Lecture 3

- Cosmological parameter dependence of the temperature power spectrum
- Polarisation of the CMB
- Gravitational waves and their imprints on the CMB





Matching Solutions

• We have a very good analytical solution valid at low and high frequencies during the radiation era: $\varphi \equiv qr_s$

$$\frac{\delta\rho_{\gamma}}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}} - \Psi = \zeta \left(-\cos\varphi + \frac{2}{\varphi}\sin\varphi \right)$$

 Now, match this to a high-frequency solution valid at the last-scattering surface (when R is no longer small)

$$\frac{\delta\rho_{\gamma}}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}} + \Phi = A\cos(qr_s) + B\sin(qr_s) - R\Phi$$

Matching Solutions

• We have a very good analytical solution valid at low and high frequencies during the radiation era: $\varphi \equiv qr_s$

$$\frac{\delta\rho_{\gamma}}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}} - \Psi = \zeta \left(-\cos\varphi + \frac{2}{\varphi}\sin\varphi \right)$$

 Now, match this to a high-frequency solution valid at the last-scattering surface (when R is no longer small)

Slightly improved solution, with a weak time dependence of R using the WKB method [Peebles & Yu (1970)]

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\gamma}}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}} + \Phi = (1+R)^{-1/4} [A\cos(qr_s) + B\sin(qr_s)] - R\Phi$$

High-frequency Solution(*) at the Last Scattering Surface

$$rac{\delta
ho_\gamma}{4ar
ho_\gamma} + \varPhi = rac{\zeta}{5} \Big\{ 3R\mathcal{T}(m{q}) - (1+R)^{-1/4} \mathcal{S}(m{q}) \cos[qr_s + heta(m{q})] \Big\}$$

where T(q), S(q), $\theta(q)$ are "transfer functions" that smoothly interpolate two limits as $\mathbf{q} << \mathbf{q}_{EQ}$: $\mathcal{S} \to 1$, $\mathcal{T} \to 1$, $\theta \to 0$ $\mathbf{q} >> \mathbf{q}_{EQ}$: $\mathcal{S} \to 5$, $\mathcal{T} \propto \ln q / q^2$, $\theta \to 0.062\pi$

"EQ" for "matter-radiation Equality epoch"

with $q_{EQ} = a_{EQ}H_{EQ} \sim 0.01 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, giving $I_{EQ} = q_{EQ}r_{L} \sim 140$

 (*) To a good approximation, the low-frequency solution is given by setting R=0 because sound waves are not important at large scales

High-frequency Solution(*) at the Last Scattering Surface

$$rac{\delta
ho_\gamma}{4ar
ho_\gamma} + \varPhi = rac{\zeta}{5} \Big\{ 3R\mathcal{T}(m{q}) - (1+R)^{-1/4} \mathcal{S}(m{q}) \cos[qr_s + heta(m{q})] \Big\}$$

where T(q), S(q), $\theta(q)$ are "transfer functions" that smoothly interpolate two limits as

q << qeq: $S \to 1, T \to 1, \theta \to 0$ **q >> qeq:** $S \to 5, T \propto \ln q/q^2, \theta \to 0.062\pi$ "EQ" for "mottor rediction Equality analy"

with qECDue to the decay ofQrL ~ 140• (*) To a
given b
importation and the radiation dominated eragravitational potential during
the radiation dominated erasolution is
not

High-frequency Solution(*) at the Last Scattering Surface

$$rac{\delta
ho_\gamma}{4ar
ho_\gamma} + \varPhi = rac{\zeta}{5} \Big\{ 3R\mathcal{T}(m{q}) - (1+R)^{-1/4} \mathcal{S}(m{q}) \cos[qr_s + heta(m{q})] \Big\}$$

where T(q), S(q), $\theta(q)$ are "transfer functions" that smoothly interpolate two limits as

q << qeq: $\mathcal{S} \to 1$, $\mathcal{T} \to 1$, $\theta \to 0$ **q >> qeq:** $\mathcal{S} \to 5$, $\mathcal{T} \propto \ln q/q^2$, $\theta \to 0.062\pi$

"EQ" for "matter-radiation Equality epoch"

with $q_{EQ} = a_{EQ}H_{EQ} \sim 0.01 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, giv

 (*) To a good approximation, the lowgiven by setting R=0 because sound important at large scales

Due to the neutrino anisotropic stress

High-frequency Solution(*) at the Last Scattering Surface

$$\frac{\delta\rho_{\gamma}}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}} + \Phi = \frac{\zeta}{5} \Big\{ 3R\mathcal{T}(q) - (1+R)^{-1/4} \mathcal{S}(q) \cos[qr_s + \theta(q)] \Big\}$$

$$\xrightarrow{q \to 0(*)} - \frac{\zeta}{5}$$

This should agree with the Sachs-Wolfe result: $\Phi/3$; thus,

حر

$$\Phi=-3\zeta/5\,$$
 in the matter-dominated era

 (*) To a good approximation, the low-frequency solution is given by setting R=0 because sound waves are not important at large scales

High-frequency Solution(*) at the Last Scattering Surface

$$\frac{\delta\rho_{\gamma}}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}} + \Phi = \frac{\zeta}{5} \Big\{ 3R\mathcal{T}(q) - (1+R)^{-1/4} \mathcal{S}(q) \cos[qr_s + \theta(q)] \Big\}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{q_{EQ}} > \mathbf{1}} - (1+R)^{-1/4} \zeta \cos[qr_s + \theta(q)]$$

• The amplitude of the oscillation on small scales is a factor of $5(1+R)^{-1/4}$ times the Sachs-Wolfe plateau!

Effect of Baryons

$$\frac{\delta \rho_{\gamma}}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}} + \Phi = \frac{\zeta}{5} \left\{ \frac{3R\mathcal{T}(q)}{-(1+R)^{-1/4}} S(q) \cos[qr_s + \theta(q)] \right\}$$
Shift the zero-point of oscillations reduce the amplitude of oscillations oscillations

 (*) To a good approximation, the low-frequency solution is given by setting R=0 because sound waves are not important at large scales













Effect of Total Matter

$$\frac{\delta\rho_{\gamma}}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}} + \Phi = \frac{\zeta}{5} \Big\{ 3R\mathcal{T}(q) - (1+R)^{-1/4} \mathcal{S}(q) \cos[qr_s + \theta(q)] \Big\}$$

where T(q), S(q), $\theta(q)$ are "transfer functions" that smoothly interpolate two limits as $\mathbf{q} << \mathbf{q}_{EQ}$: $\mathcal{S} \to 1$, $\mathcal{T} \to 1$, $\theta \to 0$ $\mathbf{q} >> \mathbf{q}_{EQ}$: $\mathcal{S} \to 5$, $\mathcal{T} \propto \ln q / q^2$, $\theta \to 0.062\pi$

"EQ" for "matter-radiation Equality epoch"

with $q_{EQ} = a_{EQ}H_{EQ} \sim 0.01 (\Omega_M h^2/0.14) Mpc^{-1}$



Recap

- Decay of gravitational potentials boosts the temperature anisotropy dT/T at high multipoles by 5(1+R)^{-1/4}
 compared to the Sachs-Wolfe plateau
 - Where this boost starts depends on the total matter density
- Baryon density shifts the zero-point of the oscillation, boosting the odd peaks relative to the even peaks
 - However, the WKB factor (1+R)^{-1/4} and damping make the boosting of the 3rd and 5th peaks not so obvious

Not quite there yet...

The first peak is too low

• We need to include the "integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect"

How to fill zeros between the peaks?

• We need to include the Doppler shift of light

Doppler Shift of Light

$$\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0} = \frac{\delta \rho_{\gamma}(t_L, \hat{n}r_L)}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}(t_L)} + \Phi(t_L, \hat{n}r_L) - \hat{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_B(t_L, \hat{n}r_L)$$

VB is the bulk velocity of a baryon fluid

- Using the velocity potential, we write $-\hat{n}\cdot\nabla\delta u_B/a$
- In tight coupling, $\ \delta u_B = \delta u_{oldsymbol{\gamma}}$
- Using energy conservation,

$$\delta u_{\gamma} = (3a^2/q^2)\partial(\delta\rho_{\gamma}/4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma})/\partial t$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \hat{n}^{i} = -\gamma^{i} \\ & \hat{n}^{i} = -\gamma^{i} \\ & \underline{Coming\ distance\ (r)}} \\ & x^{i} = \hat{n}^{i}r \\ & r(t) = \int_{t}^{t_{0}} \frac{dt'}{a(t')} \end{aligned}$

Doppler Shift of Light

$$\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0} = \frac{\delta \rho_{\gamma}(t_L, \hat{n}r_L)}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}(t_L)} + \Phi(t_L, \hat{n}r_L) - \hat{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_B(t_L, \hat{n}r_L)$$

VB is the bulk velocity of a baryon fluid

Velocity potential is a

time-derivative

of the energy density:

cos(qr_s) becomes

sin(qr_s)!

- Using the velocity potential, we write $-\hat{n}\cdot\nabla\delta u_B/a$
- In tight coupling, $\ \delta u_B = \delta u_{oldsymbol{\gamma}}$
- Using energy conservation,

 $\delta u_{\gamma} = (3a^2/q^2) \partial (\delta \rho_{\gamma}/4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma})/\partial t$

Temperature Anisotropy from Doppler Shift

$$\frac{q}{a}\delta u_{\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\zeta}{5}(1+R)^{-3/4}\mathcal{S}(\kappa)\sin[qr_s+\theta(\kappa)]$$

• To this, we should multiply the damping factor

$$\exp(-q^2/q_{\rm Damp}^2)$$



(Early) ISW



Gravitational potentials still decay after last-scattering because the Universe then was not completely matter-dominated yet



We are ready!

$$\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0} = \frac{\delta \rho_{\gamma}(t_L, \hat{n}r_L)}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}(t_L)} + \Phi(t_L, \hat{n}r_L) - \hat{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_B(t_L, \hat{n}r_L)$$

$$\frac{\delta\rho_{\gamma}}{4\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}} + \Phi = \frac{\zeta}{5} \Big\{ 3R\mathcal{T}(\kappa) - (1+R)^{-1/4} \mathcal{S}(\kappa) \cos[qr_s + \theta(\kappa)] \Big\}$$

$$\frac{q}{a}\delta u_{\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\zeta}{5}(1+R)^{-3/4}\mathcal{S}(\kappa)\sin[qr_s+\theta(\kappa)]$$

 We are ready to understand the effects of all the cosmological parameters.




















Effects of Relativistic Neutrinos

- To see the effects of relativistic neutrinos, we artificially increase the number of neutrino species from 3 to 7
 - Great energy density in neutrinos, i.e., greater energy density in radiation
- Longer radiation domination -> More ISW and boosts due to potential decay





(2): Viscosity Effect on the Amplitude of Sound Waves



Bashinsky & Seljak (2004)

(3): Change in the Silk Damping

- Greater neutrino energy density implies greater Hubble expansion rate, $H^2 = 8\pi G \sum \rho_{\alpha}/3$
- This **reduces** the sound horizon in proportion to H⁻¹, as $r_s \sim c_s H^{-1}$
- This also reduces the diffusion length, but in proportional to $H^{-1/2}$, as $a/q_{silk} \sim (\sigma_T n_e H)^{-1/2}$ Consequence of the random walk!
- As a result, I_{silk} decreases relative to the first peak position, enhancing the Silk damping







(4): Viscosity Effect on the Phase of Sound Waves







Two Other Effects

Spatial curvature

• We have been assuming spatially-flat Universe with zero curvature (i.e., Euclidean space). What if it is curved?

Optical depth to Thomson scattering in a low-redshift Universe

 We have been assuming that the Universe is transparent to photons since the last scattering at z=1090. What if there is an extra scattering in a low-redshift Universe?

Spatial Curvature

- It changes the angular diameter distance, d_A, to the last scattering surface; namely,
 - $r_{L} \rightarrow d_{A} = R sin(r_{L}/R) = r_{L}(1-r_{L}^{2}/6R^{2}) + ... for positively-curved space$
 - $r_{L} \rightarrow d_{A} = R sinh(r_{L}/R) = r_{L}(1 + r_{L}^{2}/6R^{2}) + ...$ for negatively-curved space

Smaller angles (larger multipoles) for a negatively curved Universe







Optical Depth

- Extra scattering by electrons in a low-redshift Universe damps temperature anisotropy
- $C_{I} \rightarrow C_{I} \exp(-2\tau)$ at I > 10
 - where τ is the optical depth

$$\tau = c \sigma_{\mathcal{T}} \int_{t_{\text{re-ionisation}}}^{t_0} dt \ \bar{n}_e$$





Important consequence of the optical depth

- Since the power spectrum is uniformly suppressed by exp(-2τ) at I>~10, we cannot determine the amplitude of the power spectrum of the gravitational potential, P_φ(q), independently of τ.
 - Namely, what we constrain is the combination: $\exp(-2\tau)P_{\phi}(q)\propto \exp(-2\tau)A_s$
- Breaking this degeneracy requires an independent determination of the optical depth. This requires
 POLARISATION of the CMB.

Cosmological Parameters Derived from the Power Spectrum

	WMAP	Planck	+CMB Lensing
$100 \Omega_B h^2$	2.264 ± 0.050	2.222 ± 0.023	2.226 ± 0.023
$\Omega_D h^2$	0.1138 ± 0.0045	0.1197 ± 0.0022	0.1186 ± 0.0020
$arOmega_A$	0.721 ± 0.025	0.685 ± 0.013	0.692 ± 0.012
n	0.972 ± 0.013	0.9655 ± 0.0062	0.9677 ± 0.0060
$10^{9}A_{s}$	2.203 ± 0.067	$2.198\substack{+0.076 \\ -0.085}$	2.139 ± 0.063
au	0.089 ± 0.014	0.078 ± 0.019	0.066 ± 0.016
<u>t</u> ₀ [100 Myr]	137.4 ± 1.1	138.13 ± 0.38	137.99 ± 0.38
H_0	70.0 ± 2.2	67.31 ± 0.96	67.81 ± 0.92
$\Omega_M h^2$	0.1364 ± 0.0044	0.1426 ± 0.0020	0.1415 ± 0.0019
$10^9 A_s e^{-2 au}$	1.844 ± 0.031	1.880 ± 0.014	1.874 ± 0.013
σ_8	0.821 ± 0.023	0.829 ± 0.014	0.8149 ± 0.0093

CMB Polarisation



• CMB is weakly polarised!



Photo Credit: TALEX

Photo Credit: TALEX

horizontally polarised

Photo Credit: TALEX



Necessary and sufficient conditions for generating polarisation

- You need to have two things to produce linear polarisation
 - 1. Scattering
 - 2. Anisotropic incident light
- However, the Universe does not have a preferred direction. How do we generate anisotropic incident light?

Need for a local quadrupole temperature anisotropy



• How do we create a local temperature quadrupole?



(l,m)=(2,2)



Quadrupole temperature anisotropy seen from an electron

Quadrupole Generation: A Punch Line

- When Thomson scattering is efficient (i.e., tight coupling between photons and baryons via electrons), the distribution of photons from the rest frame of baryons is isotropic
- Only when tight coupling relaxes, a local quadrupole temperature anisotropy in the rest frame of a photon-baryon fluid can be generated
- In fact, "a local temperature anisotropy in the rest frame of a photon-baryon fluid" is equal to viscosity

Stokes Parameters [Flat Sky, Cartesian coordinates]



Stokes Parameters change under coordinate rotation



Compact Expression

• Using an imaginary number, write $\,Q+iU\,$

Then, under coordinate rotation we have

$$\tilde{Q} + i\tilde{U} = \exp(-2i\varphi)(Q + iU)$$

 $\tilde{Q} - i\tilde{U} = \exp(2i\varphi)(Q - iU)$

Alternative Expression

• With the polarisation amplitude, P, and angle, α , defined by

$$P\equiv\sqrt{Q^2+U^2}, \ U/Q\equiv \tan 2lpha$$

We write

$$Q + iU = P \exp(2i\alpha)$$

Then, under coordinate rotation we have

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha - \varphi$$

and P is invariant under rotation
E and B decomposition

- That Q and U depend on coordinates is not very convenient...
 - Someone said, "I measured Q!" but then someone else may say, "No, it's U!". They flight to death, only to realise that their coordinates are 45 degrees rotated from one another...
- The best way to avoid this unfortunate fight is to define a coordinate-independent quantity for the distribution of polarisation **Patterns** in the sky

To achieve this, we need to go to Fourier space



Fourier-transforming
Stokes Parameters?
$$Q(\theta) + iU(\theta) = \int \frac{d^2\ell}{(2\pi)^2} a_{\ell} \exp(i\ell \cdot \theta)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell \cos \phi_{\ell}, \ell \sin \phi_{\ell})$$

- As Q+iU changes under rotation, the Fourier coefficients $a_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ change as well
- So...

(*) Nevermind the overall minus sign. This is just for convention

Tweaking Fourier Transform

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + iU(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int \frac{d^2\ell}{(2\pi)^2} \ a_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \exp(i\boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

where we write the coefficients as(*) $a_{\ell} = -_2 a_{\ell} \exp(2i\phi_{\ell})$

- Under rotation, the azimuthal angle of a Fourier wavevector, ϕ_l , changes as $\phi_\ell \to \tilde{\phi}_\ell = \phi_\ell \varphi$
- This **Cancels** the factor in the left hand side: $\tilde{Q} + i\tilde{U} = \exp(-2i\varphi)(Q + iU)$

Seljak (1997); Zaldarriaga & Seljak (1997); Kamionkowski, Kosowky, Stebbins (1997)

Tweaking Fourier Transform

• We thus write

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \pm iU(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\int \frac{d^2\ell}{(2\pi)^2} \pm 2a_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \exp(\pm 2i\phi_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} + i\boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

• And, defining $\pm_2 a_{\ell} \equiv -(E_{\ell} \pm i B_{\ell})$

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \pm iU(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int \frac{d^2\ell}{(2\pi)^2} \left(E_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \pm iB_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \right) \exp(\pm 2i\phi_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} + i\boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

By construction E_l and B_l do not pick up a factor of exp(2iφ) under coordinate rotation. That's great! What kind of polarisation patterns do these quantities represent?

Pure E, B Modes

• Q and U produced by E and B modes are given by

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int \frac{d^2 \ell}{(2\pi)^2} (E_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \cos 2\phi_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} - B_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \sin 2\phi_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}) \exp(i\boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
$$U(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int \frac{d^2 \ell}{(2\pi)^2} (E_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \sin 2\phi_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} + B_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \cos 2\phi_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}) \exp(i\boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Let's consider Q and U that are produced by a single Fourier mode
- Taking the x-axis to be the direction of a wavevector, we obtain $Q(\theta) = E_{\ell} \exp(i\ell\theta)$

$$U(\theta) = B_{\ell} \exp(i\ell\theta)$$

Pure E, B Modes



• Taking the x-axis to be the direction of a wavevector, we obtain $Q(heta) = E_\ell \exp(i\ell\theta)$

$$U(\theta) = B_{\ell} \exp(i\ell\theta)$$

Geometric Meaning (1)



- <u>Emode</u>: Polarisation directions parallel or perpendicular to the wavevector
- **<u>B mode</u>**: Polarisation directions 45 degree tilted with respect to the wavevector



- **<u>Emode</u>**: Stokes Q, defined with respect to ℓ as the x-axis
- **<u>B mode**</u>: Stokes U, defined with respect to ℓ as the y-axis

IMPORTANT: These are all **coordinate-independent** statements

Parity



- **E mode**: Parity even
- **<u>B mode</u>**: Parity odd

Parity



- **E mode**: Parity even
- **<u>B mode</u>**: Parity odd

Power Spectra $\langle E_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} E_{\boldsymbol{\ell}'}^* \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta_D^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{\ell} - \boldsymbol{\ell}') C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{EE}$ $\langle B_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} B_{\boldsymbol{\ell}'}^* \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta_D^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{\ell} - \boldsymbol{\ell}') C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{BB}$ $\langle T_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} E_{\boldsymbol{\ell}'}^* \rangle = \langle T_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^* E_{\boldsymbol{\ell}'} \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta_D^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{\ell} - \boldsymbol{\ell}') C_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{TE}$

 However, <EB> and <TB> vanish for paritypreserving fluctuations because <EB> and <TB> change sign under parity flip





The Single Most Important Thing You Need to Remember

 Polarisation is generated by the local quadrupole temperature anisotropy, which is proportional to Viscosity



(l,m)=(2,2)



Local quadrupole temperature anisotropy seen from an electron





Polarisation pattern you will see





E-mode Power Spectrum

Viscosity at the last-scattering surface is given by the spatial gradient of the velocity:

$$\begin{split} \Delta T_{ij} &= a^2 \partial_i \partial_j \pi_{\rm p} \\ &= -\frac{32}{45} \frac{\bar{\rho}_{\gamma}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{T}} \bar{n}_e} \partial_i \partial_j \delta u_{\rm p} \end{split}$$

• Velocity potential is $Sin(qr_L)$, whereas the temperature power spectrum is predominantly $Cos(qr_L)$

Bennett et al. (2013)

WMAP 9-year Power Spectrum



Planck Collaboration (2016)

Planck 29-mo Power Spectrum



South Pole Telescope Collaboration (2018)

SPTPol Power Spectrum





[1] Trough in T -> Peak in E

because $C_{I}^{TT} \sim cos^2(qr_s)$ whereas $C_{I}^{EE} \sim sin^2(qr_s)$

[2] T damps -> E rises

because T damps by viscosity, whereas E is created by viscosity

[3] E Peaks are sharper

because C_I^{TT} is the sum of cos²(qr_L) and Doppler shift's sin²(qr_L), whereas C_I^{EE} is just sin²(qr_L)



[1] Trough in T -> Peak in E

because $C_{I}^{TT} \sim cos^2(qr_s)$ whereas $C_{I}^{EE} \sim sin^2(qr_s)$

[2] T damps -> E rises

because T damps by viscosity, whereas E is created by viscosity

[3] E Peaks are sharper

because C_I^{TT} is the sum of cos²(qr_L) and Doppler shift's sin²(qr_L), whereas C_I^{EE} is just sin²(qr_L)

Polarisation from Re-ionisation



Polarisation from Re-ionisation



Cross-correlation between T and E

- Velocity potential is $Sin(qr_L)$, whereas the temperature power spectrum is predominantly $Cos(qr_L)$
- Thus, the TE correlation is Sin(qr_L)Cos(qr_L) which can change sign

Bennett et al. (2013)

WMAP 9-year Power Spectrum



Planck Collaboration (2016)

Planck 29-mo Power Spectrum



South Pole Telescope Collaboration (2018)

SPTPol Power Spectrum



TE correlation is useful for understanding physics

- Troughly traces gravitational potential, while E traces velocity $q^2\pi_\gamma\propto -q^2\delta u_\gamma\propto {f \nabla}\cdot {f v}_B$
- With TE, we witness how plasma falls into gravitational potential wells!

Coulson et al. (1994)

Example: Gravitational Effects



Gravitational Waves

• GW changes the distances between two points



Laser Interferometer




Laser Interferometer



LIGO detected GW from binary blackholes, with the wavelength of thousands of kilometres

But, the primordial GW affecting the CMB has a wavelength of **billions of light-years**!! How do we find it?

Detecting GW by CMB

Isotropic electro-magnetic fields

Detecting GW by CMB



Detecting GW by CMB



Generation and erasure of tensor quadrupole (viscosity)

- Gravitational waves create quadrupole temperature anisotropy [i.e., tensor viscosity of a photonbaryon fluid] gravitationally, without velocity potential
- Still, tight-coupling between photons and baryons erases the tensor viscosity exponentially before the last scattering

$$\left[\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right]_{\rm ISW} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \int_{t_L}^{t_0} dt \ \dot{D}_{ij}(t,\hat{n}r)\hat{n}^i \hat{n}^j$$

negligible contribution before the last scattering

Propagation of cosmological gravitational waves

$$\ddot{D}_{ij} + \frac{3\dot{a}}{a}\dot{D}_{ij} - \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2 D_{ij} = 16\pi G\pi_{ij}^{\text{tensor}}$$

- Tensor anisotropic stress can do two things:
 - It can generate gravitational waves
 - It can *damp* gravitational waves (neutrino anisotropic stress)

But we shall ignore the tensor anisotropic stress for this lecture

Super-horizon Solution $\ddot{D}_{ij} + \frac{3\dot{a}}{a}\dot{D}_{ij} = 0$ $D_{ij} = \text{constant} + \text{decaying term}$

- Super-horizon tensor perturbation is conserved! [Remember ζ for the scalar perturbation]
 - Thus, no ISW temperature anisotropy on super-horizon scales
- It does not look like "gravitational waves", but it will start oscillating and behaving like waves once it enters the horizon

η: "conformal time", or the distance traveled by photons

Matter-dominated Solution

$$D_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}}(t) = C_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}} \frac{3j_1(q\eta)}{q\eta} \propto \frac{1}{a(t)}$$
$$\dot{D}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}}(t) = -C_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}} \frac{q}{a(t)} \frac{3j_2(q\eta)}{q\eta} \propto \frac{1}{a^2(t)}$$

- $\partial D_{ij}/\partial t$ gives the ISW. It peaks at the horizon crossing, $q\eta \sim 2$
- The energy density is given by (∂D_{ij}/∂t)², which indeed decays like radiation, a⁻⁴





Scale-invariant Temperature C_I from GW 100.00 This is NOT a Silk-10.00 like damping! 1.00 It's not exponential, but a 0.10 power-law due simply to redshifts 0.0 100 10 1000

Detecting GW by CMB Polarisation



Detecting GW by CMB Polarisation





(l,m)=(2,2)



Local quadrupole temperature anisotropy seen from an electron











 E and B modes are produced nearly equally, but on small scales B is smaller than E because B vanishes on the horizon



 E and B modes are produced nearly equally, but on small scales B is smaller than E because B vanishes on the horizon



 E and B modes are produced nearly equally, but on small scales B is smaller than E because B vanishes on the horizon











