

## Stellar Physics: The New Era



Matteo Cantiello <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CCA, Flatiron Institute <sup>2</sup> Princeton University



## Exciting times for Stellar Physics

- Transient surveys unraveling unpredicted variety of explosive stellar deaths (e.g. PTF/ZTF, ASAS-SN, Pan-STARRS and soon LSST). We do not understand SN progenitors
- We are entering the era of high precision stellar physics (Kepler, BRITE, K2, GAIA, TESS, PLATO).
   Theory is lagging behind
- Dawn of GW-Astronomy! (LIGO/ VIRGO)
- Probing the epoch of reionization / first stars? (EDGES / JWST)











#### Know thy star, know thy planet

#### 30 Doradus

X-ray: NASA/CXC/PSU/L.Townsley et al.; Optical: NASA/STScI; Infrared: NASA/JPL/PSU/L.Townsley et al..

Reionization / Stellar Feedback. Chemical Evolution

Stellar Models

### The Computational Challenge



Ratio between largest and smallest scales can be related to the Reynolds number of the flow (assuming Kolmogorov)

### The Computational Challenge



Number of cells to model a cubic region from the largest eddies down to the viscous damping scale (direct numerical simulation). See e.g. Meakin 2008

### The Computational Challenge

Sunway-TaihuLight is currently the fastest supercomputer in the world (~10M Cpus, 93 petaFLOPS)



 $N = (8192)^3 \sim 5 \times 10^{11} \ll 10^{22}$ 

Current largest hydrodynamic simulations on PetaFLOPS class machines. Still ~11 orders of magnitude away

### Moore's Law

42 Years of Microprocessor Trend Data



Original data up to the year 2010 collected and plotted by M. Horowitz, F. Labonte, O. Shacham, K. Olukotun, L. Hammond, and C. Batten New plot and data collected for 2010-2017 by K. Rupp

#### First DN Simulation of the Sun? (Assuming Moore's law)

### $1.5 \log_2 10^{11} \sim 55$ years from now



### It's worse than that...

Not only the spatial dynamical range is huge, but the hierarchy of relevant timescale also poses an immense challenge (~10<sup>15</sup> time steps to simulate full evolution!)

$$t_{\rm dyn} \equiv \left(\frac{R_\odot^3}{GM_\odot}\right)^{1/2} \approx 1600 \,\mathrm{s}$$

$$t_{\rm KH} \approx \frac{GM_{\odot}^2/R_{\odot}}{L_{\odot}} \approx 3 \times 10^7 \, {\rm yr}$$

$$t_{\rm nuc} = \frac{0.007 M_{\odot} c^2}{L_{\odot}} \approx 10^{11} \, {\rm yr}$$



### It's worse than that...

Not only the spatial dynamical range is huge, but the hierarchy of relevant timescale poses an immense challenge too (~10<sup>15</sup> time steps to simulate full evolution!)



On ~Dynamical Timescale **~ year 2075** 

On ~Thermal Timescale ~ year 2120

Full Evolution ~ year 2145

### Models are still useful!



It is likely that many of the resulting flow features captured by incompletely resolved numerical hydro calculations are still robust/ useful to understand real astrophysical situations.

Particular attention to MHD calculations!

#### High precision big data





1D Calculations



**3D** Calculations

#### High precision big data





1D Calculations



**3D** Calculations

Open Questions: Internal Rotation & Magnetism

# Probing Stellar Interiors

"It would seem that the deep interior of the Sun and stars is less accessible to scientific investigation than any other region of the universe"

Sir Arthur Eddington, 1926

Seems to prevent the possibility of measuring important internal properties of stars, like rotation and magnetism (essential to e.g. understand some endpoint of stellar evolution, SLSNe, GRBs etc)



#### Kepler Asteroseismology: Mixed Modes



Since mixed modes live both as p-mode (in the envelope) and as g-mode (in the core), if observed at the surface their rotational splitting can give informations about e.g. rotation rate in different regions of the star! Done for red giants (Beck et al. 2012, Mosser et al. 2012)

### Kepler Asteroseismology: Mixed Modes



Since mixed modes live both as p-mode (in the envelope) and as g-mode (in the core), if observed at the surface their rotational splitting can give informations about rate in different regions of the star! Done for re (Beck et al. 2012, Mosser et al. 2012)

#### What the observations say?

Asteroseismology now allows to probe the deep interiors of stars Important results:

 Internal J-transport not fully understood Cantiello et al. (2014)
 Large coupling core-envelope seems required. Most compact objects should be slowly-rotating



Maeder & Meynet

\* Strong core B-fields potentially ubiquitous in stars above ~1.5M<sub>Sun</sub> Fuller, MC et al. (2015), Stello, MC et al. (2016)



#### Mixed Modes interacting with B-Fields



In the presence of strong Bfields, magnetic tension forces can become comparable to buoyancy

Lorentz Force ~ Buoyancy Force

Critical Field Strength

$$B_c = \sqrt{\frac{\pi\rho}{2}} \, \frac{\omega^2 r}{N}$$



Fuller + Cantiello et al. (Science 2015) Lecoanet, Fuller, MC et al. (2016) See also Loi & Papaloizou (2017,2018)



But See also Mosser et al. 2016

Stello, Cantiello, Fuller et al. (Nature 2016)

# B-Fields 101



MHD Sims: Courtesy of K.Augustson See Cantiello et al. 2016 for more...



MHD Sims: Courtesy of K.Augustson See Cantiello et al. 2016 for more...







MHD Sims: Courtesy of K.Augustson See Cantiello et al. 2016 for more...



#### Magnetic Flux Freezing & Conservation



#### Magnetar-level fields possible/common!





B-Fields can also be inherited during stellar formation (e.g. Mark Morris' talk)



#### Magnetic Flux Freezing & Conservation



Magnetar-level fields possible/common!

### Conclusions (I)

- Novel asteroseismic technique allows to reveal the presence of strong internal magnetic fields in thousand red giants
- Fields of roughly 10<sup>5</sup> G are very common in the core of stars with M>1.5M<sub>Sun</sub>
- These fields are likely dynamo generated in the star's convective core during the main sequence







Courtesy: Kyle Augustson

Open Questions: Massive Stars Evolution





Massive Stars: The most uncertain physics

Stability and energy transport Mass loss Rotation Magnetic Fields Binary interactions Silvia Toonen's

Talk

Massive Stars: The most uncertain physics

Stability and energy transport
Mass loss
Rotation
Magnetic Fields
Binary interactions Silvia Toonen's

Talk

# Massive Stars: Rotation & Magnetic Fields

- The final rotation rate and magnetization of stellar cores are important for the physics of central engines (SLSNe, LGRBs...)
- Current models for angular momentum transport relies on 1D diffusion approximation of some (local) physical mechanisms.
- Large scale magnetic fields are usually not included



See e.g. Paxton+ 2013



# Massive Stars: The most uncertain physics

Stability and energy transport
Mass loss

- Rotation (Strong internal coupling not fully understood)
- Magnetic Fields (Strong internal B-fields ubiquitous?)

Binarity (Most massive stars are in binary systems!)

## Massive Star Envelopes

- Massive stars can develop radiation dominated, loosely bound envelopes e.g Joss et al. 1973, Paxton et al. 2013
- In 1D models such super Eddington envelopes are characterized by:
  - Superadiabatic Convection
  - Density Inversions (e.g. Grafener et al. 2012)
  - Gas Pressure Inversions
  - Envelope Inflation (e.g. Sanyal et al. 2015)
  - What about 3D?

### Different regimes in Radiation Dominated Convection



Diff Rad Flux •••••• Advection Flux ••••••

$$F_{\rm dif} \sim \frac{a_r T^4 c}{\tau}$$
  
 $F_{\rm adv} \sim c_s a_r T^4$ 

Critical optical depth

 $\tau_c = c/c_s$ 

Optical depth where radiation diffusion timescale = dynamical timescale

Mixing Length Theory not supposed to work!

The Opacity



### The Opacity: Iron Peak



Paxton, MC et al. 2015 Cantiello et al. 2009 Iglesias & Rogers 1996

Strong Metallicity Dependence (Pop III)

## 3D Radiation Hydro Calculations:

**Global Calculations and Mass Loss** 



#### **Unstable Massive Stars:** Luminous Blue Variables (LBVs)



3.8

#### Unstable Massive Stars: Luminous Blue Variables (LBVs)



#### 3D Athena++, Radiation HD (VET)



#### 3D Athena++, Radiation HD (VET)



Our simulations can naturally reproduce the HRD location and mass loss properties of (some) LBVs during outburst



Our simulations can naturally reproduce the HRD location and mass loss properties of (some) LBVs during outburst



 $pprox 5 imes 10^{-6} M_{\odot}/\mathrm{yr}$ 

## Conclusions (II)

- 1. Massive stars evolution still very uncertain
- 2. Angular momentum transport and internal magnetization very important to understand transients/remnants properties
- 3. Largest source of uncertainties comes from our lack of understanding of envelope energy transport and mass loss
- First 3D global radiation hydro calculations used to study the stability and mass loss of very luminous stars. One step closer to understanding mysterious LBVs

## Thanks!



### What is the Flatiron Institute?



https://www.simonsfoundation.org/flatiron/



**Division of Simons Foundation** 

https://www.simonsfoundation.org/flatiron/

#### Center for Computational Astrophysics

Astronomical Data Compact Objects Cosmology X Data Science Galaxy Formation Gravitational Wave Astronomy Planet Formation

#### Center for Computational Biology

Biophysical Modeling Genomics Neuroscience Numerical Algorithms Systems Biology

#### Center for Computational Quantum Physics

Dynamics and Control Quantum Materials Theory and Methods Scientific Computing Core



David Spergel Rachel Somerville Greg Bryan Yuri Levin David Hogg Will Farr Phil Armitage Shirley Ho

+ 24 Postdocs & Research Scientists (~10 more starting in the fall)

FLATIRON INSTITUTE Division of Simons Foundation