Fermi I and II (re)acceleration of cosmic rays in the ICM



Anders Pinzke

Collaborators: C. Pfrommer (Heidelberg), P. Oh (Santa Barbara), and J. Wiener (Santa Barbara)

Garching, Germany

June 15-17, 2015





Signs of non-thermal activity in galaxy clusters



A 3667 Radio: Johnston-Hollitt.; X-ray:ROSAT/PSPC. A 2163 Radio: Feretti at al, 2004

A radio relic poster child: A2256



 $\alpha_v = 0.85 \rightarrow \text{Mach} = 2.6$ How is this possible???

Biggest unknown: Shock acceleration efficiency



Outskirts dominated by low Mach number shocks. These shocks have low acceleration efficiency.

Diffusive shock acceleration – reacceleration through Fermi I



Fermi I reacceleration:

e.g. Kang and Ryu, 2011, Kang+ 2012, Pinzke+ 2013, Bonafede+ 2014, Vazza+ 2014

Fossil CR electron population



Drum Roll, Please!

Fermi-I re-acceleration in radio relics



Fossil contribution comparable to direct injection at high M Dominates at low M !

Giant radio halo – Fermi II reacc.

Relativistic populations and radiative processes in clusters:



Hadronic: e.g. Ensslin+ 2011, Wiener+ 2013, Zandanel+ 2013, Zandanel and Ando 2014, Pfrommer+ 2004,2008, Pinzke and Pfrommer 2010, Pinzke+ 2012

Fermi II reacceleration: e.g. Brunetti+ 2001,2004,2012, Brunetti and Lazarian 2007, 2011, Petrosian 2001, Cassano and Brunetti 2005

Fermi II reacc. - CRs

Acceleration mechanism: Compressible MHD turbulence L_{inj} = 300 kpc, $(V_{turb}/C_s)^2$ =0.22, τ_{reacc} = 650 Myr, isotropic Kraichnan turbulence

$$D_{pp}(p,t) = \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{p^2}{c} \left\langle \frac{\beta_{pl} |B_k|^2}{16\pi W} \right\rangle \frac{1}{c_s^2} \left(\frac{2I_o \langle V_{\rm ph} \rangle}{7\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta V_{\rm ph}^2 \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right) \left(1 - (\frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{cut}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sin^3(\theta)}{|\cos(\theta)|} \mathcal{H}\left(1 - \frac{V_{\rm ph}/c}{\cos\theta} \right)$$



Brunetti and Lazarian 2007, 2011, Brunetti+ 2012

Fermi II reacc. - uncertainties

★ flat CR profile (out to ~0.4 R₂₀₀)

- strong tension with simulations



Fermi II reacc. - uncertainties

★ flat CR profile (out to ~0.4 R₂₀₀)

- strong tension with simulations



Realistic cluster simulations with relevant physics need to fully establish Fermi II reacceleration models!

Streaming and diffusion – CR protons

Ensslin+ 2011, Zandanel+ 2013, 2014, Wiener+ 2013

Small anisotropy in CRs (frame of waves) \Rightarrow momentum transfer CRs \rightarrow waves 10^{-9} t=0 Gvr \Rightarrow wave growth rate t=0.5 Gyr t=1 Gvr 10^{-10} $\Gamma_{
m CR}(k_{\parallel}) \sim \Omega_0 rac{n_{
m CR}(>\gamma)}{n_{
m i}} \left(rac{v_{
m s}}{v_{
m A}} - 1
ight) \qquad k_{\parallel} \sim rac{1}{\mu r_{
m L}}$ t=1.5 Gvr(p=30)Kulsrud and Pearce 1969 10^{-11} $4\pi p^{3}f_{p} (cm^{-3})$ \Rightarrow grows until scattering renders CRs isotropic, $V_{\rm D} \sim V_{\rm A}$ 10^{-12} \Rightarrow self-confinement 10-13 Turbulence damps growth of waves since

waves cascade to smaller scales before scattering CRs Farmer and Goldreich 2004

Adopt steady state, $\Gamma_{grow} = \Gamma_{damp}$ $v_{\rm D} = v_{\rm A} \left(1 + 1.2 \frac{B_{\mu \rm G}^{1/2} n_{i,-3}^{1/2}}{L_{\rm MHD,100}^{1/2} n_{\rm CR,-10}} \gamma_{100}^{n-3.5} 10^{2(n-4.6)} \right)$ *Wiener+ 2013*

CR protons in clusters stream outward faster than inward turbulent advection

10

100

r (kpc) Wiener+ in prep.

1000

10-14

Fermi II reacc. - three scenarios

1) Flat turbulent profile (M-turbulence, $\alpha_{tu} = 0.66$)

- secondary CRes and CRps, reaccelerated by flat turbulent profile
- $-\alpha_{tu}$ < 1 motivated by cosmological simulations, Lau et al. 2009; Shaw et al. 2010; Battaglia et al. 2012

2) Streaming CRps (M-streaming, $\alpha_{tu} = 0.81$)

- secondary CRes and streamed CRps, reaccelerated

- CRp streaming needed in hadronic model, unexplored for ICM, *Ensslin+ 2011, Zandanel+ 2013, 2014, Wiener+ 2013, Pinzke+15*



Fermi II reacc. – radio spectrum



All three proposed scenarios reproduce observed radio spectrum
 Pure hadronic model (DSA only) can not reproduce spectrum

Fermi II reacc. – radio profiles



Fermi II reacc. – gamma-rays



Fermi-LAT can probe *M-streaming* and *M-turbulence* in near future!

Take home messages

Radio relics

Fermi I reaccelerated fossil CR electrons in cluster outskirts can explain radio emission from low Mach number shocks

Giant radio halos

Classical hadronic models ruled out by radio observations

- Fermi II reacceleration preferred, however, tension between initial CR distribution and simulations
 - 3 different solutions to the problem
 - primary CRes (large K_{ep})
 - *streaming CRps* that produce secondary CRes
 - CRps and secondary CRes reaccelerated by flat turbulent profile

Fermi I & II reacc. can reproduce both radio and gamma-ray observations in halos and relics!

Thank You