The Bluedisks project, a study of unusually HI-rich galaxies: I. HI Sizes and Morphology

Jing Wang^{1*}, Guinevere Kauffmann¹, Gyula I. G. Józsa^{2,3}, Paolo Serra², Thijs van der Hulst⁴,

Frank Bigiel⁵, Jarle Brinchmann⁶, M.A.W. Verheijen⁴, Tom Oosterloo^{2,4},

Enci Wang⁷, Cheng Li⁷, Milan den Heijer³[†], Jürgen Kerp³

¹Max–Planck–Institut für Astrophysik, Karl–Schwarzschild–Str. 1, D-85741 Garching, Germany

²Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy (ASTRON), Postbus 2, 7990 AA Dwingeloo, The Netherlands

³Argelander-Institut für Astronomie, Auf dem Hgel 71, D-53121 Bonn, Germany

⁴University of Groningen, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, Landleven 12, 9747 AD, Groningen, The Netherlands

⁵ Institut für theoretische Astrophysik, Zentrum fr Astronomie der Universitt Heidelberg, Albert-Ueberle Str. 2, D-69120 Heidelberg

⁶Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

⁷Partner Group of the Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics and Key Laboratory for Research in Galaxies and Cosmology of Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Nandan Road 80, Shanghai 200030, China

Accepted 2011 ???? ?? Received 2011 ???? ??; in original form 2012 November

ABSTRACT

We introduce the "Bluedisk" project, a large program at the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) that has mapped the HI in a sample of 23 nearby galaxies with unusually high HI mass fractions, along with a similar-sized sample of control galaxies matched in stellar mass, size, inclination and redshift. This paper presents the sample selection, observational set-up, data reduction strategy, and a first analysis of the sizes and structural properties of the HI disks. We find that the HI-rich galaxies lie on the same HI mass versus HI size relation as normal spiral galaxies, extending it to total HI masses of $2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ and radii R1 of ~ 100 kpc (where R1 is defined as the radius where the HI column density reaches 1 M_{\odot} pc⁻²). HIrich galaxies have significantly larger values of HI-to-optical size ratio at fixed stellar mass, concentration index, stellar and star formation rate surface density compared to the control sample. The disks of HI-rich galaxies are also significantly more clumpy (i.e. have higher HI Gini and Δ Area coefficient) than those of normal spirals. There is no evidence that the disks of HI-rich galaxies are more disturbed: HI-rich galaxies exhibit no difference with respect to control samples in their distributions of HI asymmetry indices or optical/HI disk position angle differences. In fact, the center of the HI distribution corresponds more closely with the center of the optical light in the HI-rich galaxies than in the controls. All these results argue against a scenario in which new gas has been brought in by mergers. It is possible that they may be more consistent with cooling from a surrounding quasi-static halo of warm/hot gas.

Key words: disk galaxies; atomic gas; synthesis radio mapping

1 INTRODUCTION

The mechanisms by which galaxies acquire their gas remain one of the key unsolved problems in galaxy formation. Only ~ 20 percent of the available baryons in dark matter halos surrounding presentday L_* spiral galaxies have cooled and been transformed into stars. The star formation rates in these galaxies imply that their atomic and molecular gas reservoirs will be used up on timescales of a few Gyr (Kennicutt 1983; Fraternali & Tomassetti 2012). In order to maintain star formation at its observed level over long timescales, it is often assumed that gas must accrete from the external environment. Additional indirect evidence for gas infall comes from the fact that the metallicity distribution of main sequence stars in the solar neighbourhood is incompatible with a closed-box scenario ("The G-dwarf problem", van den Bergh 1962; Pagel & Patchett 1975), implying early inflow of metal-poor gas onto the Milky Way.

Estimates of the total mass in cold gas clouds infalling onto the Milky Way yield ~ $10^9 M_{\odot}$ within 150 kpc and ~ $5 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ within 60 kpc (Putman 2006). These estimates assume that the fraction

^{*} Email: wangj@mpa-garching.mpg.de

[†] Member of the International Max Planck Research School (IMPRS) for Astronomy and Astrophysics at the Universities of Bonn and Cologne

of a cloud that is detectable as neutral hydrogen is around 10% of the total mass of the cloud. Nevertheless, these numbers are an order of magnitude smaller than predicted by simple models that attempt to calculate the rate at which gas would be cooling and fragmenting into clouds in a typical dark matter halo in a Λ CDM universe (e.g. Maller &Bullock 2004). An independent analysis by Richter (2012) yields an estimate of the neutral gas accretion rate onto M31/Milky Way type galaxies of 0.7 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹, a factor of ~ 3 – 5 less than the observed star formation rates these systems.

In external galaxies, HI-rich companions, warped or lopsided HI disks are often cited as evidence of ongoing cold gas accretion in local spiral galaxies. In addition, there is evidence for large quantities of extra-planar gas around a few nearby spirals (Fraternali et al. 2002; Oosterloo et al. 2007). The kinematical structure of this gas indicates their (partially) extragalactic origin (e.g., Benjamin 2000; Collins et al. 2002; Fraternali & Binney 2006; Heald et al. 2007; Kamphuis et al. 2007; Fraternali &Binney 2008; Marinacci et al. 2011).

The other way in which galaxies may be "refuelled" is via cooling from a hot halo of gas that is in virial equilibrium with the dark matter (White & Rees 1978). Studies of the hot gas around galaxies have been hampered by the fact that X-ray halos that are not directly associated with galactic winds from an ongoing starburst, are only detected individually around the very most luminous spirals (Anderson et al. 2011; Dai et al. 2012). Recent attempts to get around this problem by analyzing the X-ray emission around stacked samples of nearby spirals have clarified that hot gas not associated with present-day galactic winds is present around these systems, but estimates of cooling rates are still a factor ~ 2 too low to explain the observed star formation in these systems (Anderson et al. 2013). On the other hand, Marinacci et al. (2012) estimated a higher "fountain" driven accretion rate from the galactic halo arround the Milky Way (see also Fraternali et al. 2013).

At this point it is still difficult to assess what the dominant gas fuelling mechanism is in the local Universe. Deep HI observations of nearby galaxies have so far been restricted to a few "promising cases" (Fraternali et al. 2002; Oosterloo et al. 2007a; Boomsma et al. 2008). The HALOGAS survey (Heald et al. 2011, 2012) aims to detect and characterize the extended, low-column density gas in a sample of 22 nearby galaxies. So far, mass fractions of the extraplanar HI comparable to the cases of NGC 891 (30%, Oosterloo et al. 2007) or NGC 2403 (10%, Fraternali et al. 2004) have not been reported from HALOGAS (Heald et al. 2011; Zschaechner et al. 2011, 2012).

Single-dish HI surveys of atomic gas in complete, stellar mass-limited galaxy samples reveal that the majority of disk galaxies lie on a tight plane that links their atomic gas content with their UV/optical colours and their stellar surface mass densities (Catinella et al. 2010, ,hereafter C10), in line with the fact that HI-rich galaxies are systematically bluer and late-type (e.g. Roberts & Haynes 1994). Around 10% of the disk galaxy population is significantly displaced from this plane in the sense of having significantly more atomic gas than would be predicted from their colours and densities. These galaxies have outer disks that are bluer (Wang et al. 2011) and younger (higher ratio of present-topast averaged star formation). In addition, the ionized gas in these outer disks is metal-poor (Moran et al. 2012). Analysis of the star formation histories of such galaxies indicate that stars did not form continuously in these systems - an elevated rate of star formation in the past 2 Gyr is required to explain the strong Balmer absorption seen in the spectra of the outer disk stellar populations (Huang et al. 2013). All these results provide indirect evidence that such galaxies may have recently accreted cold gas in their outer regions. Although these galaxies have unusually high HI content, their molecular gas masses are normal, suggesting that the excess atomic gas exists as a largely inert reservoir in the outer regions of these galaxies (Saintonge et al. 2011).

The next step in understanding the nature of these HI-rich galaxies with young, star-forming outer disks is to investigate how the morphology, density profiles and kinematics of the gas differ from that of normal spirals. If the HI gas was accreted recently, one might expect the HI disk to be significantly more extended than the stellar disk (see Fu et al. 2010). We might also see more frequent kinematic signatures of recent accretion in the form of warps, misaligned or counter-rotating HI components in the disk, or associated gas clouds.

To this end, we undertook the "Bluedisk project", a longterm large program at the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT). Uniform, blind HI surveys covering large areas of the sky such as the Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA (ALFALFA, Giovanelli05 et al. 2005) survey do not extend as far North as declinations greater than 38 degrees, where the HI maps produced by Westerbork have optimal resolution (beam size of $\sim 20\times15$ arcsec²). However, these surveys have taught us that selection based on optical properties is an efficient means of targeting HI-rich galaxies (Zhang et al. 2009; Catinella et al. 2010; Li et al. 2012). We used the technique outlined in Li et al. (2012) to select a sample of 25 galaxies predicted to be HI-rich, as well as a sample of 25 control galaxies matched in stellar mass, stellar mass surface density, redshift and inclination. These galaxies were observed at Westerbork over the period from December 2011 to May 2012.

Our paper is structured as follows: in section 2, we discuss the sample selection procedure, describe the observational set-up and data reduction strategy, provide catalogs of HI parameters, and discuss our final scheme for classifying the galaxies in our sample into those that are "HI-rich" and "HI-normal". In section 3, we present total intensity HI maps for these two subsets. In section 4, we discuss how we measure HI sizes and morphological parameters. In section 5, we compare these parameters for HI-rich and HI-normal galaxies. We also examine correlations between HI sizes and morphological parameters and those measured for the UV and optical light. Our findings are summarized and discussed in section 6. We assume $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ throughout the paper.

2 DATA

2.1 Sample selection

Following the work of Zhang et al. (2009), C10 defined a gasfraction "plane" linking HI mass fraction, stellar surface mass density and NUV-*r* colour that exhibited a scatter of 0.315 dex in log M(HI)/M_{*}. This relation indicates that the HI content of a galaxy scales with its physical size as well as with its specific star formation rate. In subsequent work, Wang et al. (2011) showed that at fixed NUV-*r* colour and stellar surface density, galaxies with larger HI gas fractions have bluer outer disks. This result motivated us to add a correction term to the C10 relation based on the g - i colour gradient of the galaxy. When log $M(HI)/M_*(C10) > -1$, we apply a correction of the form

$$\Delta(\log M(HI/M_*) = -0.35 \log M_* - 0.51 \Delta_{o-i}(g-i) + 3.69$$
(1)

Here $\Delta_{o-i}(g-i)$ is defined as $(g-i)_{out} - (g-i)_{in}$, where the inner colour is evaluated within a radius R50 enclosing 50% of the *r*-band light,

and the outer colour is evaluated within R50 and R90, the radius enclosing 90% of the *r*-band light. We note that a slightly retuned version of this relation has been published in Li et al (2012).

We first selected all galaxies from the DR7 MPA/JHU catalog (http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/SDSS/DR7/), which is based on galaxy spectra from the Data Release 7 of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (Abazajian et al. 2009) using the following criteria: 11 > $\log M_* > 10, 0.01 < z < 0.03$, Declination > 30 degrees and with high S/N NUV detection in the GALEX imaging survey (Martin et al. 2010). This yields a sample of 1900 galaxies, which we use as our parent sample. Most of these galaxies have optical diameters of 50 arcsec or greater, so that the HI will be well resolved by the Westerbork synthesised beam (the minimum half-power beam width (HPBW) is 13"). From the parent sample, we selected a sub-sample of 123 galaxies with predicted HI mass fractions 0.6 dex higher in $\log M(HI)/M_*$ than the median relation between HI mass fraction and stellar mass found in Catinella et al (2010). This threshold was chosen because the estimate of $\log M(HI)/M_*$ given in equation (1) has a scatter of ~ 0.25 dex , so this cut should generate a reasonably pure sample of true HI-rich galaxies. 25 targets were selected at random for Westerbork observations.

In addition, we selected a sample of 25 "control galaxies" that were closely matched in M_* , μ_* (mass surface density, calculated as $0.5 \times M_*/(\pi r(50, z)^2)$, where r(50,z) is the half-light radius in z-band), z and inclination, but with predicted HI fractions between 1 and 1.5 times the median value at the same value of M_* and μ_* . The cut was chosen to exclude gas-deficient galaxies, such as those found in rich groups or clusters. The matching tolerances are around 0.1 in log M_* , log μ_* and b/a, and 0.001 in redshift. The control galaxies have both redder global colours and weaker colour gradients in comparison to the targets. Table 1 lists the optical/UV selection parameters of the 50 galaxies. We also derive star formation rates (SFRs) using the spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting technique described in Wang et al. (2011); Saintonge et al. (2011). SFR surface densities are calculated as 0.5 SFR/ $\pi R_{NUV}(50)^2$), where $R_{NUV}(50)$ is the NUV half-light radius.

2.2 Observations and data reduction

Our target galaxies were observed with the WSRT between December 2011 and June 2012, with an on-source integration time of 12 h per galaxy. Part of the sample was observed in (non-guaranteed) backup time, resulting in the loss of one target. The correlator setup was chosen to cover the HI line while at the same time covering a large portion of the bandpass to obtain sensitive continuum data. One band was reserved for the line observation using a total bandwidth of 10 MHz and 1024 channels with two parallel polarisation products (corresponding to a minimum possible channel width of $2.06 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$), while 7 remaining bands with 20 MHz each and 128 channels and two parallel polarisation products were observed in parallel, centered around a frequency of 1.4 GHz. The telescope performance was variable, and some observations do not have all antennas operational. Some observations were affected by radio interference, including terrestrial RFI. Most notably, observations performed during the day time were partly affected by solar interference on the shorter baselines. This resulted in a quite variable rms noise across our observations, as listed in Table 2. Exploitation of the continuum observations is the topic of a forthcoming paper. Here, we describe the reduction of the HI data.

The WSRT HI data were reduced using a pipeline originally developed by Serra et al. (2012), which is based on the Miriad reduction package (Sault 1995). The pipeline automates the basic standard data reduction steps from the HI raw data sets as delivered by the WSRT to the final data cubes used for this work.

After reading and converting the UVFITS data into Miriad format, the system temperature as tracked by the WSRT is used to perform a relative amplitude calibration, the data are Hanning smoothed and then flagged to exclude radio interference using a set of clipping algorithms. The absolute bandpass calibration and a continuum calibration is performed by applying a calibration on standard calibration sources, which are observed before and after the target observation. The resulting complex bandpass and continuum gain solutions are then copied to the target data set.

The continuum phase calibration is adjusted by means of a self-calibration process using the target data themselves. The averaged continuum data are inverted (employing uniform weighting) and cleaned using a clean mask in an iterative process, in which the threshold level to determine the clean mask and the clean cutoff level are decreased until convergence is reached (the mask threshold reaches $5 \sigma_{rms}$ and the clean cutoff reaches $1 \sigma_{rms}$). At the same time, the clean components are used to adjust the phase calibration of the visibilities by performing several self-calibration steps.

The final self-calibration solution is then copied to the line data and a continuum subtraction is performed on the visibilities by subtracting the visibilities corresponding to the brightest sources in the field (as determined in the selfcal loop) and then performing a polynomial continuum subtraction of first or second order (the order being increased from first to second order if the data inspection indicates the need to increase the fitting order). After this step, the line data are averaged, Hanning smoothed, and inverted using several weighting schemes, to then be iteratively cleaned, again successively decreasing the clean mask threshold and the clean cutoff parameter. Other than for the continuum data, the clean regions were determined using smoothed data cubes, accounting for the fact that the HI line emission is mostly extended.

We produced data cubes using five different weighting schemes: a) Robust weighting (Briggs 1995) of 0, 0.4, and 6 without tapering, b) Robust weighting of 0 and 6 and an additional 30arcsec tapering (i.e. uv data are multiplied with a Gaussian tapering function equivalent to a convolution with a symmetric Gaussian of HPBW of 30" in the image domain). All cubes have velocity resolution of 24.8 km/s after Hanning smoothing. The quantitative analysis of the HI properties in this paper (e.g., mass, morphology) was made using the cubes built with a Robust weighting of 0.4 and no tapering. This was the most suitable compromise between sensitivity and resolution. The angular resolution of the cubes is ~ $15 - 20 \times 15 - 20 / \sin(\delta) \operatorname{arcsec}^2$, where δ is the declination. The noise ranges between 0.26 and 0.42 mJy/beam and the median value is 0.3 mJy/beam (90 percent of the cubes have noise below 0.34 mJy/beam). If the resulting data cubes are used without further smoothing, the 5σ column density threshold within one velocity resolution element is $8 - 14 \times 10^{19} \times \sin(\delta) \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

We look for HI emission in the cubes using the source finder developed by Serra et al. (2012). The finder is based on a smoothand-clip algorithm, with additional size-filtering to reject noise peaks. We refer to Serra et al. (2012) for a more complete description of the finder. In this work, we use a set of Gaussian convolution kernels with FWHMs of 0, 48, 96, 144 and 192 arcsec in the spatial domain combined with a set of convolution kernels with FWHM of 24.7, 49.5, 98.9, 197.9, 395.7 km s⁻¹ in the velocity domain, using a clip level of $4\sigma_{\rm rms}$. The output of the finder is a binary mask where pixels containing emission are set to 1 and pixels with no emission are set to 0.

ID	ra	dec	Z	$\log M_*/M_{\odot}$	NUV–r	$\Delta_{o-i}(g-i)$	$D_{25}/arcsec$	b/a	R_{90}/R_{50}	$\log M(HI)/M_*[pred]$	flag _{ana}
1	123.591766	39.251354	0.0277	10.43	2.37	-0.21	75.96	0.83	2.70	-0.37	1
2	127.194809	40.665886	0.0245	10.62	3.02	-0.27	81.30	0.41	2.15	-0.62	1
3	129.277161	41.456322	0.0291	10.42	2.44	-0.25	49.08	0.80	2.15	-0.38	1
4	129.641663	30.798681	0.0256	10.57	2.36	-0.29	88.61	0.47	2.19	-0.40	1
5	132.318298	36.119797	0.0252	10.32	2.01	-0.12	65.27	0.93	2.06	-0.32	1
6	132.344025	36.710327	0.0251	10.84	2.94	-0.23	103.79	0.62	2.38	-0.77	1
7	132.356155	41.771252	0.0289	10.37	2.57	-0.23	69.28	0.26	2.44	-0.40	0
8	137.177567	44.810658	0.0267	10.28	2.19	-0.27	66.18	0.67	2.10	-0.25	1
9	138.742996	51.361061	0.0275	10.76	2.93	-0.17	73.23	0.46	2.35	-0.70	2
10	143.104355	57.482899	0.0294	10.91	2.43	-0.21	60.12	0.80	2.00	-0.62	2
11	152.726593	45.950371	0.0240	10.64	2.74	-0.18	73.74	0.56	2.07	-0.60	2
12	154.042709	58.427002	0.0255	10.63	2.68	-0.29	82.89	0.36	2.64	-0.58	1
13	166.995911	35.463264	0.0287	10.84	2.74	-0.28	83.31	0.46	2.74	-0.78	0
14	176.739746	50.702133	0.0238	10.79	3.25	-0.20	87.33	0.49	2.58	-0.86	1
15	177.247757	35.016048	0.0213	10.82	2.43	-0.21	111.76	0.63	2.71	-0.65	1
16	193.014786	51.680046	0.0272	10.31	2.01	-0.22	54.06	0.76	1.94	-0.21	1
17	196.806625	58.135014	0.0275	10.69	2.85	-0.25	61.00	0.71	2.46	-0.68	1
18	199.015060	35.043518	0.0232	10.34	2.28	-0.33	71.17	0.57	2.25	-0.33	1
19	212.631851	38.893559	0.0256	10.25	2.15	-0.27	57.90	0.69	2.16	-0.27	1
20	219.499756	40.106197	0.0261	10.21	1.55	-0.39	77.39	0.62	2.26	-0.02	1
21	241.892578	36.484032	0.0298	10.41	2.70	-0.33	46.28	0.63	2.17	-0.38	1
22	250.793503	42.192783	0.0284	10.82	3.07	-0.29	86.07	0.28	2.76	-0.79	1
23	251.811615	40.245079	0.0295	10.75	2.91	-0.27	79.17	0.45	2.50	-0.68	2
24	259.156036	58.411900	0.0296	10.71	2.72	-0.21	80.72	0.53	2.26	-0.66	1
25	262.156342	57.145065	0.0275	10.54	2.50	-0.36	58.66	0.77	2.52	-0.52	2
26	111.938042	42.180717	0.0231	10.31	3.81	-0.17	52.96	0.48	2.56	-0.78	1
27	120.669388	34.521431	0.0288	10.30	2.90	-0.14	36.99	0.78	1.93	-0.49	2
28	123.309128	52.458736	0.0183	10.54	3.69	-0.16	61.86	0.84	2.21	-0.87	2
29	127.312149	55.522991	0.0257	10.52	2.90	-0.25	74.80	0.44	2.17	-0.56	0
30	138.603149	40.777924	0.0280	10.39	2.92	-0.22	70.32	0.28	2.43	-0.48	1
31	139.190598	45.812244	0.0262	10.18	2.68	0.04	44.73	0.61	2.18	-0.51	0
32	139.646255	32.270008	0.0269	10.29	2.93	-0.12	53.68	0.67	1.98	-0.44	2
33	141.539307	49.310204	0.0269	10.74	3.99	-0.11	66.92	0.53	2.86	-1.02	2
34	147.539001	33.569332	0.0270	10.61	4.40	-0.13	50.40	0.68	2.81	-1.14	0
35	149.420227	45.258678	0.0242	10.61	3.32	-0.14	76.99	0.32	2.66	-0.77	1
36	149.454529	51.821190	0.0249	10.34	2.77	-0.14	46.80	0.67	1.97	-0.48	2
37	153.797638	56.672085	0.0260	10.39	2.81	-0.13	62.86	0.86	1.98	-0.46	2
38	153.926071	55.667500	0.0244	10.75	3.52	-0.18	57.91	0.71	2.12	-0.86	2
39	162.530365	36.341831	0.0239	10.84	4.31	-0.15	83.13	0.77	2.15	-1.14	2
40	168.563553	34.154381	0.0272	10.36	2.83	-0.15	44.02	0.78	1.98	-0.48	2
41	197.879166	46.341774	0.0297	10.79	3.45	-0.20	54.62	0.85	2.05	-0.87	0
42	198.236252	47.456657	0.0281	10.80	3.72	-0.13	65.32	0.52	2.69	-1.00	2
43	203.374603	40.529671	0.0269	10.26	2.74	-0.18	45.31	0.67	2.03	-0.37	2
44	205.251038	42.431423	0.0279	10.78	3.34	-0.16	61.53	0.63	2.20	-0.85	2
45	222.988846	51.264881	0.0259	10.68	3.40	-0.17	68.83	0.41	2.20	-0.80	2
46	241.528976	35.981434	0.0305	10.68	3.68	-0.20	58.79	0.44	2.45	-0.87	0
47	244.382523	31.194477	0.0240	10.53	2.91	-0.17	65.82	0.58	2.28	-0.62	1
48	246.259842	40.946644	0.0287	10.75	3.69	-0.13	56.45	0.52	2.38	-0.93	0
49	258.650208	30.733536	0.0296	10.42	2.82	-0.17	54.64	0.54	2.09	-0.48	2
50	261.557251	62.149483	0.0278	10.87	4.27	-0.11	82.39	0.27	2.57	-1.10	1

Table 1. The optical/UV properties of the Bluedisk galaxies, including the galaxy ID used in this project, Right Ascention, Declination, redshift, stellar mass, NUV–r colour which are corrected for Galactic extinction only, g-i colour gradients, the *g*-band diameter at 25 mag/arcsec⁻², the axis ratio in *r*-band, the concentraion index in *r*-band and the predicted HI mass fraction. The first 25 galaxies were originally selected as HI-rich and the others as control galaxies. The last column, flag_{ana} marks the galaxies according to their classification in Sect. 3: 1 for HI-rich galaxies, 2 for control galaxies and 0 for the galaxies excluded from analysis.

2.3 Moment-0 images and error estimation

In this section, we describe how we extract two-dimensional maps of the overall gas distribution (moment-0 maps) for each of our targeted galaxies. We work with the masked HI cubes, i.e. cubes for which pixels where no HI emission is detected are set to 0. The value of a given pixel P1 in the two-dimensional HI map is calculated as the the sum over velocity channels that contain detected HI flux.

To calculate the error on the calculated flux for pixel P1, we



Figure 1. An example of the distribution of the negative pixels used to estimate the error on the flux at a given sky position. The red line shows the best-fit Gaussian function and the width σ is denoted in the top-left corner.

define a set of "sky pixels" where the mask values are 0 over the same range of channels that contribute to the flux calculated for pixel P1. We sum over the channels to produce a distribution function of "sky flux values" from these empty regions. The negative part of this distribution is very well fit by a Gaussian function (Figure 1) and can be used to estimate the error on the flux in P1. From the width of the Gaussian, we calculate σ , the error on pixel P1. We repeat the above process for all non-zero pixels in the total HI image and the end product of this process is the error image.

We make contour maps from the total HI image with a smoothing width of 3 pixels. We truncate the image at the contour where the median signal-to-noise ratio of the pixels along the contour reaches 2. This corresponds to a median threshold of 0.46×10^{20} atoms cm⁻²; all of the images reach below column densities of 0.7 $\times 10^{20}$ atoms cm⁻² except galaxy 16 which reaches a column of 0.87 $\times 10^{20}$ atoms cm⁻² (Table 2).

In Figure 2, we show how the HI mass of the Bluedisk galaxies varies as a function of the column density limit if all HI emission below the limit is excluded. The "true" total HI mass is defined from summing flux from all pixels set to 1 in the mask created by the source finder. We can see that at least 97 percent of the total HI mass is included within our adopted S/N > 2 contour level threshold. We note that the morphological analysis in the following sections is applied to pixels in the 2D map that lie above this threshold. Table 2 lists the total HI mass as well as the S/N > 2 column density threshold for all the galaxies in our sample.

2.4 Predicted and observed HI mass fractions

Our sample consists of 25 "HI-rich" galaxies and 25 "control" galaxies selected using the HI mass fraction predicted using UV/optical photometry. Some of the disks turn out to be very extended and to overlap (both spatially and in velocity) with one or more neighbouring galaxies identified in the SDSS and GALEX images (for example galaxy 7). If $r_{neighbour} < r_{target} + 3$ mag, the galaxy is flagged as a "multi-source" system; these include galaxies 7, 13, 29, 31 and 46 (see Figure A3 is the Appendix).

In the top-left panel of Figure 3, we compare the HI mass frac-



Figure 2. The fraction of "true" HI mass of the Bluedisk galaxies detected above a given column density limit as a function of the column density limit. The red parts of the lines are where the column density limits are above our adopted S/N > 2 threshold (see Section 2.3).

tion predicted by our UV/optical photometry-based estimator with the actual HI mass fraction measured using our data. The galaxies predicted to be gas-rich are plotted as blue dots and the control galaxies are plotted as red dots. The multi-source systems are enclosed by a green diamond. As can be seen, the estimator works very well for the "HI-rich" galaxies - there is a scatter of only 0.15 dex between the predicted and observed values of log M(HI/M*. The estimator works considerably less well for the "control" sample. The observed HI mass fraction is generally lower than the predicted one. In fact all galaxies with predicted HI mass fractions log $M(HI)/M_{*} < -0.85$ lie systematically below the predicted value, with the difference reaching more than a factor 10 in two extreme cases. In the top right panel, the observed HI mass fractions are plotted as a function of the stellar mass of the galaxy. We see that all the strongly outlying points (those with log M(HI)/M_{*} < -1.5in the top left panel) are galaxies with high stellar masses.

Although the scatter in HI mass fraction in the control sample is larger than envisaged, the control sample is systematically gaspoor compared to the HI-rich sample at fixed stellar mass and at fixed stellar surface mass density. The solid lines in the top right and bottom left panels show the median relation between HI mass fraction and stellar mass and stellar surface density from Catinella et al (2010). Consistent with their definition, the HI-rich galaxies lie ~ 0.6 dex above these relations on average, while the control galaxies lie much closer to the median. Finally, the bottom-right panel compared the observed HI mass fraction to that predicted by the "plane" relating HI mass fraction with the stellar surface mass density μ_* and NUV-r colour discussed in Catinella et al (2010). As can seen, most of the "HI-rich" galaxies lie above the plane, demonstrating that our criterion of requiring a galaxy to have an unusually blue outer disk has selected unusually HI-rich galaxies by this metric as well. The majority of galaxies in the control sample lie below the plane.

Finally, we note that two of the "multi-source" systems fall in the "HI-rich" category and for these, the HI fractions are consistent with predictions. However, 3 control galaxies are also multi-source systems and all of these have observed HI mass fractions that are larger than the predictions by 0.3-0.5 dex.

ID	date	noise mJy beam ⁻¹	beam arcsec ²	$\frac{\log M(\rm HI)}{M_{\odot}}$	log M(HI)/M _*	threshold (mom-0) 10^{20} atoms cm ⁻²	R1 arcsec	R50 arcsec	R90 arcsec	$\mu_{HI,25}$ ${ m M}_{\odot}~{ m kpc}^{-2}$
1	2012 FEB 24	0.28	26.9×17.0	10.09	-0.34	0.44	64	32	57	6.59
2	2011 DEC 23	0.28	25.9×17.2	9.94	-0.68	0.53	52	23	47	6.70
3	2012 JAN 09	0.29	25.8×17.2	9.89	-0.53	0.49	45	21	40	6.76
4	2012 JAN 04	0.32	31.4×16.4	10.26	-0.31	0.64	67	28	54	6.85
5	2011 DEC 20	0.31	27.2×17.5	10.20	-0.12	0.39	80	40	75	6.80
6	2012 JAN 12	0.28	28.1×17.0	10.31	-0.53	0.40	87	44	76	6.65
7	2012 JAN 13	0.29	25.5×17.3	10.00	-0.37	0.53	41	26	86	6.63
8	2012 JAN 15	0.28	23.9×17.8	10.20	-0.08	0.47	69	36	64	6.76
9	2012 JAN 20	0.32	22.5×15.1	9.91	-0.85	0.60	45	20	38	6.70
10	2012 JAN 22	0.29	20.5×17.7	10.02	-0.89	0.56	45	28	56	6.78
11	2012 MAY 10	0.29	21.9×16.3	9.71	-0.93	0.51	40	21	36	6.67
12	2012 MAR 04	0.31	19.0×16.3	10.12	-0.51	0.62	67	33	66	6.72
13	2012 JAN 16	0.29	28.7×17.0	10.23	-0.61	0.45	64	34	80	6.69
14	2012 FEB 11	0.26	22.0×17.5	10.14	-0.65	0.48	69	38	83	6.70
15	2012 MAR 10	0.29	29.2×16.9	10.39	-0.43	0.41	119	60	110	6.73
16	2012 MAR 26	0.27	21.7×17.6	10.00	-0.31	0.87	44	22	45	6.96
17	2012 APR 18	0.35	21.3×18.5	10.34	-0.35	0.65	96	57	98	6.67
18	2012 APR 19	0.32	25.3×20.0	10.07	-0.27	0.51	57	27	51	6.95
19	2012 APR 10	0.41	25.5×16.0	10.17	-0.08	0.60	65	36	70	6.81
20	2012 JUN 15	0.30	25.2×16.1	10.21	0.00	0.63	58	28	52	6.97
21	2012 FEB 20	0.32	26.7×16.5	9.88	-0.53	0.42	49	31	54	6.50
22	2012 APR 29	0.32	26.6×15.9	10.00	-0.82	0.60	52	24	46	6.62
23	2012 MAY 13	0.36	23.5×15.0	9.94	-0.81	0.56	48	23	39	6.61
24	2012 JAN 21	0.30	20.0×17.6	10.21	-0.50	0.52	70	36	61	6.56
25	2012 APR 22	0.28	20.0×17.0	9.76	-0.78	0.46	40	21	40	6.69
26	2011 DEC 21	0.32	25.3×17.3	9.50	-0.81	0.49	33	15	28	6.72
27	2011 DEC 11	0.32	28.5×16.0	9.27	-1.03	0.31	24	14	25	6.46
28	2011 DEC 13	0.29	22.2×17.0	9.12	-1.42	0.26	35	23	36	6.36
29	2012 JAN 27	0.27	21.9×18.3	10.37	-0.15	0.55	75	45	103	6.83
30	2011 DEC 07	0.32	26.5×16.5	10.07	-0.32	0.56	55	25	53	6.75
31	2012 JAN 27	0.27	23.5×17.7	10.06	-0.12	0.34	46	33	163	6.96
32	2011 DEC 09	0.33	29.4×16.3	9.56	-0.73	0.35	40	23	40	6.41
33	2012 JAN 31	0.27	22.6×17.9	9.20	-1.54	0.09	29	17	29	6.17
34	2012 FEB 22	0.31	28.9×15.9	0.00	_	_	_	_	_	_
35	2012 FEB 07	0.26	24.0×17.6	9.93	-0.68	0.44	61	33	73	6.55
36	2011 DEC 25	0.28	21.2×17.5	9.39	-0.95	0.44	29	16	28	6.65
37	2012 JAN 07	0.28	20.5×17.6	9.70	-0.69	0.55	37	19	32	6.68
38	2012 JAN 10	0.27	20.3×17.9	8.75	-2.00	0.12	18	15	26	5.90
39	2012 MAR 01	0.31	27.0×16.1	9.14	-1.70	0.29	31	28	44	5.99
40	2012 JAN 06	0.29	29.4×17.0	9.65	-0.71	0.39	38	24	46	6.55
41	not observed	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
42	2011 DEC 05	0.31	22.6×17.8	9.57	-1.23	0.28	38	23	43	6.36
43	2011 DEC 17	0.29	25.8×17.1	9.59	-0.67	0.31	38	22	39	6.58
44	2011 DEC 08	0.31	24.0×16.5	9.28	-1.50	0.21	27	16	25	6.30
45	2011 DEC 26	0.29	21.3×17.2	9.61	-1.07	0.32	36	19	34	6.54
46	2012 MAR 11	0.31	28.1×16.7	10.11	-0.57	0.56	43	29	74	6.74
47	2012 MAR 03	0.32	29.7×15.3	10.01	-0.52	0.39	66	41	75	6.60
48	2012 MAR 04	0.37	25.3×16.1	8.25	-2.50	0.44	13	15	25	5.85
49	2011 DEC 10	0.31	31.9×16.8	9.74	-0.68	0.40	42	23	41	6.48
50	2012 MAR 01	0.28	19.6×16.9	9.80	-1.07	0.60	45	20	37	6.50

Table 2. HI derived data products: the galaxy ID, observational date, r.m.s noise per channel (24.9 km s⁻²), beam size, HI mass, total HI image S/N > 2 threshold, R1, HI half-light radius, HI 90-percent-light radius and averaged surface HI density within R25.

3 SAMPLE DEFINITIONS AND VISUAL INSPECTION OF THE HI INTENSITY MAPS

Since this paper deals with HI sizes and morphologies, we will exclude the multi-source systems from the analysis. In addition, galaxy 48 has a very complicated offset HI cloud that is kinematically connected only on one side of the galaxy (see gallery in the Appendix), and is also excluded from the current study. No obser-

vations exist for galaxy 41; galaxy 34 is not detected in HI (we have confirmed this non-detection using the Arecibo telesope). In total, we are left with a sample of 42 galaxies, which we separate into two parts according to whether they lie above or below the HI plane defined in C10. Hereafter, the galaxies which have $\Delta f(HI) > 0$ are referred to as HI-rich galaxies and the rest of the sample are referred as control galaxies. This definition is different to that adopted when



Figure 3. Relation between the observed HI mass fraction and predicted HI mass fraction, stellar mass, mass surface density and C10 HI mass fraction. The black symbols are the "HI-rich" galaxies, the orange symbols are the "control" galaxies and the diamonds mark the "multi-source" systems. In the top-left panel, the solid line is the y=x line and the dashed lines are vertically 0.25 dex away from the y=x line. In the top-right panel, the solid line shows the median relation between HI mass fraction and stellar mass found by C10, and the dashed line is vertically 0.6 dex above the solid line. In the bottom-left panel, the solid line shows the median relation between HI mass fraction and mass surface density found by C10. In the bottom-right panel, the solid line is the y=x line.

we designed the observational sample, but it now properly reflects the actual measured HI content of each system. In summary, our sample consists of 23 HI-rich galaxies and 19 control galaxies.

An atlas containing HI contour maps overlayed with the optical images is presented in the Appendix. The outermost contour corresponds to the S/N = 2 threshold column density (see Section 2.3). The HI-rich galaxy sample is shown in Figure A1, while the control sample is shown in Figure A2. Comparison of the two figures shows that the HI-rich galaxies tend to have HI disks that are very extended compared to their optical disks. Most of the HI-rich galaxies have low column density outer contours that are irregular. In some cases, the HI appears to be very clumpy (galaxies 15, 17, 20, 47), but there are also HI-rich galaxies with smooth and symmetric HI disks (galaxies 1, 6, 22, 26). In contrast, the control galaxies have much smaller HI disks; the HI disks of some of the control galaxies (galaxies 33, 38, 39 and 44) even end within the optical disk. The smallest HI disks also tend to be mis-aligned with respect to the optical disks. Galaxies 38, 39 and 42 have highly asymmetric HI distributions. The outer contours of the HI disks of most of the control galaxies are smooth compared to the HI-rich galaxies, but there are also clearly-disturbed systems (galaxies 9, 10, 39 and 42).

In the next section, we will attempt to put these "visual impressions" on more quantitative footing.

4 QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE HI IMAGES

All the HI parameters studied in the following sections are measured using the high resolution HI total intensity maps. The typical beam has a major axis of 22 arcsec and a minor axis of 16 arcsec (~ 12.0 and 8.5 kpc).

4.1 Size measurements

We quantify the size of the HI disks using three different measures: R50(HI), R90(HI) and R1. R50(HI) is the radius enclosing half of

the total HI flux , R90(HI) is the radius enclosing 90 percent of the HI flux. R1 is the radius where the face-on corrected angular averaged HI column density reaches 1 M_{\odot} pc⁻² (corresponding to 1.25×10^{20} atoms cm⁻²). Column densities are measured along elliptical rings, with the position angle and ellipticity determined from the *r*-band image, and are corrected to be face-on by scaling the column density in each pixel by $\cos\theta \sim b/a$, where b/a is the axis ratio of the galaxy measured in the *r*-band, and θ is the corresponding inclination angle. We note that there could be differences between the inclination of the optical and the HI disks, but visual inspection shows that the differences are usually small. In future work, we will fit tilted-disk models to the velocity fields in order to derive the inclination of the HI disk.

One might worry that HI-sizes of Bluedisk galaxies will be over-estimated with respect to their optical sizes, because of resolution effects. In order to quantify this for our Bluedisk sample, we have transformed the images of nearby, large angular size galaxies by placing them at the same redshift as the Bluedisk galaxies and convolving them with the WSRT beam. We selected 51 galaxies from the WHISP survey (Westerbork observations of neutral Hydrogen in Irregular and SPiral galaxies, van der Hulst et al. 2001; Swaters et al. 2002) with optical images available from the SDSS and with stellar masses above $10^{9.8}$ M_{\odot}. The WHISP galaxies have a median distance of $z{\sim}0.008$ and a median apparent size of 2.3 arcmin. We make use of the full resolution total intensity maps, which were obtained with a typical beam of 16×10 arcsec². Since the HI disk sizes are much larger than the beam size, the sizes measured from the originial WHISP maps can be viewed as intrinsic sizes. Then the WHISP galaxies are shifted to the median redshift of the Bluedisk galaxies (0.026) by rebinning the pixels, and convolved with a Gaussian kernel so that they end up with a PSF of $22 \times 16 \text{ arcsec}^2$. We measure the apparent sizes R50, R90 and R1 from the shifted WHISP galaxies (size(shift)), and compare them with the apparent sizes that are expected at the redshift of 0.026 from the intrinsic values measured from the original WHISP images (size(expected)). We can see from Figure 4 that size(shift) correlates tightly with size(expected), and that for most galaxies, size(shift) is larger than size(expected) by <0.1 dex. At the smaller size end where R90<10^{1.4} arcsec or R50<10^{1.2} arcsec, the overestimation can be as large as 0.2 dex, but almost all the Bluedisk galaxies have an apparent size larger than that. We thus conclude that we can robustly measure the sizes R50, R90 and R1 from the Bluedisk total intensity maps.

4.2 Morphology measurements

4.2.1 CAS parameters

Gini, M20 and Asymmetry (*A*) are traditional morphological parameters based on the concentration-asymmetry-smoothness (CAS) system (Lotz et al. 2004; Conselice 2003), which have been widely applied in optical studies of galaxies. The Gini parameter measures the smoothness of the light, M20 measures the central concentration of the light and *A* measures the 180 degree rotational difference around the center. Individually, or in combination, these parameters have been demonstrated to be effective in detecting signatures of galactic mergers or interactions.

In this paper, we measure Gini, M20 and A using the HI total intensity maps. Most of the calculation steps are similar to Lotz et al. (2004), with the following adaptations: (1) we use a lower limit of 0.7×10^{20} atoms cm⁻² to select the pixels used in the calculation. As described in the previous section, 0.7×10^{20} atoms cm^{-2} is a safe lower limit for all the images with a Robust weighting of 0.4 and no tappering; (2) we do not apply a background correction to *A* (while the optical analysis does) because the background noise is a channel dependent term. We note that the optical criteria used to identify interactions/mergers will not be directly applicable here. Our intention is to carry out a *relative* comparison between HI-rich Bluedisk galaxies and the control sample.

4.2.2 Morphological parameters that are sensitive to lower column-density gas

Gini, M20 and *A* are mainly sensitive to the regions of the galaxies containing high column-density gas, and thus may not be sensitive diagnostics of recent accretion events. We quantify the irregularity of the low column-density outer disks by defining 3 new parameters: Δ Center, Δ PA and Δ Area, which are all measured from the 0.7×10^{20} surface density contour of the HI maps. For each galaxy, we fit an ellipse to the 0.7×10^{20} contour by using the uniformly weighted second order moment of the pixel positions inside the contour (see the left-top panel of Figure 5).

Optical centers, position angles and ellipticities are measured from the SDSS *r*-band images using SExtractor ³, using the standard method of measuring the flux weighted second order moments of the spatial distribution of the flux (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). Our new morphological parameters are defined as follows:

(i) Δ Center is calculated as the distance between the center of the HI ellipse and the center of the *r*-band ellipse, normalized by the semi-major axis of the HI ellipse (see the right-top panel of Figure 5).

(ii) ΔPA is calculated as the difference between the position angle of the HI ellipse and the position angle of the *r*-band ellipse (see the left-bottom panel of Figure 5). The position angle of face-on disks cannot be accurately estimated from images alone, so galaxies which have the minor-to-major axis ratio larger than 0.85 either in the optical or in the HI are excluded. This affects 2 galaxies from the HI rich sample and 2 galaxies from the control sample.

(iii) Δ Area is calculated as the difference in area enclosed by the 0.7×10²⁰ HI contour and the best-fit ellipse, normalized by the total area inside the contour (see the right-bottom panel of Figure 5).

 Δ PA and Δ Center both measure the mis-alignment of the HI disk with respect to the stellar disk. The mis-alignment could be due to clumpiness in the HI distribution. When the HI disk is more extended than the optical disk, the mis-alignment is very likely to be caused by a warp (Józsa 2007). Specifically, a significant Δ Centre would be indicative of an asymmetric warp, and a large Δ PA would be indicative of a symmetric, S-shaped warp. There have been studies showing that large HI warps are more frequently found in galaxies in rich environments (García-Ruiz et al. 2002).

Finally, Δ Area measures the distortion of the outermost part of a disk from a symmetric elliptical shape, i.e. the clumpiness of the outer HI disk.

4.3 Error estimation for size and morphological measurements

We estimate the errors on the HI size/morphological parameters by means of Monte-Carlo simulations. We construct a set of "perturbed" cubes and then calculate the variation of the size/

³ http://www.astromatic.net/software/sextractor

mom0 9



Figure 4. WHISP galaxies are shifted and convolved with the WSRT beam to have similar appearance to the Bluedisk galaxies. R(shift) are the sizes measured from the shifted and convolved images, and R(expected) are the intrinsic sizes expected at the redshifts of the Bluedisk galaxies. The crosses show the sizes of the Bluedisk galaxies.



Figure 5. An illustration of how $\triangle Center$, $\triangle PA$ and $\triangle Area$ are measured for one galaxy. The left-top panel displays the optical image overlayed with the 0.7×10^{20} atoms cm⁻² HI contour (cyan line). The pink ellipse is the best-fit ellipse for the region enclosed by the cyan contour and the green ellipse indicates the ellipse-fit to the *r*-band image from which we measure the center, position angle and ellipticity of the optical disk. The top-right panel illustrates how \triangle Centeris measured as the distance between the centers of the pink and the green ellipses, normalized by the semi-major axis of the pink ellipse. The bottom-left panels shows how $\triangle PA$ is measured as the difference between the major axis orientations of the pink and green ellipses. The bottom-right panels show how $\triangle Area$ is measured as the area of the grey regions, normalized by the area enclosed by the cyan line.

morphological parameters. The r.m.s value of the noise for each cube is listed in Table 2, but we must take into account the fact that the pixels in the data cube are not independent. We simulate the noise by assuming that it has a Gaussian distribution with σ_{gauss} approximately equal to the r.m.s of the data cube. We construct 200 noise cubes and then convolve them with the WSRT beam in the spatial domain and a Gaussian with FWHM of 2.2 pixels in the velocity domain ¹. We create 200 "perturbed" data cubes by adding each noise cube to the real data cube. So the perturbed data cube has a noise that is $\sim \sqrt{2}$ times the noise in the actual data cube. We then project the 200 "perturbed" data cubes to form 200 HI images, using the same masks as for the original data cube.² We measure sizes and morphological parameters for these 200 perturbed HI images. We find the mean of the 200 measurements does not vary much (smaller than the errors shown below) from the parameters measured from true data cubes, suggesting no significant systematical effect caused by noise. The standard deviation around the mean is adopted as the error on each parameter. The perturbed data cube has a larger noise than the actual data cube, so the estimated error can be viewed as a lower limit of the true error. This is confirmed by enlarging the noise in the noise cube, and we find the errors of parameters increase nearly linearly with the noise of the perturbed cube.

The Bluedisk galaxies have typical 1 σ error of 0.24 arcsec in R50, 0.55 arcsec in R90, 0.86 arcsec in R1, 0.042 in M20, 0.007 in Gini, 0.017 in A, 0.013 in Δ Area, 1.4 deg in Δ PA, and 0.006 in Δ Center.

5 RESULTS

5.1 The HI mass-size relation

It is well known that there is a tight correlation between D1, the diameter of the HI disk, and the total mass in atomic gas (M(HI)) in the galaxy. The relation changes very little from normal spiral galaxies (Broeils &Rhee 1997), cluster spiral galaxies (Verheijen & Sancisi 2001), late-type dwarf galaxies (Swaters et al. 2002) to early-type disk galaxies (Noordermeer et al. 2005).

In the left panel of Figure 6, the solid line shows the best-fit relation between D1 and M(HI) from Broeils &Rhee (1997), and our Bluedisk galaxies are plotted on top. The HI-rich galaxies in our sample lie on the same D1-M(HI) relation as normal spiral galaxies, though offset to higher HI mass and larger HI size compared to the control sample. The control galaxies lie exactly on the Broeils &Rhee (1997) relation when M(HI)>10^{9.3}M_☉, but deviate towards higher D1 values at fixed M(HI) by 0.05-0.1 dex when M(HI)<10^{9.3}M_☉. These galaxies all have R1<35 arcsec, and according to Figure 4 their sizes are over-estimated by ~0.1 dex. We note that 5 out of the 6 galaxies with the lowest values of M(HI) lie far below the C10 HI plane ($\Delta f(HI) < -0.48$). The only exception, galaxy 27, has an HI distribution that is strongly off-center compared to the optical disk (see Section 3).

In Figure 7, we plot the ratio of HI and optical sizes (R1/R25) as a function of the stellar mass of the galaxy, stellar surface mass density and concentration index of the *r*-band light. We can see



Figure 6. The M(HI)- D1 relation. The solid line is from Broeils et al. 1997. The blue dots are the HI rich galaxies and the red dots are the control galaxies. The typical 1 σ error for D1 is 0.86 arcsec (Sect. 4.3).

that R1/R25 does not correlate with stellar concentration, which is related to the bulge-to-disk ratio of the galaxy. R1/R25 is only weakly correlated with stellar mass and mass surface density. At fixed stellar mass, surface density and concentration, the HI rich galaxies have larger R1/R25 than the control galaxies. The difference is about 0.2 dex in log(R1/R25) on average.

5.2 Comparison of disk structure in HI, UV and optical

In this section, we compare structural parameters derived for the HI distribution, including size, concentration, surface density and asymmetry index with those derived from the UV and optical light. As we progress to longer wavelengths, we investigate the structure of progressively older stellar populations. The goal of this exercise is to investigate the degree to which the structure of the HI is correlated with the structure of the old stars.

We compare the size R90(HI) with R90 measured from the NUV, *g*, *i* and *z* band images in the top row of Figure 8. In the top left panel we see that R90(HI) correlates well with R90(NUV), with a correlation coefficient of ~ 0.7. The HI-rich galaxies are off-set from the control galaxies both in HI size and in UV-size. In the next three panels, we see that the correlation between R90(HI) and R90(optical) becomes progressively worse towards longer wavelengths and HI-rich galaxies are no longer significantly offset along the x-axis. The second row of the figure repeats the same progression for the concentration index R90/R50. The correlation between HI concentration and UV/optical concentration is significantly weaker than that for size. The progression from the UV to the z-band in terms of the strength of the correlations is not seen.

The top row of Figure 9 shows how the average HI surface density within R25 are correlated with average UV surface density, star formation rate surface density and stellar surface mass density. The right-hand panel shows that there is an overall anticorrelation between μ_{HI} and stellar mass surface density. There is a suggestion of a "break" in the relation between μ_{HI} and μ_* at $\log \mu_* \sim 8.6$, but the sample size is too small to confirm this for sure. We note that $\log \mu_* \sim 8.6$ corresponds to the stellar surface mass density where Catinella et al (2010), Saintonge et al (2011) and Kauffmann et al (2012) identified a sharp transition to a population of "quenched" galaxies. Below this surface mass density threshold, the HI and stellar surface densities do not appear to cor-

¹ In practice, we tune the value of σ_{gauss} until the r.m.s of the convolled noise cubes matches that of the actual data cube.

² We have tested that re-running the mask finding routine on each of the perturbed data cubes makes essentially no difference to final result, because we are not working in the limit of very noisy data.



Figure 7. The relation between the size ratio R1/R25 and stellar mass (M_*), stellar mass surface density (μ_*) and concentration (R90/R50). The blue dots are the HI rich galaxies and the red dots are the control galaxies. The correlation coefficients for the whole sample are indicated at the top of each panel. The typical 1 σ error bar for R1/R25 is plotted at the corner of the first panel.

relate with each other. Above this threshold, there is an apparent anti-correlation. We caution, however, that the stellar surface density includes the contribution of both the bulge and the disk in this regime.

 μ_{HI} correlates with both μ_{NUV} and μ_{SF} . Interestingly, the HI-rich galaxies have similar star formation rate surface density as the control sample.

In the bottom panels, we look at the correlations between the HI asymmetry index A and the the asymmetry index measured from the GALEX UV images and the SDSS *z*-band images. The correlation index hints at a potential correlation between A_{HI} and A_{NUV} , though this is difficult to substantiate in our data. A_{HI} is not correlated with A_z .

In summary, therefore, HI-rich galaxies lie on the same scaling relation between HI disk size and HI mass found for normal spirals. However, they are significantly displaced with respect to the control sample in relations that link optical and HI quantities. At fixed stellar mass, optical concentration index, stellar surface density and star formation rate surface density, HI-rich galaxies have significantly more extended HI disks than the control galaxies. The size of the HI disk only correlate with that of of the optical disk for galaxies with stellar surface mass densities less than ~ $3 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ kpc⁻². The radial extent of the UV light is found to be the best proxy for the radial extent of the HI disk. Other structural properties derived from the UV images, such as concentration and asymmetry, correlate only weakly with those derived from the HI maps.

5.3 Analysis of morphological parameters

Figure 10 presents distributions of the six morphological parameters discussed in Section 4. The blue histograms show results for the HI-rich galaxies, while the red histograms show results for the control sample. The KS-test probability that the blue and red histograms are drawn from the same underlying distribution is indicated in the top right corner of each panel.

We see that HI-rich galaxies do not differ from the control sample in their distribution of HI asymmetry or concentration indices. There is no evidence that the position angle of the HI disk is more offset with respect to the optical disk for the HI-rich sample.

The morphological parameters that differs most significantly between the HI-rich and control samples is the Gini coefficient. The median value of Gini is offset to significantly higher values in the

© 2012 RAS, MNRAS 000, 000-000

HI-rich sample, indicating that the HI distribution is more clumpy. There is also a significant difference between Δ Center for the two samples. The control sample exhibits a tail of galaxies where the center of the HI distribution and the center of the optical light distribution are significantly offset from each other. This tail is missing in the HI-rich sample, and corresponds to the small HI disks (see Sect. 3). If we only compare the distributions when Δ Center<0.15, the KS test probability for similarity between the two samples is still 0.03. Finally, there is weak evidence from differences in the Δ Area histograms, that HI-rich galaxies may have more irregular outer contours *on average* than the control galaxies.

In Figure 11, we show a variety of scatter-plots of one morphological quantity as a function of another one. The panel that shows the most striking separation between HI-rich galaxies and the control sample is the middle left one where Δ (Center) is plotted as a function of Gini coefficient. The segregation of the HI-rich population to a very narrow region of parameter space enclosing high Gini and low Δ Center values is very striking. The HI-rich galaxies have a median Δ Center of 0.032 with a scatter of 0.030, while the control galaxies have a median Δ Center of 0.072, with a scatter of 0.11 The HI-rich galaxies are also more frequently scattered to larger values of Δ Area at a fixed asymmetry than the control galaxies. We conclude that gas-rich galaxies have extended and clumpy disks, which are positioned almost exactly on the center-of-stellar mass of the system.

In contrast, the top right panel shows that HI-rich and control galaxies do not segregate at all the plane of Gini versus M20. This is the diagram traditionally used by optical astronomers to identify galaxies that are interacting with a companion (Lotz et al. 2004). To assess whether the HI-rich galaxies have large value of Gini because of clumpy light distribution or high central concentration), we measure M20 and Gini for both HI-rich and and control samples within $3\times$ R25, $2\times$ R25 and R25. The KS test probabilities for the two samples to have the same M20 from these three measurements are 0.29, 0.92 and 0.42, and the KS test probabilities for Gini are 0.02, 0.13 and 0.1. Within $2\times$ R25, the HI-rich and control galaxies have the same central concentration (M20), but very different Gini. The light between R25 and $2\times$ R25 shows the most striking difference between the HI-rich and control galaxies.

In a series of papers, Holwerda et al (2001a,b,c,d) applied the CAS morphological parameter system to HI maps of nearby galaxies. In particular, he investigated whether these parameters could distinguish galaxies that were visually identified as actively inter-



Figure 8. Correlations between HI, NUV, g, i and z-band sizes. The blue dots are the HI-rich galaxies and the red dots are the control sample. The dotted line is the x=y line and the dashed lines indicate the median ratio of HI-to-optical/NUV size. The numbers on the top left corners of each panel are the correlation coefficients for the whole sample. The typical 1 σ error bars for R90(HI) and R90/R50(HI) are 0.005 dex and 0.003.



Figure 9. Comparison of the surface density and asymmetry between HI, NUV (left panel), star formation (middle panel) and optical z band/stellar mass(right panel). The HI surface density here is measured as the averaged surface density within the optical R25. The blue dots are the HI rich galaxies and the black dots are the control galaxies. The numbers on the left-top corner is the correlation coefficient for the whole Bluedisk sample. The typical 1 σ error bar for A(HI) is plotted at the corner of the left-bottom panel.

acting in HI images. The combination of asymmetry and M20 was found to be an efficient diagnostic of mergers. One advantage of applying the analysis to HI rather than optical images, is that a major merger event is predicted from simulations to be visible for a longer timescale Holwerda et al. (2011c). The criterion that Holwerda et al adopted to identify interacting HI disks in the Asymmetry versus M20 plane is plotted in the top left panel of Figure 11 as a dashed line; galaxies above the line are identified as interacting. 2 HI-rich galaxies and 3 control galaxies meet that criteria (galaxies 15, 17, 38, 39 and 42), which is comparable to the interaction fraction of 13% in the WHISP sample calculated by Holwerda et al. (2011d).

6 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

This paper presents the sample selection, observational set-up, data reduction strategy, and a first comparison of the sizes and structural properties of the atomic gas disks for a sample of 25 unusually HI-rich galaxies and a control sample matched in stellar mass, stellar mass surface density, inclination and redshift.

Our main results may be summarized as follows:

• HI-rich galaxies lie on a direct extrapolation of the HI mass versus HI size relation exhibited by normal spirals, extending it HI masses of ~ $2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ and radii of ~ 100 kpc. The scatter about this relation for the HI-rich and control samples is about the same.

• HI-rich galaxies have HI-to-optical size ratios that are displaced to significantly larger values at fixed stellar mass, concentration index, stellar surface mass density and star formation rate surface mass density.

• The sizes and structural parameters of HI disks correlate very weakly with those of the optical disk, particularly for galaxies with high stellar surface mass densities. The tightest correlations are found at ultra-violet wavelengths. between HI sizes/surface mass densities an UV sizes/surface brightnesses. This is true both for the HI-rich and the control samples.

• Of the 6 morphological parameters investigated in this paper, the Gini coefficient proved to be the best discriminator of the HI-rich population.

• In HI-rich galaxies, the center of the HI disk tends to correspond more closely with the center of the optical disk than in the control sample.

• There is no evidence that the atomic gas disks of HI-rich galaxies are more asymmetric than in the control sample, though the outermost regions tend to be more irregular.

One of the key goals of the Bluedisk project was to study the morphological and dynamical evidence of recent gas accretion for galaxies with excess HI gas. Our results argue against a picture where gas-rich galaxies have experienced recent major interactions. The most striking difference between the HI-rich galaxies and the control sample are their clumpy HI disks that are generally very precisely centered on the central peak of stellar mass distribution and that are more extended with respect to the optical light compared to the control galaxies. However, these extended HI disks lie on a direct extrapolation of the HI size versus mass relation for "normal" spirals.

The similarity of the HI disks suggests that the excess gas must come in with a broad range of angular momentum and in a relatively well-ordered way. It is possible that the "order" results from the gas being initially in equilibrium with the dark matter halo. However, we also have to consider whether gas-rich satellites accreted in a misaligned configuration will be tidally disrupted and settle relatively quickly on the plane, without causing detectable perturbations to the existing disk. These questions need to be investigated with hydrodynamical simulations in a cosmological context.

These results suggest that galactic disks grow in a roughly "self-similar" manner at late times, such that their outer HI profiles always have roughly the same shape. The weak connection between HI properties and the properties of the older stellar population can arise if stellar components grow through stellar accretion in addition to gas accretion, as might be expected to be the case in high stellar surface density, bulge-dominated galaxies.

A more detailed investigation of the HI profile shapes of the galaxies in our sample will the subject of an upcoming paper (Wang et al 2013, in preparation), as will be a detailed comparison with existing semi-analytic models of galaxy formation that predict the gas and stellar surface density profiles of ensembles of galaxies in a Λ CDM Universe (Fu et al 2013, in preparation). In these models, the growth of low redshift galactic disks is predicted to be fuelled by accretion of gas in the halo that was previously heated and ejected by supernova-driven winds (Weinmann et al 2010).

We also plan to search for/rule out kinematic evidence of gas accretion in the forms of warps, misaligned or counter rotating HI components in the disk and associated gas clouds and to study the gas distributin in and around neighbouring galaxies around the HIrich and control samples. The multi-source HI systems will be included in this analysis. The reader is referred to one extraordinary galaxy (galaxy 48) with extremely wide-ranging HI clouds connected to one side of the galaxy; such systems may provide snapshots of the most prominent accretion events. The hope is that the results of this analysis can feed into preparations for future largescale HI surveys with wide-field instruments like Apertif (Verheijen et al 2008).

Finally, we would like to note that this analysis excluded 7 out of 50 (i.e. 15%) of the targeted galaxies, because they were interacting with companions, undetected, unobserved or otherwise disturbed. This is a substantial fraction of the original sample and we note that some of our conclusions about the "quiesence" of the gas-rich population may change once we come up with ways to parameterize the full population in a fair way. This will be the subject of future investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank L. Shao, B. Catinella, R. Reyes, E. Elson for useful discussions.

Milan den Heijer was supported for this research through a stipend from the International Max Planck Research School (IM-PRS) for Astronomy and Astrophysics at the Universities of Bonn and Cologne

GALEX (Galaxy Evolution Explorer) is a NASA Small Explorer, launched in April 2003, developed in cooperation with the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales of France and the Korean Ministry of Science and Technology.

Funding for the SDSS and SDSS-II has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, the Max Planck Society, and the Higher Education Funding Council for England. The SDSS Web Site is http://www.sdss.org/.



Figure 10. Histograms showing the distributions of 6 different morphological parameters. HI-rich galaxies are shown in blue and control galaxies in red. KS test probabilities that the red and blue histograms are drawn from the same underlying distribution are indicated in each panel. The typical 1 σ error bars for the morphological parameters are also plotted in the top-right corners.

REFERENCES

- Abazajian K. N. et al. 2009, ApJS, 182, 543
- Anderson, E. M., Bregman, J. N., 2011, ApJ, 737, 22
- Anderson, E. M., Bregman, J. N., Dai, X, 2013, ApJ, 762, 106
- Benjamin, R. A., 2000, RMxAC, 9, 256
- Bertin, E., Arnouts, S., 1996, aaps, 317, 393
- Briggs, D. S. 1995, PhD thesis, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology
- Broeils, A. H., Rhee, M. -H., 1997, A&A, 324, 877
- Boomsma, R.; Oosterloo, T. A.; Fraternali, F.; van der Hulst, J. M.; Sancisi, R., 2008, A&A, 490, 555
- Catinella, B., Schiminovich, D., Kauffmann, G., Fabello, S., Wang, J., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 683
- Collins, J. A., Benjamin, R. A., Rand, R. J., ApJ, 578, 98

Conselice, C., 2003, ApJS, 147,1

- Dai, X, Anderson, M. E., Bregman, J. N., Miller, Jon M., 2012, ApJ, 755, 107
- Fraternali, F., Binney, J. J., 2006, MNRAS, 366, 449
- Fraternali, F., Binney, J. J., 2008, MNRAS, 386, 935
- Fraternali, F.; Marasco, A.; Marinacci, F.; Binney, J., 2013, ApJ, 746, 21
- Fraternali, F., Oosterloo., T., Sancisi, R., 2004, A&A, 424, 485
- Fraternali, F., Tomassetti, M., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 2166
- Fraternali, F., van Moosel, G., Sancisi, R., Oosterloo, T., 2002, AJ, 123, 3124
- Fu, J., Guo, Q., Kauffmann, G., Krumholz, M. R., 2010, MNRAS, 409, 515
- García-Ruiz, I., Sancisi, R., Kuijken, K., 2002, A&A, 394, 769
- Giovanelli, R., Haynes, M. P., Kent, B. R., Perillat, P., Saintonge,



Figure 11. Scatter plots showing HI-rich (blue) and control (red) galaxies in 2-dimensional morphological parameter spaces. The dashed line is taken from Holwerda et al. (2011c); most of the prominently interacting galaxies in the WHISP sample lie above this line. The typical 1 σ error bars for the morphological parameters are plotted in the top-right corners.

A., Brosch, N., Catinella, B., et al. 2005, AJ, 130, 2598

- Heald, G. H., Rand, R. J., Benjamin, R. A., Bershady, M. A., 2007, ApJ, 663, 933
- Heald, G. H., Józsa, G., Serra, P., Zschaechner, L., Rand, R., Fraternali, F., Oosterloo, T., Walterbos, R., Jütte, E., Gentile, G., 2011, A&A, 526, 118
- Heald, G. H., Józsa, G., Serra, P., Zschaechner, L., Rand, R., Fraternali, F., Oosterloo, T., Walterbos, R., Jütte, E., Gentile, G.,

2011, A&A, 526, 118

- Holwerda, B. W.; Pirzkal, N.; de Blok, W. J. G.; Bouchard, A.; Blyth, S.-L.; van der Heyden, K. J.; Elson, E. C., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2401
- Holwerda, B. W.; Pirzkal, N.; de Blok, W. J. G.; Bouchard, A.; Blyth, S.-L.; van der Heyden, K. J.; Elson, E. C., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2415
- Holwerda, B. W.; Pirzkal, N.; Cox, T. J.; de Blok, W. J. G.;

Weniger, J.; Bouchard, A.; Blyth, S.-L.; van der Heyden, K. J., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2426

- Holwerda, B. W.; Pirzkal, N.; de Blok, W. J. G.; Bouchard, A.; Blyth, S.-L.; van der Heyden, K. J., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2437
- Huang, M., Kauffmann, G., Chen, Y., Moran, S. M., Heckman, T. M., Davé, R., Johansson, J., 2013, accepted by MNRAS, (arXiv1301.5640)
- Józsa, G. I. G., 2007, A&A, 468, 917
- Kamphuis, P., Peletier, R. F., Dettmar, R.-J., van der Hulst, J. M., van der Kruit, P. C., Allen, R. J., 2007, A&A, 468, 951
- Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., 1983, ApJ, 272, 54
- Li, C. Kauffmann, G., Fu, J., Wang, J., Catinella, B., Fabello, S., Schiminovich, D., Zhang, W., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 1471
- Lotz, J. M., Primack, J., Madau, P., 2004, AJ, 613, 262
- Lotz, M. J., Jonsson, P., Cox, T. J., Primack, J. R., 2010, MNRAS, 404, 575
- Maller, A. H., Bullock, J. S., 2004, MNRAS, 355, 694
- Marinacci, F., Fraternali, F., Nipoti, C., Binney, J., Ciotti, L., Londrillo, P., 2011, MNRAS, 415, 1534
- Marinacci, F.; Fraternali, F.; Binney, J.; Nipoti, C.; Ciotti, L.; Londrillo, P., 2012, EPJWC, 1908008M
- Martin, A. M., Papastergis, E., Giovanelli R., Haynes M. P., Springob C. M., Stierwalt S., 2010, ApJ, 723, 1359
- Moran, S. M., Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G., Davé, R., Catinella, B., Brinchmann, J., Wang, J., 2012, ApJ, 745, 66
- Noordermeer, E., van der Hulst, J. M., Sancisi, R., Swaters, R. A., van Albada, T. S., 2005, A&A, 442, 137
- Oosterloo, T. A., Morganti, R., Sadler, E. M., van der Hulst, T., Serra, P., 2007, A&A, 465, 787
- Oosterloo, T. A., Fraternali, F., Sancisi, R., 2007, AJ, 134, 1019
- Pagel, B. E. J.; Patchett, B. E., 1975, MNRAS, 172, 13
- Richter, P., 2012, ApJ, 750, 165
- Putman, M. E., 2006, AJ, 131, 771
- Roberts, M. S., Haynes, M. P., 1994, ARA&A, 32, 115
- Saintonge, A., Kauffmann, G., Wang, J., Kramer, C., Tacconi, L. J., 2011, /mnras, 415, 61
- Swaters, R. A., van Albada, T. S., van der Hulst, J. M., Sancisi, R., 2002, A&A, 390, 829
- Serra, Paolo; Oosterloo, Tom; Morganti, Raffaella; Alatalo, Katherine; Blitz, Leo; Bois, Maxime; Bournaud, Frdric; Bureau, Martin; Cappellari, Michele; Crocker, Alison F.; and 16 coauthors, 2012, MNRAS, 422, 1835
- van den Bergh, S., 1962, AJ, 67, 486
- Verheijen, M. A. W., Sancisi, R., 2001, A&A, 370, 765
- van der Hulst, J. M.; van Albada, T. S.; Sancisi, R.,2001, ASPC, 240, 451V
- Wang, J., Kauffmann, G., Overzier, R., Catinella, B. Schiminovich, D., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 412, 1081
- White S. D. M., Rees M. J., 1978, MNRAS, 183, 341
- Zhang, W., Li, C., Kauffmann, G., Zou, H., Catinella, B., Shen, S., Guo, Q., Chang, R., 2009, MNRAS, 397, 1243
- Zschaechner, L. K., Rank, R. J., Heald, G. H., Gentile, G., Kamhuis, P., 2011, ApJ, 740, 35
- Zschaechner, L. K., Rank, R. J., Heald, G. H., Gentile, G., Józsa, G., 2012, ApJ, 760, 37

APPENDIX A: ATLAS OF THE BLUEDISK GALAXIES

To get a visual impression of the difference between the HI-rich and control samples, we display the HI surface density maps overlayed on the SDSS optical images (obtained from the SDSS DR7 CAS visual tools 2) for the two samples separately in Figure A1 and A2. All the maps have the same size of 140 kpc. The outmost contour has a level of the estimated detection threshold of the total HI image (see Section 2.3). The galaxies that are excluded from analysis in this work are also displayed in Figure A3.

 $^2\ http://skyserver.sdss.org/public/en/tools/chart/list.asp$

mom0 17



Figure A1. HI column density contours on the optical images for the HI-rich galaxies. All the maps have a size of 140 kpc, and the length of 10 kpc is displayed at the top-right corner. The galaxy ID is denoted in brackets at bottom-left corner of each map. The outmost contour has a column density equivalent to the estimated detection threshold of the total HI image(see Section 2.2) and is denoted in unit of 10^{20} atoms cm⁻¹ in the bottom-left corner of each map. The contour levels increase with a step of 2.5 times. The shape of the beam is plotted at the bottom-right corner of each map. All the maps are displayed as north up and east left. To be continued



Figure A1. To be continued



Figure A1. To be continued



Figure A1. -



Figure A2. HI column density contours on the optical images for the control galaxies. See caption of Figure A1. To be continued



Figure A2. To be continued



Figure A2. To be continued

Figure A2. -

mom0 25

Figure A3. HI column density contours on the optical images for the excluded galaxies. See caption of Figure A1. To be continued.

Figure A3. -