Delensing: New Methods and Challenges for Simons Observatory and LiteBIRD



Blake Sherwin

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics / Kavli Institute for Cosmology University of Cambridge



UK Research and Innovation





Established by the Europ

Outline

- Part 1: Introduction and motivation
- Part 2: The Simons Observatory delensing pipeline
- Part 3: Comments on LiteBIRD delensing



With Toshiya Namikawa, Anton Baleato, Byeonghee Yu, et al.

 Inflation: initial accelerated cosmic expansion.

 Many models make detectable inflationary gravity waves, find to gain more insight



CMB B-mode Polarization: Reminder

- Any polarization map can be decomposed into E and B mode fields
- <u>B-mode: contains signals from inflation, if there</u>



B mode map

[Image credit: CMBPol]

Problem for CMB B-mode Searches: Lensing B-Mode Polarization



Gravitational lensing converts Eto Bpolarization



 $B^{lens}(\mathbf{L}) \sim \int d\mathbf{l} \ W(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{L}) E(\mathbf{l}) d(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{l})$ W: geometric kernel

Lensed CMB B-Polarization: Noise for Inflation-B



Lensed CMB B-Polarization: Noise for Inflation-B



• Mean "problem": must know / subtract mean lensing power

 Error problem: lensing adds additional cosmic variance σ(r)~(N₁^{BB} + C₁^{BB,lens}). ~x 2 error for Simons Observatory, ~10 for S4

Delensing the CMB: Lensing Removal



Gravitational lensing converts Eto Bpolarization



10°

Delensing the CMB: Lensing Removal



Delensing Performance

$$\sigma(r) \sim \langle C_l^{BB, \text{lens}} + N_l^{BB} \rangle_{l < 100}$$

$$C_l^{BB, res}$$

- Error reduction depends on residual lensing B-mode
- Find that delensing reduces B-mode power by a factor $(1ho^2)$

[ρ : correlation coefficient of lensing estimate with true lensing field]

• Need good tracers! Lensing L~200-800 most important.

To Delens, Need To Measure Good Maps of CMB Lensing - How?



CMB lensing is a probe of the projected mass distribution

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{d} \sim \int dz W(z) \delta(z)$

Standard "Internal" case: 1) Reconstruct lensing \hat{d} from changes in background CMB

Outline

- Part 1: Introduction and motivation
- Part 2: The Simons Observatory delensing pipeline
- Part 3: Comments on LiteBIRD delensing



With Toshiya Namikawa, Anton Baleato, Byeonghee Yu, et al.

To Delens Simons Observatory, Need To Measure Good Maps of CMB Lensing - How?



CMB lensing is a probe of the projected mass distribution

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{d} \sim \int dz W(z) \delta(z)$$

 Reconstruct lensing from changes in background CMB. Problem for SO: only improves constraints by ~25% 2) Estimate lensing from highly correlated Large Scale Structure tracers of lensing, e.g. **CIB or galaxies**.

Illustration: ACTPol Lensing (500 / 2100 sq. degs.) vs. Large-scale Structure



Illustration: ACTPol Lensing vs. Large-scale Structure (Planck CIB)



++ in prep.]

To Delens Simons Observatory, Need To Measure Good Maps of CMB Lensing - How?



CMB lensing is a probe of the projected mass distribution

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{d} \sim \int dz W(z) \delta(z)$$

1) Reconstruct lensing from changes in background CMB. Problem for SO: only improves constraints by ~25%

2) Estimate lensing from Large Scale Structure tracers of lensing, e.g. CIB, galaxies. Can show:

[Sherwin, Schmittfull 2015]

 $\hat{d}^{I}(\mathbf{l}) = \frac{C_{l}^{dI}}{C_{l}^{dd}} \times \underbrace{I(\mathbf{l})}_{\text{CIB map}}$ Lensing power

16

CIB-lensing cross



Multi-tracer Delensing for Simons Observatory

• Can co-add SO lensing map with different large scale structure tracers to delens [Yu, Hill, Sherwin 2017]

 "Multitracer" delensing can greatly improve delensing performance: now coadd SO lensing + DES/LSST + CIB +

$C_{\ell}^{BB,residual}$ 0.0000025 0.000020 CIB 0.0000015 +WISE +SO lensing 0.0000010 +DES +LSST 0.000005 ~70% delensing possible for SO! (missing: high-z, small-scale) 0.0000000L 10¹ 10² 10^{3} l $c_i = (C_l^{I_i I_j})^{-1} C_l^{dI_j}$ $I(\mathbf{l}) = \sum c_i(\mathbf{l}) I_i(\mathbf{l})$

Multi-tracer Delensing for Simons Observatory

20

Early SO Delensing Pipeline: Technical Details

• Linearized delensing B-mode template (better control)

$$\begin{split} & \text{S/N weighted} \\ & \text{estimate} \\ & \text{of lensing d} \\ & \swarrow \\ & B^{data} - \hat{B}^{lens} \sim B^{data} - \int d\mathbf{l} \ W(\mathbf{l},\mathbf{L}) E(\mathbf{l}) \hat{d}^{filt}(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{l}) \end{split}$$

Not full non-linear remapping

- Curved sky construction
- Mask / inhomogeneity treatment: full Wiener filtering

Early SO Delensing Pipeline: Test on Simulations

• Polarization simulation: multitracer delensing demonstration with SO (preliminary). Performs as expected!

Why I think this will work I: LSS modeling required?

• Tracer calibration and delensing residual depend only on measurable spectra

Noisy-ish lensing map (low S/N per mode) High S/N but mis-scaled LSS map

 Cross-spectra (assuming isotropy) can have high S/N -> can calibrate LSS map, modeling not needed for small sky fractions

Why I think this will work II: Data demonstration of CIB delensing (of Temp.)

[Larsen, Challinor, Sherwin, Mak 2016] [Polarbear 2019, Manzotti++2017, Carron++ 2017...]

Why this might not work: Correlated foreground propagation,...

 Lensing foregrounds correlated with B foregrounds can give biases, e.g., <(B-Ed) x (B-Ed)>, cross terms involving <BEd>

CIB delensing dust residuals appear small for SO/S4, but non-negligible at large scales

[Baleato, Challinor, Sherwin, Namikawa prep.]

 Other challenges: likelihood and integration with foreground cleaning,...

Outlook for SO Delensing

- Lots still to figure out and test
- Target: ~1.7x improvement in SO r constraints
- Potentially final results σ(r)~0.002X instead of 0.004 with delensing!

Outline

- Part 1: Introduction and motivation
- Part 2: The Simons Observatory delensing pipeline
- Part 3: Comments on LiteBIRD delensing

LiteBIRD Delensing: Performance and Foregrounds

Forecasts with J. Errard (2018+ just updated)

 Strongly cleaning-dependent: currently 1.5 – 4+ x improvement. Could some areas give better performance?

LiteBIRD Delensing: Challenges and Solutions?

- Astrophysical uncertainty: more limiting than SO if we use CIB only.
- Foreground delensing biases: may become quite significant at low ell.
- Harder problem!

LiteBIRD Delensing: Challenges and Solutions?

- Astrophysical uncertainty: more limiting than SO if we use CIB only.
- Foreground delensing biases: may become quite significant at low ell.
- Harder problem!
- Solutions: reduce systematics with new LSS methods and more extensive ground-based CMB. Work ongoing now!

Future B Mode Map – Lensing-Dominated

Delensed B Map – Inflation Signal?

Conclusions

- Delensing becoming crucial for inflationary B-mode search
- New multi-tracer LSS delensing methods could nearly double Simons Observatory performance; implemented in a new pipeline
- LiteBIRD delensing: potential and challenges, work ongoing!

On what scales do we need lensing information?

How much does each lensing scale contribute to lensing B? Lenses at 1.0**B**-power L~200-800 (<100) 0.9most important 0.8 for delensing 0.7 0.6 0.5So: L~200-800 0.4lenses most 0.3 important for 0.2delensing 0.10.0 500 1000 1500 2000 60 *l* (lens multipole)