# Asymmetric Type-la origin of W49B

Ping Zhou 周平 (Veni fellow)

collaborator: Jacco Vink







UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM





Ringberg — Jul 26, 2017





### **Current state of SNR<- SN explosion+environment**



**SNR gallery** 

http://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/category/snr.html



**SNR** gallery

http://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/category/snr.html

# Typing SNR with SN yields



CC model: Woosley & Weaver 1995 Type-Ia: Nomoto +1997 (WDD2)

Fe/IME — large — Type Ia Fe/IME—small — core collapse(II and Ib/c) IME—intermediate mass element



SNRs in X-ray band

(Lopez + 2011)

Type-Ia SNRs are statistically more symmetric than CC SNRs—> uniform environment & more spherical explosion?

## uniform ambient medium of Type-Ia?



Difference image between X-ray images in 2000 and 2006 (Vink 2008)

6



## Tycho in an expanding molecular bubble (Zhou+2016b)



## uniform ambient medium of Type-la?



Difference image between X-ray images in 2000 and 2006 (Vink 2008)

#### Tycho in an expanding molecular bubble (Zhou+2016b)



#### Angular offset

# past keywords of W49B



- massive progenitor~25 Msun and energetic explosion (Miceli+2006,2008,2010; Lopez +2009,2013)
  - jet-driven explosion (1/~300 SNRs, Lopez 2016)
  - likely associated with γ-ray burst
  - hosting a BH
- mixed-morphology (Rho & Petre 1998)
- dense environment (Keohane+2007, Zhou+2011, Chen+2014, Zhu+2014)
- over-ionised plasma (Kawasaki 2005; Ozawa+ 2009)
- TeV source (HESS+2016)

Doubts about energetic bipolar explosion origin of W49B

- Doubt 1: Is the jet-like structure really jet?
  - should not be across the centre now
- Doubt 2: No X-ray point-like sources (—>BH)?
  - we already see a few
- Doubt 3: small wind cavity (<6 pc) of a 25 M<sub>sun</sub> star
  - very massive star can create a much larger cavity





small wind cavity—> not a very massive progenitor star



red: warm H<sub>2</sub> gas, green:[FeII] (Keohane+2007)



$$R_{\rm b} = 15.8 \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{10^{-7} \, M_{\odot} \, {\rm yr}^{-1}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\tau_{\rm ms}}{10^7 \, {\rm yr}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{v_{\rm w}}{10^3 \, {\rm km \, s}^{-1}}\right)^{2/3} \\ \times \left(\frac{p/k}{10^5 \, {\rm cm}^{-3} \, {\rm K}}\right)^{-1/3} \, {\rm pc}, \qquad \text{Chevalier 1999}$$

Size of wind bubble —> stellar mass

 $p_5^{1/3}R_b = 1.22 M/M_{\odot} - 9.16 pc$  (Chen+2013) p<sub>5</sub>~1 in giant molecular cloud

Stellar mass M~13 Msun —> Rb~6 pc M~25 Msun—> **Rb~21 pc** 

# spatially resolved spectroscopy



raw X-ray image binned image -

276 bins 3600 counts each bin

weighted Voronoi tessellations (WVT) binning algorithm (Diehl & Statler 2006)

# spatially resolved spectroscopy



weighted Voronoi tessellations (WVT) binning algorithm (Diehl & Statler 2006)

### decompose the spectra into gas properties



abundances of metal elements

### metal abundances and masses in the hot phase

mass-weighted: Fe [Si]~3.4 [S]~4.7 [Ar]~4.7 Counts s<sup>-1</sup> keV<sup>-1</sup> 0.1 [Ca]~5.2  $M_{Fe} \sim 0.34 M_{\odot}$ [Fe]~6.1 Ni+Fe 0.01 From global spectrum: 4  $[Cr] = 10.8^{+2.0}_{-1.5}$  $M_{\rm Cr} \sim 0.008 \ M_{\odot}$ (data-model)/erroi 2  $M_{Mn} \sim 0.01 M_{\odot}$  $[Mn] = 19.7 \pm 5.6$ 0  $[Ni] = 18.1^{+11.4}_{-13.6}$ -2  $M_{Ni} \sim 0.06 M_{\odot}$ 5 6 7 Energy (keV)

#### Compare the observed values with CC nucleosynthesis models



mass (Msun)	M(Cr)	M(Fe)	M(Ni)
W49B	8E-03	0.34	6E-02
CC model 25 Msun	6E-04	4.8E-02	4E-03
bipolar CC 25 Msun	<=1E-03	<=0.16	<=6E-03
			14

the large amount of Cr, Fe, Ni can not be produced with normal/biploar CC models

### Delayed detonation (DDT) Type-Ia models





<sup>(</sup>a) Central ignition region deep inside the WD (for model N100)

### multi-spark ignition

### Intrinsic SN asymmetries reflected from the Fe distribution





0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 1 2 3 4 150 6 7 8 9 100 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20

# origin of the jet-like morphology density enhancement



0.3—10 keV image



# summary

#### https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.05107

- W49B likely has an asymmetric Type-la origin, because:
  - 1. the metal abundances match the Type-Ia models, but deviate the normal/ bipolar SNR models
  - 2. the large masses of Fe-group elements can not be produced by CC models.
  - 3. Best-fit model fitting the abundances is a multi-spark ignition DDT of Chandrasekhar-mass WD.
  - 4. Fe-distribution is strongly lateral, while intermediate-mass elements has more uniform (axial symmetric) distribution.
- The bipolar explosion is not favoured, because
  - The jet-like morphology is mainly due to an interior density enhancement
  - small wind bubble
  - There are NS candidates, but should be sources projected inside the SNR
- Type-Ia SNe can also evolve to mixed-morphology SNRs

Thanks!