

## **The Millenium Simulation...**

## ...and the Local Group



Simon White MPI for Astrophysics Yang-Shyang Li Kapteyn Lab., Groningen





Springel et al 2005: The Virgo Consortium

- DM particle number:  $N = 2160^3 = 10,077,696,000 \approx 10^{10}$
- Box size: L = 500 Mpc/h, Softening:  $\epsilon = 5 \text{ kpc/h} \longrightarrow L/\epsilon = 10^5$
- Initial redshift:  $z_{init} = 127$
- Cosmology:  $\Omega_{tot}=1$ ,  $\Omega_{m}=0.25$ ,  $\Omega_{b}=0.045$ , h=0.73, n=1,  $\sigma_{8}=0.9$
- 343,000 processor-hours on 512 nodes of an IBM Regatta (28 machine days @ 0.2 Tflops using 1 Tbyte RAM)
- Full raw and reduced data stored at 64 redshifts
   27 Tbytes of stored data
   A testbed for simulating the formation of ~10<sup>7</sup> galaxies

#### Simulating galaxies /AGN with the Millennium Run

Springel et al 2005; Croton et al 2005, De Lucia et al 2005

- Build and store merger trees which encode the detailed assembly history of every z=0 halo and of the substructure within it
- Implement models for the formation/evolution of galaxies to follow
  - -- accretion, shock-heating and cooling of diffuse gas into disks
  - -- star formation from the ISM in disks
  - -- stellar evolution
  - -- SN feedback and stellar winds
  - -- chemical enrichment/dust formation
  - -- galaxy merging/morphological transformation

• Implement models for the growth of central black holes to follow

- -- formation and growth from ISM gas during mergers [After Kauffmann
- -- black hole mergers following galaxy mergers

[After Springel et al (2001) and De Lucia et al (2004)]

& Haehnelt (2000)]

### Effect of feedback on the Luminosity Function



Full model with reionisation, AGN and SN feedback

Croton et al 2005



The effects of "radio mode" feedback on z=0 galaxies

Croton et al 2005

In the absence of a "cure" for the cooling flow problem, the most massive galaxies are:
 too bright
 too blue
 disk-dominated

 With cooling flows suppressed by "radio AGN" these galaxies are less massive red elliptical







## **Galaxy autocorrelation function**

Springel et al 2005



#### Precise estimates of autocorrelation functions Luminous red galaxies in the SDSS

#### Masjedi et al 2005



## Precise estimates of autocorrelation functions Luminous red galaxies in the M.S.

From public Millennium Simulation data archive



## Satellite distributions around MW-like galaxies



- Host galaxies:  $-19.8 > M_{_{\rm B}} > -20.2$ , B/T < 0.3, isolated
- Satellites:  $-15.6 > M_B > -18.0$ ,  $\langle N_{sat} \rangle = 2.0$  at  $r < 2R_{vir}$
- About 20,000 hosts in the Millennium Simulation
- Mass profile mirrored *only* if satellites without subhalos are included

## Statistics of "Milky Ways" and "Local Groups"

	$200 < V_{max} < 250$	$150 < V_{max} < 300$
Central g	galaxies of halos or subhalos	5
Number of galaxies	166,090	699,177
0.3 > B/T > 0.1	62,605	271,857
	Pairs of such galaxies	
500 kpc < D < 1.0 Mpc	1,596	23,429
0.3 > B/T > 0.1	241	3,532
Iso	lated pairs of such galaxies	
$D_{next} > 1.0 Mpc$	1,165	17,276
0.3 > B/T > 0.1	181	2,903
Isolated pa	airs with LG-like separation	velocity
$-60 > V_{sen} > -180$	778	8,814
0.3 > B/T > 0.1	118	1,325

# Estimating halo masses from V





- Both the "virial mass"  $(M_{200})$  and the bound subhalo mass correlate tightly with  $V_{max}$ 
  - Scatter is less than 0.1 dex r.m.s.
  - $V_{max}$  is close to the level of observed rotation curve at large radii for standard disk models

## **Does the Timing Argument work?**

Kahn & Woltjer's (1959) timing argument treats the MW/M31 system as two point masses on a radial orbit approaching for the first time.

Then observed separation (700 kpc), relative velocity (-120 km/s) and the current time (13.6 Gyr)

The orbital phase, semi-major axis and total mass

This gives  $M(MW) + M(M31) = 4.1 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ 

- This is a lower limit if one allows for transverse motion
- The real mass distributions are extended what mass is measured?
- How well does this work for "realistic" mass distributions?



- Isolated pairs with 500 kpc < D < 1.0 Mpc
- 0.3 > B/T > 0.1 for both galaxies
- 150 km/s  $< V_{max} < 300$  km/s for both galaxies

• -60 km/s > 
$$V_{sep}$$
 > -180 km/s

1325 pairs

## Local Group "Timing Argument" mass estimates with a ACDM prior



Find ratio of the true mass to the "Timing Argument" estimate for each Millennium Simulation pair

Multiply by the TA estimate for the Local Group based on D = 700 kpcV = -123 km/st = 13.6 Gyri.e.  $M_{TA} = 4.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ M}_{\odot}$ 

 $1.49 \text{ x } 10^{12} \text{ M}_{\odot} < \text{M}_{\text{true}} < 7.82 \text{ x } 10^{12} \text{ M}_{\odot}$  at 90% confidence

Note:  $M_{200}$  is measured at radius  $R_{200} = 207 (M_{200} / 10^{12} M_{\odot})^{1/3} \text{ kpc}$ 

## How about the Timing Argument for Leo I?

Leo I is at a distance of 230 kpc from the Milky Way and is moving away at 177 km/s. In a simple radial orbit model it must have completed a full orbit and be approaching apocentre for the 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

In this case the observed quantities + the system age (13.6 Gyr) The orbital phase, semi-major axis and MW mass

This gives M(MW) = 1.4 x  $10^{12}$  M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>

As before ---

- This is a lower limit if one allows for transverse motion
- The real mass distributions are extended what mass is measured?
- How well does this work for "realistic" mass distributions?



- Isolated host with no massive companions at D < 700 kpc
- Host 0.3 > B/T > 0.1 and 150 km/s  $< V_{max} < 300$  km/s
- Satellite with  $V_{max}$  < 80 km/s and  $M_{B}$  < -16.75
- $V_{sep}$  positive, or 270 km/s >  $V_{sep}$  > 90 km/s

## Milky Way "Timing Argument" mass estimates with a ACDM prior



Find ratio of the true mass to the Timing Argument estimate for each Millennium Simulation "MW"

Multiply by the TA estimate for the real MW based on D(LeoI) = 230 kpcV = +177 km/st = 13.6 Gyri.e.  $M_{TA} = 1.4 \times 10^{12} \text{ M}_{\odot}$ 

 $0.9 \text{ x } 10^{12} \text{ M}_{\odot} < \text{M}_{\text{true}} < 10.0 \text{ x } 10^{12} \text{ M}_{\odot}$  at 90% confidence

The TA mass estimate is usually a substantial underestimate





Documentation 1. Introduction 1.1 Simulation 1.2 Semi-analytical galaxy formation 1.3 Science questions 1.4 Storing merger trees 1.5 Peano-Hilbert spatial indexing 1.6 Links 2. Relational databases and SQL 3. Tables 3.1 HALO 3.2 FOF 3.3 SAGFUNIT 3.4 SNAPSHOTS 2.6 CALAXY	<pre>select D. I_HALO, D. SNAPNUM, D. N_P as D_NP, P1. N_P as P1_NP, P2. N_P as P2_NP from HALO P1, HALO P2, HALO D where P1. SNAPNUM=P2. SNAPNUM and P1. I_HALO &lt; P2. I_HALO and P1. I_DESCENDANT = D. I_HALO and P2. I_DESCENDANT = D. I_HALO and P1. N_P &gt;= .2*D.N_P and P2. N_P &gt;= .2*D.N_P and D. N_P &gt; 1000</pre>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
3.5 GALAXY 4. Views 5. Functions 6. Demo queries	Maximum number of rows to return to the query form: 10 🔽	nup.// w w w.g-v0.01g	
6. Demo queries Halo 1 Galaxy 1 Halo 2 Halo 3 Halo 4 Halo 5 Galaxy 5 Galaxy 6	Ious queries :       ■         Ious queries :       ■		

 Reformat
 CSV

 Plot (VOPlot)
 This button wil attempt to start up VOPlot within an applet, so that the current result can be explored graphically. This clearly requires that the browser has been configured for viewing applets.

 Plot (VOPlot)
 DISCLAIMER This functionality has been partially tested only. Any problems are our responsibility, not VOPlot's.

Query time (in millisec) = 15623 Number of rows retrieved from database = 12 (Maximum # = 10000)

i_halo	snapnum	d_np	p1_np	p2_np
2576	60	1079	924	222