Stars, Planets and Galaxies 2018 Harnack House, Berlin

# The imprint of the initial conditions on large-scale structure

Simon White Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics



The Planck map of  $T_{CMB}$  – the initial conditions for all structure growth



The Planck map of  $T_{CMB}$  – the initial conditions for all structure growth A pure gaussian random field – fully specified by its power spectrum



The Planck map of  $T_{CMB}$  – the initial conditions for all structure growth A pure gaussian random field – fully specified by its power spectrum

The observed spectrum is well fit by a 6-parameter flat  $\Lambda$ CDM model for the contents of the hi-z universe and the origin of all structure.

### The six parameters of the minimal ΛCDM model

anck Collaboration 2013 Planck+WP		Planck+WP
Parameter	Best fit	68% limits
$\Omega_{\rm b} h^2$	0.022032	$0.02205 \pm 0.00028$
$\Omega_{ m c}h^2$	0.12038	$0.1199 \pm 0.0027$
100 <i>θ</i> <sub>MC</sub>	1.04119	$1.04131 \pm 0.00063$
τ	0.0925	$0.089^{+0.012}_{-0.014}$
$n_{\rm s}$	0.9619	$0.9603 \pm 0.0073$
$\ln(10^{10}A_{\rm s})$	3.0980	$3.089^{+0.024}_{-0.027}$

## The six parameters of the minimal ΛCDM model

Planck Collaboration 2013

Planck+WP

Parameter	A 1.5% r	neasurement of	the cosmic baryon density
$\Omega_{ m b}h^2$		0.022032	$0.02205 \pm 0.00028$
$\Omega_{\rm c}h^2$		0.12038	$0.1199 \pm 0.0027$
$100\theta_{\rm MC}$ A 40 $\sigma$ determined	ction of nor	baryonic DM	using <u>only</u> z ~1000 data!
au		0.0925	$0.089^{+0.012}_{-0.014}$
$n_{\rm s}$		0.9619	$0.9603 \pm 0.0073$
$\ln(10^{10}A_{\rm s})$		3.0980	$3.089^{+0.024}_{-0.027}$

## The six parameters of the minimal ΛCDM model

Planck Collaboration 2013

Planck+WP

Paramet	<b>er</b> A 1.5% m	neasurement of t	he cosmic bary	on density			
$\Omega_{ m b}h^2$		0.022032	0.02205 ±	0.00028			
$\Omega_{\rm c}h^2$		0.12038	0.1199 ±	0.0027			
$100\theta_{MC}$ A 40 $\sigma$ detection of nonbaryonic DM using <u>only</u> z ~1000 data!							
au	86σ in 2015	using Planck o	data alone!!	0.012 0.014			
$n_{\rm s}$		0.9619	$0.9603 \pm$	0.0073			
$\ln(10^{10}A_{\rm s})$ .		3.0980	3.089_	0.024 0.027			

### Information content of the Planck CMB map



### Late-time mass fluctuations from CMB lensing



The lensing signal in the CMB pattern is imprinted at 0.5 < z < 2.5</li>
 gravitational structure growth since z ~ 1000 is as expected

## Ly $\alpha$ forest spectra and small-scale initial structure

Viel, Becker, Bolton & Haehnelt 2013



Transmitted quasar flux in hydrodynamic simulations of the intergalactic medium in  $\Lambda$ CDM and WDM models.

High-frequency power is missing in the WDM case

### Lyman a forest spectra for WDM relative to CDM



### Mean mass profiles around low-redshift galaxies



Points are mean weak lensing profiles around SDSS "central" galaxies as a function of their stellar mass.

Lines are from a simulation of the formation of the galaxy population within  $\Lambda$ CDM, assuming Planck parameters.

No simulation parameters were adjusted for this comparison, but the agreement depends on the <u>astrophysical</u> modelling, i.e. which galaxies are put in which halos



#### Large-scale structure from linear theory + adhesion

In linear theory:  $\delta(\mathbf{q}, t) = b(t) \delta_0(\mathbf{q}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}, t) = \mathbf{q} - b(t) \nabla \Phi_0$ 

This is known as the "Zel'dovich approximation"

"Adhesion" assumes matter to stick at shocks, conserving M and P Together they reproduce the cosmic web simply as "amplified IC's"



Melott, Shandarin & Weinberg 1994

Lavaux & Jasche 2016



Orthogonal slices through the observed SDSS galaxy distribution

Lavaux & Jasche 2016



Orthogonal slices through the observed SDSS galaxy distribution

Mean of 10000 linear density distributions at z=1000 which evolve to produce z=0 DM distributions consistent with SDSS + Poisson sampling

Lavaux & Jasche 2016



Orthogonal slices through the observed SDSS galaxy distribution

Mean of 10000 linear density distributions at z=1000 which evolve to produce z=0 DM distributions consistent with SDSS + Poisson sampling

Mean of the z=0 set of 1000 DM distributions produced by gravitational evolution from these initial conditions

Lavaux & Jasche 2016



Orthogonal slices through the observed SDSS galaxy distribution

Mean of 10000 linear density distributions at z=1000 which evolve to produce z=0 DM distributions consistent with SDSS + Poisson sampling

Mean of the z=0 set of 1000 DM distributions produced by gravitational evolution from these initial conditions

Positions and masses of observed rich clusters are quite well matched

### The IC's also determine the z=0 distribution of halos

Consider the linear density field smoothed with a filter enclosing M

 $\delta_{s}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{M}) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x}' \,\delta(\mathbf{x}', t) \,F(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|; \mathbf{M})$ 

<u>Press-Schechter Ansatz</u>: At time t the mass element from initial position **x** is part of a halo of mass given by the largest M for which  $\delta_s(\mathbf{x}, t; M) > \delta_{thresh}$  for some threshold  $\delta_{thresh}$ 



- For suitably chosen F and  $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{thresh}$  this reproduces simulated
  - Halo mass functions, n(M, t)
  - Halo clustering on large scale,  $\xi(r; M, t)$
  - Halo assembly histories,  $P\{M_1, t_1 | M_0, t_0\}, t_1 < t_0$

The properties of z=0 halos are directly encoded in the z=1000 IC's

### The (simulated) dark halo mass function



Simulations are well converged over 8 orders of magnitude in mass

A function of PS type can fit (also as a function of t) to  $\sim 10\%$ 



The large-scale clustering of (simulated) halos as a function of M and t is also well fit by PS predictions.....

Gao et al 2005



Halos of mass  $\sim 2 \ge 10^{11} \text{ M}_{\odot}$  in a 30 Mpc/h thick slice

A random 20% of all halos shown

.....but dependences on halo formation time (concentration, spin, shape...) are not. This is known as **AssemblyBias** 

Gao et al 2005



Halos of mass  $\sim 2 \ge 10^{11} \text{ M}_{\odot}$  in a 30 Mpc/h thick slice

The earliest forming 20% of halos

.....but dependences on halo formation time (concentration, spin, shape...) are not. This is known as **AssemblyBias** 

Gao et al 2005



Halos of mass  $\sim 2 \ge 10^{11} \text{ M}_{\odot}$  in a 30 Mpc/h thick slice

The latest forming 20% of halos

.....but dependences on halo formation time (concentration, spin, shape...) are not. This is known as **AssemblyBias** 

### Defining the cosmic web at high resolution



Busch & White 2018

Use the 10<sup>10</sup> particles in the Millennium Simulation to build a Voronoi tesselation

Define m/V as the density of each cell

Define objects as connected sets of cells with density exceeding  $\rho_{thresh}$ 

As  $\rho_{thresh}/\left<\rho\right>$  drops from 10 to 5 the largest object percolates

For  $\rho_{\text{thresh}} / \langle \rho \rangle = 5$  it contains 35% of all mass but fills only 0.6% of the volume



# Bias as a function of mass and saddle point density



# **Summary?**

- Large-scale structure, the cosmic web and the spatial and mass distributions of halos can all be viewed as relatively simple distortions of the linear initial conditions
- This is because evolution is due almost entirely to gravity, and  $t_{dyn} / t_{Hubb}$  is not much less than unity
- The precisely known, gaussian nature of the IC's then translates into accurately calculable properties for halos and the cosmic web
- Galaxy formation processes occur smaller scales with shorter timescales and more physics – then nothing is accurately calculable

Chess — Mud wrestling (Martin Rees)