2.6 CALAXY	select D. I_HALO, D. SNAPNUM, D. N P as D MP, P1. N P as P1_NP, P2. N P as P2_NP from HALO P1, HALO P2, HALO D where P1. SNAPNUM=P2. SNAPNUM and P1. I_HALO < P2. I_HALO and P1. I_DESCENDANT = D. I_HALO and P2. N P >= .2*D. N P and P2. N P >= .2*D. N P and D. N_F > 1000 Help				
3.5 GALAXY 4. Views 5. Functions 6. Demo queries	Maximum number of rows to return to the query form: 10 💌 Previous queries :				
Galaxy 1 Halo 2 Halo 3 Halo 4 Halo 5 Galaxy 5 Galaxy 6	Halo 1Galaxy 1Find halos/galaxies at a given redshift (SNAPNUM) within a certain part of the simulation volume (X,Y,Z).Halo 2Find the whole progenitor tree, in depth-first order, of a halo identified by its id (I_HALO)Halo 3Find the progenitors at a given redshift (SNAPNUM) of all halos of mass (N_P) greater than 4000 at a later redshift (SNAPNUM). The progenitors are limited to have mass >= 100.Halo 4Find all the halos of mass (N_P) >= 1000 that have just had a major merger, defined by having at least two progenitors of mass >= 0.2*descendant mass.Halo 5Galaxy 5Find the mass/luminosity function of halos/galaxies at z=0 using logarithmic intervals.Galaxy 6Find the Tully-Fisher relation, Mag_b/v/i/k vs V_vir for galaxies with bulge/total mass ratio < 0.1. Subsample by about 1% (RANDOM between 20000 and 30000).				

 Reformat
 CSV

 Plot (VOPlot)
 This button wil attempt to start up VOPlot within an applet, so that the current result can be explored graphically. This clearly requires that the browser has been configured for viewing applets.

 DISCLAIMER This functionality has been partially tested only. Any problems are our responsibility, not VOPlot's. It seems that the applet does not work properly with Konqueror.

Query time (in millisec) = 15623 Number of rows retrieved from database = 12 (Maximum # = 10000)

#### http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/Millennium

i_halo	snapnum	d_np	p1_np	p2_np
2576	60	1079	924	222