Critical Tests of Theory of the Early Universe using the Cosmic Microwave Background

Eiichiro Komatsu (MPI für Astrophysik)

Großes Physikalisches Kolloquium, Univ. zu Köln May 29, 2018

Breakthrough in Cosmological Research

 We can actually see the physical condition of the universe when it was very young

From "Cosmic Voyage"

Sky in Optical (~0.5µm)

Sky in Microwave (~1mm)

Sky in Microwave (~1mm)

Light from the fireball Universe filling our sky (2.7K)

The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)

410 photons per cubic centimeter!!



Full-dome movie for planetarium Director: Hiromitsu Kohsaka

HORIZON

Beyond the Edge of the Visible Universe

Nominated for one of 12 movies at "FullDome Festival" at Jena, May 23–26, 2018

1 2:27 / 2:51

HORIZON :Beyond the Edge of the Visible Universe [Trailer]





All you need to do is to detect radio waves. For example, 1% of noise on the TV is from the fireball Universe



I:25 model of the antenna at Bell Lab The 3rd floor of Deutsches Museum

The real detector system used by Penzias & Wilson The 3rd floor of Deutsches Museum





May 20, 1964 CMB Discovered 6.7-2.3-0.8-0.1 $= 3.5 \pm 1.0 K$

Di

Z

Schreiberaufzeichnung der ersten Messung des Mikrowellenhintergrundes am 20.5.1964

13 2 4

2.4

d Cdl

E DT HUS

gration Gard

Recording of the first measurement of cosmic microwave background₅ radiation taken on 5/20/1964.







WMAP Science Team July 19, 2002

- WMAP was launched on June 30, 2001
- The WMAP mission ended after 9 years of operation



A Remarkable Story

 Observations of the cosmic microwave background and their interpretation taught us that galaxies, stars, planets, and ourselves originated from tiny fluctuations in the early Universe

•But, what generated the initial fluctuations?





Mukhanov & Chibisov (1981); Hawking (1982); Starobinsky (1982); Guth & Pi (1982); Bardeen, Turner & Steinhardt (1983)

Leading Idea

- Quantum mechanics at work in the early Universe
 - "We all came from quantum fluctuations"
- But, how did quantum fluctuations on the *microscopic* scales become *macroscopic* fluctuations over large distances?
 - What is the missing link between small and large scales?

Starobinsky (1980); Sato (1981); Guth (1981); Linde (1982); Albrecht & Steinhardt (1982)

Cosmic Inflation

Quantum fluctuations on microscopic scales

Inflation!

 Exponential expansion (inflation) stretches the wavelength of quantum fluctuations to cosmological scales

Key Predictions

 Fluctuations we observe today in CMB and the matter distribution originate from quantum fluctuations during inflation



Starobinsky (1979)



scalar

mode

• There should also be *ultra long-wavelength* gravitational waves generated during inflation

We measure distortions in space

A distance between two points in space

$$d\ell^2 = a^2(t)[1 + 2\zeta(\mathbf{x}, t)][\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t)]dx^i dx^j$$

- **ζ** : "curvature perturbation" (scalar mode)
 - Perturbation to the determinant of the spatial metric
- **h**_{ij} : "gravitational waves" (tensor mode)
 - Perturbation that does not alter the determinant



We measure distortions in space

A distance between two points in space

$$d\ell^2 = a^2(t) [1 + 2\zeta(\mathbf{x}, t)] [\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t)] dx^i dx^j$$
 scale factor

- **ζ** : "curvature perturbation" (scalar mode)
 - Perturbation to the determinant of the spatial metric
- **h**_{ij} : "gravitational waves" (tensor mode)
 - Perturbation that does not alter the determinant



Finding Inflation

Inflation is the accelerated, quasi-exponential expansion. Defining the Hubble expansion rate as H(t)=dln(a)/dt, we must find

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \dot{H} + H^2 > 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} < 1$$

• For inflation to explain flatness of spatial geometry of our observable Universe, we need to have a **sustained** period of inflation. This implies $\varepsilon = O(N^{-1})$ or smaller, where N is the number of e-folds of expansion counted from the end of inflation:

$$N \equiv \ln \frac{a_{\text{end}}}{a} = \int_{t}^{t_{\text{end}}} dt' \ H(t') \approx 50$$

Have we found inflation?

 $\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$

- Have we found $\varepsilon << 1$?
- To achieve this, we need to map out H(t), and show that it does not change very much with time

Fluctuations are proportional to H

- Both scalar (ζ) and tensor (h_{ij}) perturbations are proportional to H
 - Consequence of the uncertainty principle

[energy you can borrow] ~ [time you borrow]⁻¹ ~ H

 THE KEY: The earlier the fluctuations are generated, the more its wavelength is stretched, and thus the bigger the angles they subtend in the sky. We can map H(t) by measuring CMB fluctuations over a wide range of angles

Payment in the second states

Fluctuations are proportional to H

- We can map H(t) by measuring CMB fluctuations over a wide range of angles
 - 1. We want to show that the amplitude of CMB fluctuations does not depend very much on angles (i.e., $\varepsilon << 1$)
 - 2. Moreover, since inflation must end, H would be a decreasing function of time. It would be fantastic to show that the amplitude of CMB fluctuations actually DOES depend on angles such that the small scale has *slightly* smaller power

Data Analysis

- Decompose temperature fluctuations in the sky into a set of waves with various wavelengths
- Make a diagram showing the strength of each wavelength



Power spectrum, explained




Kosmische Miso Suppe

- When matter and radiation were hotter than 3000 K, matter was completely ionised. The Universe was filled with plasma, which behaves just like a soup
- Think about a Miso soup (if you know what it is). Imagine throwing Tofus into a Miso soup, while changing the density of Miso
- And imagine watching how ripples are created and propagate throughout the soup









Cosmic Pie Chart



- WMAP determined the abundance of various components in the Universe
- As a result, we came to realise that we do not understand 95% of our Universe...





Origin of Fluctuations

Who dropped those Tofus into the cosmic Miso soup?





















Predicted in 1981. Finally discovered in 2013 by WMAP and Planck

- Inflation must end
- •Inflation predicts $n_s \sim 1$, but not exactly equal to 1. Usually $n_s < 1$ is expected
- •The discovery of n_s<1 has been the dream of cosmologists since 1992, when the CMB anisotropy was first discovered and n_s~1(±0.4) was indicated



Slava Mukhanov (LMU) said in his 1981 paper that n_s should be

less than 1



He was awarded Max Planck Medal in 2015



3

Quantum Fluctuations give a Gaussian distribution of temperatures.

Fraction of the Number of Pixels Having Those Temperatures

0.1

0.01

0.001

1.0001

1e-05

-3

-2

Do we see this in the WMAP data?

[Values of Temperatures in the Sky Minus 2.725 K] / [Root Mean Square]



Testing Gaussianity



[Values of Temperatures in the Sky Minus 2.725 K]/ [Root Mean Square] Since a Gauss distribution is symmetric, it must yield a vanishing **3-point function**

$$\left| \delta T^3 \right\rangle \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\delta T \ P(\delta T) \delta T^3$$

 More specifically, we measure this by averaging the product of temperatures at three different locations in the sky

 $\langle \delta T(\hat{n}_1) \delta T(\hat{n}_2) \delta T(\hat{n}_3) \rangle$

Lack of non-Gaussianity

- The WMAP data show that the distribution of temperature fluctuations of CMB is very precisely Gaussian
 - with an upper bound on a deviation of 0.2% (95%CL)

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\mathbf{x}) &= \zeta_{\text{gaus}}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}} \zeta_{\text{gaus}}^2(\mathbf{x}) \text{ with } f_{\text{NL}} = 37 \pm 20 \ (68\% \ \text{CL}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{WMAP 9-year Result} \end{aligned}$$

 The Planck data improved the upper bound by an order of magnitude: deviation is <0.03% (95%CL)

$$f_{\rm NL} = 0.8 \pm 5.0 \ (68\% \ {\rm CL})$$

Planck 2015 Result

So, have we found inflation?

- Single-field slow-roll inflation looks remarkably good:
 - Super-horizon fluctuation
 - Adiabaticity
 - Gaussianity
 - n_s<1
- What more do we want? Gravitational waves. Why?
 - Because the "extraordinary claim requires extraordinary evidence"

Measuring GW

GW changes distances between two points



Laser Interferometer





Laser Interferometer



LIGO detected GW from a binary blackholes, with the wavelength of thousands of kilometres

But, the primordial GW affecting the CMB has a wavelength of **billions of light-years**!! How do we find it?

Detecting GW by CMB

Isotropic electro-magnetic fields

Detecting GW by CMB



Detecting GW by CMB



Detecting GW by CMB Polarisation



Detecting GW by CMB Polarisation



Photo Credit: TALEX

horizontally polarised

Photo Credit: TALEX



Tensor-to-scalar Ratio $\langle h_{ij}h^{ij}\rangle$

 We really want to find this! The current upper bound is r<0.07 (95%CL)

BICEP2/Keck Array Collaboration (2016)




What comes next?

Advanced Atacama Cosmology Telescope

South Pole Telescope "3G"

What comes next?

The Simons Array

Advanced Atacama Cosmology Telescope

SIMONS

OBSERVATORY

CMB-S4(?)

The Biggest Enemy: Polarised Dust Emission

- The upcoming data will **NOT** be limited by statistics, but by systematic effects such as the Galactic contamination
- **Solution**: Observe the sky at multiple frequencies, especially at high frequencies (>300 GHz)
- This is challenging, unless we have a superb, highaltitude site with low water vapour

March 17, 2014

BICEP2's announcement

First Direct Evidence of Cosmic Inflation

Release No.: 2014-05 For Release: Monday, March 17, 2014 - 10:45am

Cambridge, MA - Almost 14 billion years ago, the universe we inhabit burst into existence in an extraordinary event that initiated the Big Bang. In the first fleeting fraction of a second, the universe expanded exponentially, stretching far beyond the view of our best telescopes. All this, of course, was just theory.

SPACE & COSMOS The New York Times Space Ripples Reveal Big Bang's Smoking Gun

By DENNIS OVERBYE MARCH 17, 2014

Cambridge, MA - Almost 14 billic that initiated the Big Bang. In the far beyond the view of our best tel 17. März 2014, 17:34 Gravitationswellen

Signale aus der Geburtsstunde des Universums Von <u>Patrick Illinger</u>

January 30, 2015

Joint Analysis of BICEP2 data and Planck data

Science The New York Times Speck of Interstellar Dust Obscures Glimpse of Big Bang

By DENNIS OVERBYE JAN. 30, 2015

By Jonathan Amos Science correspondent, BBC News

Politik	Panorama	Kultur	Wirtschaft	Sport	München	Bayern	Digital	Auto	Reise	Video
Home Wissen Kosmologie - Urknall-Forscher gestehen Irrtum ein										

Süddeutsche.de als Startseite einrichten

HIr

1. Februar 2015, 22:19 Kosmologie

Urknall-Forscher gestehen Irrtum ein

Von <u>Marlene Weiß</u>

Frank Bertoldi's slide from the Florence meeting

What is CCAT-p?

CCAT-prime is a high surface accuracy / throughput 6 m submm (0.3-3mm) telescope

Cornell U. + German consortium + Canadian consortium + ...

Frank Bertoldi's slide from the Florence meeting

Where is CCAT-p? Cerro Chajnantor at 5600 m w/ TAO

A Game Changer

CCAT-P: 6-m, Cross-dragone design, on Cerro Chajnantor (5600 m)

- Germany makes great telescopes!
- Design study completed, and the contract has been signed by "VERTEX Antennentechnik GmbH"
 - CCAT-p is a great opportunity for Germany to make significant contributions towards the CMB S-4 landscape (both US and Europe) by providing telescope designs and the "lessons learned" with prototypes.

designed and built by Vertex Antennentechnik GmbH, Duisburg

CCAT-prime

designed and built by Vertex Antennentechnik GmbH, Duisburg

CCAT-p

A rendering of the unique and powerful radio telescope. Image courtesy of VERTEX ANTENNENTECHNIK.

Simons Observatory (USA)

in collaboration

South Pole?

This could be "CMB-S4"

CCAT-prime

designed and built by Vertex Antennentechnik GmbH, Duisburg

A rendering of the unique and powerful radio telescope. Image courtesy of VERTEX ANTENNENTECHNIK.

Simons Observatory (USA)

in collaboration

To have even more frequency coverage...

JAXA

+ possible participations from USA, Canada, Europe

LiteBIRD 2025– [proposed]

Target: δr<0.001

JAXA

+ possible participations from USA, Canada, Europe

LiteBIRD

2025– [proposed]

Polarisation satellite dedicated to measure CMB polarisation from primordial GW, with a few thousand super-conducting detectors in space

JAXA

+ possible participations from USA, Canada, Europe

LiteBIRD 2025– [proposed]

> Down-selected by JAXA as one of the two missions competing for a launch in mid 2020's

Observation Strategy

JAXA H3 Launch Vehicle (JAXA)

- Launch vehicle: JAXA H3
- Observation location: Second Lagrangian point (L2)
- Scan strategy: Spin and precession, full sky
- Observation duration: 3-years
- Proposed launch date: Mid 2020's

Slide courtesy Toki Suzuki (Berkeley)

Foreground Removal

Polarized galactic emission (Planck X)

LiteBIRD: 15 frequency bands

- Polarized foregrounds
 - Synchrotron radiation and thermal emission from inter-galactic dust
 - Characterize and remove foregrounds
- 15 frequency bands between 40 GHz 400 GHz
 - Split between Low Frequency Telescope (LFT) and High Frequency Telescope (HFT)
 - LFT: 40 GHz 235 GHz
 - HFT: 280 GHz 400 GHz

Slide courtesy Toki Suzuki (Berkeley)

Instrument Overview

Slide courtesy Toki Suzuki (Berkeley)

Half-wave plate

Cold Mission System

Mission BUS System

Solar Panel

- Crossed-Dragone (LFT) & on-axis refractor (HFT)
- Cryogenic rotating achromatic half-wave plate
 - Modulates polarization signal
- Stirling & Joule Thomson coolers
 - Provide cooling power above 2 Kelvin

Sub-Kelvin Instrument

• Detectors, readout electronics, and a sub-kelvin cooler

Stirling & Joule Thomson Coolers

Summary

- Inflation looks good: all the CMB data support it
- <u>Next frontier</u>: Using CMB polarisation to find GWs from inflation. Definitive evidence for inflation!
 - With CCAT-p we can remove the dust polarisation to reach r~10⁻² reliably, i.e., 10 times better than the current bound
 - With LiteBIRD we plan to reach r~10⁻³, i.e., 100 times better than the current bound

LFT and HFT focal plane units using TES

Low frequency focal plane

Three colors per pixel

with a lenslet coupling.

High frequency focal plane

- The current baseline design uses a single ADR to cool the both focal planes.
- The LF focal plane has ** TESs and the HF focal plane has ** TESs.
- The TES is read by SQUID together with the readout electronics is based on the digital frequency multiplexing system. Slide courtesy Tomo Matsumura (Kavli IPMU) The effect of the cosmic ray is evaluated by building a model. The irradiation test is in plan.

Cooling system

Cryogenics

- Warm launch
- 3 years of observations
- 4 K for the mission instruments (optical system)
- 100 mK for the focal plane

SHI/JAXA

Mechanical cooler

- The 2-stage Stirling cooler and 4K-JT cooler from the heritage of the JAXA satellites, Akari (Astro-F), JEM-SMILES and Astro-H.
- The 1K-JT provides the 1.7 K interface to the sub-Kelvin stage.

Sub-Kelvin cooler

- ADR has a high-TRL and extensive development toward Astro-H, SPICA, and Athena.
- Closed dilution with the Planck heritage is also under development.

Slide courtesy Tomo Matsumura (Kavli IPMU)

July 12, 2017

Rencontres du Vietnam @ Quy Nhon, Vietnam

Polarization modulator

ote: we also employ the plarization modulator for HFT.

otational mechanism

- Due to our focus on the primordial signal at low ell, we employ the continuously rotating achromatic half-wave plate (HWP).
- The HWP modulator suffices mitigating the 1/f noise and the differential systematics.

Broadband coverage

- The broadband coverage is done by the sub-wavelength antireflection structure.
 - The broadband modulation efficiency is achieved by using 9-layer achromatic HWP.

he continuous rotation is achieved by employing the uperconducting magnetic bearing. This system has a heritage om EBEX. The prototype system has built and test the kinetic nd thermal feasibility.

ightarrow

e 1/9 scale prototype model

 $N_{\rm p} = 1$ $N_{\rm p} = 5$ $N_{\rm p} = 10$ $N_{\rm p} = 50$ $N_{\rm p} = 100$ $N_{\rm p} = 200$ $N_{\rm p} = 200$

The proton irradiation test is conducted to key components, including sapphire, YBCO, and magnets. We have not found the nogo results. And the further test is in progress.

Slide courtesy Tomo Matsumura (Kavli IPMU)

Finding Signatures of Gravitational Waves in the CMB

- Next frontier in the CMB research
 - Find evidence for nearly scale-invariant gravitational waves
 - 2. Once found, test Gaussianity to make sure (or not!) that the signal comes from the vacuum fluctuation in spacetime
 - 3. Constrain inflation models

Are GWs from vacuum fluctuation in spacetime, or from sources?

- Homogeneous solution: "GWs from vacuum fluctuation"
- Inhomogeneous solution: "GWs from sources"
 - Scalar and vector fields cannot source tensor fluctuations at linear order (possible at non-linear level)
 - SU(2) gauge field can!

Maleknejad & Sheikh-Jabbari (2013); Dimastrogiovanni & Peloso (2013); Adshead, Martinec & Wyman (2013); Obata & Soda (2016); ...

- Do not take it for granted if someone told you that detection of the primordial gravitational waves would be a signature of "quantum gravity"!
 - Only the homogeneous solution corresponds to the vacuum tensor metric perturbation. There is no a priori reason to neglect an inhomogeneous solution!
 - Contrary, we have several examples in which detectable B-modes are generated by sources [U(1) and SU(2)]

Experimental Strategy Commonly Assumed So Far

- 1. Detect CMB polarisation in multiple frequencies, to make sure that it is from the CMB (i.e., Planck spectrum)
- 2. Check for scale invariance: Consistent with a scale invariant spectrum?
 - Yes => Announce discovery of the vacuum fluctuation in spacetime
 - No => WTF?

New Experimental Strategy: New Standard!

- 1. Detect CMB polarisation in multiple frequencies, to make sure that it is from the CMB (i.e., Planck spectrum)
- 2. Consistent with a scale invariant spectrum?
- 3. Parity violating correlations consistent with zero?
- 4. Consistent with Gaussianity?

 If, and ONLY IF Yes to all => Announce discovery of the vacuum fluctuation in spacetime
If not, you may have just discovered new physics during inflation!

- 2. Consistent with a scale invariant spectrum?
- 3. Parity violating correlations consistent with zero?
- 4. Consistent with Gaussianity?

 If, and ONLY IF Yes to all => Announce discovery of the vacuum fluctuation in spacetime

Dimastrogiovanni, Fasielo & Fujita (2017)

GW from Axion-SU(2) Dynamics



$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{GR} + \mathcal{L}_{\phi} + \mathcal{L}_{\chi} - \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{\lambda \chi}{4f} F^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu}$$

- φ: inflaton field => Just provides quasi-de Sitter background
- χ: pseudo-scalar "axion" field. Spectator field (i.e., negligible energy density compared to the inflaton)
- Field strength of an SU(2) field A^a_{ν} :

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu - g\epsilon^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$$

Dimastrogiovanni, Fasielo & Fujita (2017)

Background and Perturbation



In an inflating background, the SU(2) field has a background solution:

$$A_i^a = [ext{scale factor}] imes Q imes \delta_i^a$$

 $Q \equiv (-f\partial_{\chi}U/3g\lambda H)^{1/3}$

• Perturbations contain a tensor mode (as well as S&V)

$$\delta A_i^a = t_{ai} + \cdots$$

$$t_{ii} = \partial_a t_{ai} = \partial_i t_{ai} = 0$$

Scenario

- The SU(2) field contains tensor, vector, and scalar components
- The tensor components are amplified strongly by a coupling to the axion field
 - But, <u>only one helicity is amplified</u> => GW is <u>chiral</u> (well-known result)
- Brand-new result: GWs sourced by this mechanism are strongly non-Gaussian!

Agrawal, Fujita & EK (2017)



Agrawal, Fujita & EK, arXiv:1707.03023

Large bispectrum in GW from SU(2) fields



$$\frac{B_h^{RRR}(k,k,k)}{P_h^2(k)} \approx \frac{25}{\Omega_A}$$



Tomo Fujita (Kyoto)

$$\langle \hat{h}_R(\mathbf{k}_1)\hat{h}_R(\mathbf{k}_2)\hat{h}_R(\mathbf{k}_3)\rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{k}_i\right) B_h^{RRR}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$$

- $\Omega_A << 1$ is the energy density fraction of the gauge field
- B_h/P_h² is of order unity for the vacuum contribution [Maldacena (2003); Maldacena & Pimentel (2011)]
- Gaussianity offers a powerful test of whether the detected GW comes from the vacuum or sources

Agrawal, Fujita & EK, arXiv:1707.03023

NG generated at the tree level

$$\begin{split} L_{3}^{(i)} &= c^{(i)} \left[\epsilon^{abc} t_{ai} t_{bj} \left(\partial_{i} t_{cj} - \frac{m_{Q}^{2} + 1}{3m_{Q}\tau} \epsilon^{ijk} t_{ck} \right) \\ &- \frac{m_{Q}}{\tau} t_{ij} t_{jl} t_{li} \right] \\ e^{(i)} &= g = m_{Q}^{2} H / \sqrt{\epsilon_{B}} M_{\text{Pl}} \sim \mathbf{10^{-2}} \\ \epsilon_{B} &\equiv \frac{g^{2} Q^{4}}{H^{2} M_{\text{Pl}}^{2}} \simeq \frac{2\Omega_{A}}{1 + m_{Q}^{-2}} \ll 1 \\ m_{Q} &\equiv gQ / H \ \text{[m_{0}} \sim \text{a few]} \\ \bullet \text{ This diagram generates} \\ \text{second-order equation} \\ \text{of motion for GW} \\ \end{split}$$



 This shape is similar to, but not exactly the same as, what was used by the Planck team to look for tensor bispectrum

Current Limit on Tensor NG

• The Planck team reported a limit on the tensor bispectrum in the following form:

$$f_{\rm NL}^{\rm tens} \equiv \frac{B_h^{+++}(k,k,k)}{F_{\rm scalar}^{\rm equil.}(k,k,k)}$$

- The denominator is the scalar equilateral bispectrum template, giving $F_{\rm scalar}^{\rm equil.}(k,k,k) = (18/5)P_{\rm scalar}^2(k)$
- The current 68%CL constraint is $f_{\rm NL}^{\rm tens} = 400 \pm 1500$

Agrawal, Fujita & EK, arXiv:1707.03023

SU(2), confronted

• The SU(2) model of Dimastrogiovanni et al. predicts:

$$f_{\rm NL}^{\rm tens} \approx \frac{125}{18\sqrt{2}} \frac{r^2}{\epsilon_B} \approx 2.5 \frac{r^2}{\Omega_A}$$

- The current 68%CL constraint is $f_{\rm NL}^{\rm tens} = 400 \pm 1500$
 - This is already constraining!

Courtesy of Maresuke Shiraishi

LiteBIRD would nail it!

