Subhalo abundance matching to model galaxy-halo connection in the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey

#### Shun Saito

#### Kavli IPMU

(→ Max Planck Institut für Astrophysik from April 2016)

**SS**, Leauthaud, Hearin, Bundy, Zentner, Behroozi, Reid et al. & the BOSS collaboration, will appear on arXiv soon

Theoretical and Observational Progress on Large-scale Structure of the Universe @MPA/ESO, Garching, Germany July 21st 2015

#### Importance of Galaxy-Halo connection

- ♦ BOSS provides the tightest cosmological constraints to date.
- Need to be checked against *realistic mock* catalogs where a galaxy-halo model (e.g. HOD parameters) is assumed.
- ♦ A brief summary of Alexie's talk
  - -S82MGC shows **BOSS CMASS** is **NOT** a 'Constant Stellar Mass' sample
  - -Complicated selection effect in a **redshift-dependent** way color-cut at low z
    - luminosity-cut at high z
- ◆ Galaxy Formation: BOSS galaxies firmly above 10<sup>12</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>
   -'Hot' halo mode: what determines color of massive galaxies?
   -not all dead and red: ~25% SF disk Masters et al. (2011)
   ~37% blue cloud Montero-Dorta et al. (2014)

## Modeling CMASS via SHAM

Based on Subhalo Abundance Matching (SHAM) e.g., Kravstov et al. (2004)

- include the stellar mass incompleteness and reproduce dn/dz by design
- fit to total SMF and CMASS  $w_p(r_p)$  at small scales [0.2-30 Mpc/h]
- ✦ First try "Stochastic Color" model
  - once M\* is specified, no correlated b/w color & other halo properties
  - only need to account for stellar mass completeness of CMASS



#### Results of the Stochastic Color model



# Results of the Stochastic Color model

- constant HOD
   White et al. (2011) & Reid et al. (2014)
  - needs to be randomly down-sampled
  - clustering does NOT evolve



◆ Our SHAM model

- reproduce dn/dz by construction as a consequence of CMASS incompleteness
- clustering DOES evolve (see next)





#### But ... fails for 3D Clustering Signal

✦ The measurements show NO redshift evolution



♦ Our "Stochastic Color" model



### Failure of "Stochastic Color" model

- - CMASS SMFs show a higher  $\overline{M}_*$  at higher redshift
  - therefore,  $\overline{M}_{\rm halo}$  also evolves with time
  - However, data shows NO redshift evolution
- ✦ Next step:
  - There must be an effect which can compensate the evolution
  - At fixed stellar mass, introduce correlation galaxy color with
     \* halo formation epoch (or age)
    - \* halo recent merger
    - \* local density (or environment)

- Goal: explain DR12  $\,\hat{\xi}_\ell(s;color,z)$  & lensing (Alexie's talk)



- z<sub>form</sub>: (sub)halo's concentration d.f., Miyatake et al. (2015)
- $z_{char}$ : when a (sub)halo get mass of  $10^{12} M_{sun}$
- zacc: when a subhalo accreted onto its host halo





Velocity effect

- Should be careful on "velocity" to model redshift-space clustering
- ✦ Difference b/w our SHAM model & HOD (Reid et al. 2014)
  - 1) velocity of central

Rockstar: core velocity defined within [0-0.1]  $r_{vir}$ SO halos: core velocity defined within [0.06-0.33]  $r_{vir}$ c.f.) Guo et al. (2014): defined within [0-0.25]  $r_{vir}$  + velocity bias

- 2) velocity of satellites
   SHAM: the same as central
   Reid et al. (2014): velocity of DM
- ♦ hydro simulation Wu et al. (2014)
  But I suggest to look at pairwise velocity  $1 + \xi(r_p, \pi) = \int dy [1 + \xi(r)] \mathcal{P}(v_{12}, \mathbf{r})$ Scoccimarro (2004), Reid & White (2012)



### Color and Redshift-dependent 3D clustering



redshift

Summary

♦ A realistic model of the CMASS-Halo connection is essential

- The CMASS SMFs in S82MGC varies as a function of z, therefore a simple SHAM ('Stochastic Color') model is ruled out
- Hope is a conditional SHAM such as age matching by introducing correlation b/w galaxy color & halo formation epoch
- ✦ However, there are caveats at massive end:
  - no unique definition of "halo age"
  - ambiguity to define "velocity" of subhalo (or galaxy)

