#### Position-dependent Power Spectrum

~Attacking an old, but unsolved, problem with a new method~

Eiichiro Komatsu (Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics)

Understanding Cosmological Observations Benasque, August 1, 2017

#### Motivation

- To gain a better insight into "mode coupling"
  - An interaction between short-wavelength modes and long-wavelength modes
  - Specifically, how do short wavelength modes
     respond to a long wavelength mode?



#### overdensity

underdensity

#### Understanding Cosmological Observations

- My talk would fit the scope of this workshop because:
  - The goal is to **understand** the three-point correlation function a beast that many people are scared of...
  - So, we wanted to create something that is nicer and easier to deal with than the three-point function, yet to retain some of its power for cosmology. Hope you will like it!

#### Two Approaches

#### · Global

 "Bird's view": see both long- and short-wavelength modes, and compute coupling between the two directly

#### · Local

 "Ant's view": Absorb a long-wavelength mode into a new background solution that a local observer sees, and compute short wavelength modes in the new background.

#### This presentation is based on



- **Chiang** et al. "*Position-dependent power spectrum of the large-scale structure: a novel method to measure the squeezed-limit bispectrum*", JCAP 05, 048 (2014)
- **Chiang** et al. "*Position-dependent correlation function from the SDSS-III BOSS DR10 CMASS Sample*", JCAP 09, 028 (2015)



- **Wagner** et al. "Separate universe simulations", MNRAS, 448, L11 (2015)
- Wagner et al. "The angle-averaged squeezed limit of nonlinear matter N-point functions", JCAP 08, 042 (2015)

#### Preparation I: Comoving Coordinates

- Space expands. Thus, a physical length scale increases over time
- Since the Universe is homogeneous and isotropic on large scales, the stretching of space is given by a time-dependent function, **a(t)**, which is called the "scale factor"
- Then, the physical length, r(t), can be written as
  - r(t) = a(t) **x**
  - **x** is independent of time, and called the "comoving coordinates"

#### Preparation II: Comoving Waveumbers

- Then, the physical length, r(t), can be written as
  - r(t) = a(t) **x**
  - **x** is independent of time, and called the "comoving coordinates"
- When we do the Fourier analysis, the wavenumber,
   k, is defined with respect to x. This "comoving wavenumber" is related to the physical wavenumber by k<sub>physical</sub>(t) = k<sub>comoving</sub>/a(t)

#### Preparation III: Power Spectrum

500 Mpc/h

 Take these density fluctuations, and compute the density contrast:

•  $\delta(\mathbf{x}) = [\rho(\mathbf{x}) - \rho_{mean}] / \rho_{mean}$ 

Fourier-transform this, square the amplitudes, and take averages. The power spectrum is thus:

•  $P(k) = < |\delta_k|^2 >$ 



# A simple question within the context of cosmology

 How do the cosmic structures evolve in an overdense region?

500 Mpc/h

#### 500 Mpc/h



$$ar{\delta}({f r}_L) = {1\over V_L} \int_{V_L} d^3r \,\, \delta({f r})$$

500 Mpc/h





## Position-dependent $\hat{P}(k)$



## Integrated Bispectrum, iB(k)

• Correlating the local over-densities and power spectra, we obtain the "integrated bispectrum":

$$\hat{iB}_L(k) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{cut}}^3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{cut}}^3} \hat{P}(k, \mathbf{r}_{L,i}) \hat{\bar{\delta}}(\mathbf{r}_{L,i})$$

 This is a (particular configuration of) three-point function. The three-point function in Fourier space is called the "bispectrum", and is defined as

$$\langle \delta(\mathbf{q}_1) \delta(\mathbf{q}_2) \delta(\mathbf{q}_3) \rangle = B(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3) (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3)$$

#### Shapes of the Bispectrum

(a) squeezed triangle (k<sub>1</sub>2k<sub>2</sub>>>k<sub>3</sub>)

(b) elongated triangle (k<sub>1</sub>=k<sub>2</sub>+k<sub>3</sub>) (c) folded triangle (k<sub>1</sub>=2k<sub>2</sub>=2k<sub>3</sub>)







(d) isosceles triangle (k<sub>1</sub>>k<sub>2</sub>=k<sub>3</sub>)



(e) equilateral triangle  $(k_1 = k_2 = k_3)$   $k_2$   $k_3$   $k_1$ 18

#### Shapes of the Bispectrum

(a) squeezed triangle (k<sub>1</sub>**~**k<sub>2</sub>>>k<sub>3</sub>)

(b) elongated triangle (k<sub>1</sub>=k<sub>2</sub>+k<sub>3</sub>) (c) folded triangle (k<sub>1</sub>=2k<sub>2</sub>=2k<sub>3</sub>)







(d) isosceles triangle (k<sub>1</sub>>k<sub>2</sub>=k<sub>3</sub>) k<sub>2</sub> k<sub>3</sub>

k



## Integrated Bispectrum, iB(k)

• Correlating the local over-densities and power spectra, we obtain the "integrated bispectrum":

$$\hat{iB}_L(k) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{cut}}^3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{cut}}^3} \hat{P}(k, \mathbf{r}_{L,i}) \hat{\bar{\delta}}(\mathbf{r}_{L,i})$$

 The expectation value of this quantity is an integral of the bispectrum that picks up the contributions mostly from the squeezed limit:

$$iB_L(k) = \langle \hat{P}(k, \mathbf{r}_L) \bar{\delta}(\mathbf{r}_L) \rangle \qquad \mathbf{k} \qquad \mathbf{q}_3 \sim \mathbf{q}_1$$

$$= \frac{1}{V_L^2} \int \frac{d^2 \hat{k}}{4\pi} \int \frac{d^3 q_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 q_3}{(2\pi)^3} B(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{q}_3)$$
"taking the squeezed limit and then angular averaging"  $\times W_L(\mathbf{q}_1) W_L(-\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3) W_L(\mathbf{q}_3)$ 

#### Power Spectrum Response

 The integrated bispectrum measures how the local power spectrum responds to its environment, i.e., a long-wavelength density fluctuation



#### Response Function

• So, let us Taylor-expand the local power spectrum in terms of the long-wavelength density fluctuation:

$$\hat{P}(k,\mathbf{r}_L) = P(k)|_{\bar{\delta}=0} + \frac{dP(k)}{d\bar{\delta}}\Big|_{\bar{\delta}=0} \bar{\delta} + \dots$$

• The integrated bispectrum is then give as

$$iB_L(k) = \sigma_L^2 \left| \frac{d\ln P(k)}{d\bar{\delta}} \right|_{\bar{\delta}=0} P(k)$$

うて いいいしいしい

#### Response Function: N-body Results



 Almost a constant, but a weak scale dependence, and clear oscillating features. How do we understand this?

# Non-linearity generates a bispectrum

- If the initial conditions were Gaussian, linear perturbations remain Gaussian
- However, non-linear gravitational evolution makes density fluctuations at late times non-Gaussian, generating a nonvanishing bispectrum

$$\begin{split} \delta' + \nabla \cdot \left[ (1 + \delta) \mathbf{v} \right] &= 0 , \\ \mathbf{v}' + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} &= -\mathcal{H} \mathbf{v} - \nabla \phi , \quad \text{H=a'/a} \\ \nabla^2 \phi &= 4\pi G a^2 \bar{\rho} \delta , \end{split}$$

# 1. Global, "Bird's View"

overdensity

underdensity

Standard Perturbation Theory

#### Illustrative Example: SPT

 Second-order perturbation gives the lowest-order bispectrum as

 $B_{\text{SPT}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = 2[P_l(k_1)P_l(k_2)F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) + 2 \text{ cyclic}]$ "I" stands for "linear"

$$F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1 k_2} \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} + \frac{k_2}{k_1}\right) + \frac{2}{7} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1 k_2}\right)^2$$

Then

#### Illustrative Example: SPT

 Second-order perturbation gives the lowest-order bispectrum as

 $B_{\text{SPT}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = 2[P_l(k_1)P_l(k_2)F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) + 2 \text{ cyclic}]$ "I" stands for "linear"

$$F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1 k_2} \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} + \frac{k_2}{k_1}\right) + \frac{2}{7} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1 k_2}\right)^2$$

Then

$$iB_{L,\text{SPT}}(k) \stackrel{kL}{\cong} \left[ \frac{68}{21} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{d\ln k^3 P_l(k)}{d\ln k} \right] P_l(k) \sigma_L^2$$

#### Illustrative Example: SPT

 Second-order perturbation gives the lowest-order bispectrum as

$$B_{\text{SPT}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = 2[P_l(k_1)P_l(k_2)F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) + 2 \text{ cyclic}]$$
  
"I" stands for "linear"

$$F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1 k_2} \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} + \frac{k_2}{k_1}\right) + \frac{2}{7} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1 k_2}\right)^2$$

<ul> <li>Then</li> </ul>		Response, dlnP(k)/dδ			
$iB_{L,\mathrm{SPT}}(k)^{k}$	$L  \infty$	$\frac{68}{21}$ -	$\frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{d}$	$\frac{\ln k^3 P_l(k)}{d \ln k}$	$\left] P_l(k) \sigma_L^2 \right]$

#### Illustrative Example: SPT

 Second-order perturbation gives the lowest-order bispectrum as

 $B_{\text{SPT}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = 2[P_l(k_1)P_l(k_2)F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) + 2 \text{ cyclic}]$ "I" stands for "linear"

$$F_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1 k_2} \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2} + \frac{k_2}{k_1}\right) + \frac{2}{7} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2}{k_1 k_2}\right)^2$$

• Then Oscillation in P(k) is enhanced  $iB_{L,SPT}(k) \stackrel{kL \to \infty}{=} \left[ \frac{68}{21} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{d \ln k^3 P_l(k)}{d \ln k} \right] P_l(k) \sigma_L^2$ 





Lemaitre (1933); Peebles (1980)

#### Separate Universe Approach

- The meaning of the position-dependent power spectrum becomes more transparent within the context of the "separate universe approach"
  - Each sub-volume with un over-density (or underdensity) behaves as if it were a separate universe with different cosmological parameters
- In particular, if the global metric is a flat universe, then each sub-volume can be regarded as a different universe with non-zero curvature

# Mapping between two cosmologies

- The goal here is to compute the power spectrum in the presence of a long-wavelength perturbation δ.
   We write this as P(k,a|δ)
- We try to achieve this by computing the power spectrum in a modified cosmology with non-zero curvature. Let us put the tildes for quantities evaluated in a modified cosmology

 $\tilde{P}(k, \tilde{a}) \to P(k, a | \delta)$ 33

#### Separate Universe Approach: The Rules

- We evaluate the power spectrum in both cosmologies at the same physical time and same physical spatial coordinates
  - Thus, the evolution of the scale factor is different:

$$\tilde{a}(t) = a(t) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{3} \bar{\delta}(t) \right]$$

\*tilde: separate universe cosmology

#### Separate Universe Approach: The Rules

- We evaluate the power spectrum in both cosmologies at the same physical time and same physical spatial coordinates
  - Thus, comoving coordinates are different too:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{a(t)}{\tilde{a}(t)}\mathbf{x} = \left[1 + \frac{1}{3}\bar{\delta}(t)\right]\mathbf{x}$$

35

\*tilde: separate universe cosmology

#### Effect 1: Dilation

 Change in the comoving coordinates gives dln(k<sup>3</sup>P)/dlnk

$$\begin{split} \tilde{P}(k,t) &\to \left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\delta}(t)\right]^3 P\left(k\left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\delta}(t)\right], t\right) \\ &= \left[1 - \bar{\delta}(t)\right] P(k,t) \left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln P(k,t)}{d\ln k}\bar{\delta}(t)\right] \\ &= P(k,t) \left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln k^3 P(k,t)}{d\ln k}\bar{\delta}(t)\right] \;. \end{split}$$

#### Effect 2: Reference Density

• Change in the denominator of the definition of  $\delta$ :

$$\tilde{P}(\tilde{k},t) \rightarrow \left[1 + \bar{\delta}(t)\right]^2 \tilde{P}(\tilde{k},t) = \left[1 + 2\bar{\delta}(t)\right] \tilde{P}(\tilde{k},t)$$

• Putting both together, we find a generic formula, valid to linear order in the long-wavelength  $\delta$ :

$$P(k,a|\bar{\delta}) = \left[1 + 2\bar{\delta}(t)\right]\tilde{P}(k,\tilde{a})\left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln k^3 P(k,t)}{d\ln k}\bar{\delta}(t)\right]$$
$$= \tilde{P}\left(k,a\left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\delta}(a)\right]\right)\left[1 + \left(2 - \frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln k^3 P(k,a)}{d\ln k}\right)\bar{\delta}(a)\right]$$

#### Example: Linear P(k)

 Let's use the formula to compute the response of the linear power spectrum, P<sub>I</sub>(k), to the longwavelength δ. Since P<sub>I</sub> ~ D<sup>2</sup> [D: linear growth],

$$\tilde{P}_l\left(k, a\left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\delta}(a)\right]\right) = \left(\frac{\tilde{D}\left(a\left[1 - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\delta}(a)\right]\right)}{D(a)}\right)^2 P_l(k, a)$$

• Spherical collapse model gives

$$\tilde{D}\left(a\left[1-\frac{1}{3}\bar{\delta}(a)\right]\right) = D(a)\left[1+\frac{13}{21}\bar{\delta}(a)\right]$$

#### Response of P<sub>I</sub>(k)

• Then we obtain:

$$\frac{d\ln P_l(k,a)}{d\bar{\delta}(a)} = \frac{68}{21} - \frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln k^3 P_l(k,a)}{d\ln k}$$

Remember the response computed from the leading-order SPT bispectrum:

$$iB_{L,\text{SPT}}(k) \stackrel{kL}{\cong} \infty \left[\frac{68}{21} - \frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln k^3 P_l(k)}{d\ln k}\right] P_l(k)\sigma_L^2$$

• So, the leading-oder SPT bispectrum gives the response of the linear P(k). Neat!!

#### Response of P<sub>3rd-order</sub>(k)

• So, let's do the same using **third-order** perturbation theory!  $P(k, a) = P_l(k, a) + P_{22}(k, a) + 2P_{13}(k, a)$ 

$$P_{22}(k,a) \ = 2 \int rac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \ P_l(q,a) P_l(|{f k}-{f q}|,a) \left[F_2({f q},{f k}-{f q})
ight]^2$$

$$2P_{13}(k,a) = \frac{2\pi k^2}{252} P_l(k,a) \int_0^\infty \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^3} P_l(q,a)$$
  
 
$$\times \left[ 100 \frac{q^2}{k^2} - 158 + 12 \frac{k^2}{q^2} - 42 \frac{q^4}{k^4} + \frac{3}{k^5 q^3} (q^2 - k^2)^3 (2k^2 + 7q^2) \ln\left(\frac{k+q}{|k-q|}\right) \right]$$

• Then we obtain:

$$\frac{d\ln P(k,a)}{d\bar{\delta}(a)} = \frac{68}{21} - \frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln k^3 P(k,a)}{d\ln k} + \frac{26}{21}\frac{P_{22}(k,a) + 2P_{13}(k,a)}{P(k,a)}$$

3rd-order does a decent job



This is a powerful formula  
$$P(k,a|\bar{\delta}) = \tilde{P}\left(k,a\left[1-\frac{1}{3}\bar{\delta}(a)\right]\right)\left[1+\left(2-\frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln k^{3}P(k,a)}{d\ln k}\right)\bar{\delta}(a)\right]$$

- The separate universe description is powerful, as it provides physically intuitive, transparent, and straightforward way to compute the effect of a longwavelength perturbation on the small-scale structure growth
  - The small-scale structure can be arbitrarily nonlinear!

## Do the data show this?



Northern Galactic Cap

#### SDSS-III/BOSS DR11

- OK, now, let's look at the real data (BOSS DR10) to see if we can detect the expected influence of environments on the small-scale structure growth
- Bottom line: we have detected the integrated bispectrum at 7.4σ. Not bad for the first detection!





Results:  $\chi^2/DOF = 46.4/38$ 



- Because of complex geometry of DR10 footprint, we use the local correlation function, instead of the power spectrum
- Integrated three-point function,  $i\zeta(\mathbf{r})$ , is just Fourier transform of iB(k):  $i\zeta_L(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} iB_L(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{k}}$

#### Results: $\chi^2/DOF = 46.4/38$



• Integrated three-point function,  $i\zeta(\mathbf{r})$ , is just Fourier transform of iB(k):  $i\zeta_L(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} iB_L(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{k}}$ 

#### Nice, but what is this good for?

- Primordial non-Gaussianity from the early Universe
  - The constraint from BOSS is work in progress, but we find that the integrated bispectrum is a nearly optimal estimator for the squeezedlimit bispectrum from inflation
  - We no longer need to measure the full bispectrum, if we are just interested in the squeezed limit

#### Nice, but what is this good for?

- We can also learn about galaxy bias
  - Local bias model:
    - $\delta_g(x) = b_1 \delta_m(x) + (b_2/2)[\delta_m(x)]^2 + ...$
- The bispectrum can give us b<sub>2</sub> at the leading order, unlike for the power spectrum that has b<sub>2</sub> at the next-to-leading order

#### Result on b<sub>2</sub>

- We use the leading-order SPT bispectrum with the local bias model to interpret our measurements
  - [We also use information from BOSS's 2-point correlation function on f $\sigma_8$  and BOSS's weak lensing data on  $\sigma_8$ ]
- We find:  $b_2 = 0.41 \pm 0.41$

#### Simulating Ant's Views

This is a powerful formula  
$$P(k,a|\bar{\delta}) = \tilde{P}\left(k,a\left[1-\frac{1}{3}\bar{\delta}(a)\right]\right)\left[1+\left(2-\frac{1}{3}\frac{d\ln k^{3}P(k,a)}{d\ln k}\right)\bar{\delta}(a)\right]$$

- How can we compute \tilde{P}(k,a) in practice?
  - Small N-body simulations with a modified cosmology ("Separate Universe Simulation")
  - Perturbation theory

#### Separate Universe Simulation

- How do we compute the response function beyond perturbation theory?
  - Do we have to run many big-volume simulations and divide them into sub-volumes? No.
- Fully non-linear computation of the response function is possible with separate universe simulations
  - E.g., we run two small-volume simulations with separateuniverse cosmologies of over- and under-dense regions with the same initial random number seeds, and compute the derivative dlnP/dδ by, e.g.,

$$\frac{d\ln P(k)}{d\bar{\delta}} = \frac{\ln P(k|+\bar{\delta}) - \ln P(k|-\bar{\delta})}{2\bar{\delta}}$$

#### Separate Universe Cosmology

$$\rho(t) \left[1 + \delta_{\rho}(t)\right] = \tilde{\rho}(t)$$

$$\frac{\Omega_{m}h^{2}}{a^{3}(t)} \left[1 + \delta_{\rho}(t)\right] = \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_{m}\tilde{h}^{2}}{\tilde{a}^{3}(t)}$$

$$\frac{\tilde{K}}{H_{0}^{2}} = \frac{5}{3}\frac{\Omega_{m}}{a(t_{i})}\delta_{\rho}(t_{i})$$

$$\delta_{H} = \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{K}}{H_{0}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} - 1$$

$$\tilde{H}_{0} = H_{0}[1 + \delta_{H}]$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{m} = \Omega_{m}[1 + \delta_{H}]^{-2}$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\Lambda} = \Omega_{\Lambda}[1 + \delta_{H}]^{-2}$$



#### $R_1 = dlnP/d\delta$



• The symbols are the data points with error bars. You cannot see the error bars!

#### $R_2 = d^2 ln P/d\delta^2$



• More derivatives can be computed by using simulations run with more values of  $\delta$ 

#### $R_3 = d^3 ln P/d\delta^3$



• But, what do  $d^n \ln P/d\delta^n$  mean physically??

More derivatives: Squeezed limits of N-point functions  $ec{k}_1$  $ec{k}$  $ec{k}_2$ R<sub>1</sub>: 3-point function R<sub>2</sub>: 4-point function R<sub>3</sub>: 5-point function R<sub>N</sub>: N–2-point function  $ec{k}'=-ec{k}-\sum$  $\vec{k}_i$ 

 Why do we want to know this? I don't know, but it is cool and they have not been measured before!

#### Summary

- <u>New observable</u>: the position-dependent power spectrum and the integrated bispectrum
  - Straightforward interpretation in terms of the separate universe
  - Easy to measure; easy to model!
  - Useful for primordial non-Gaussianity and non-linear bias
- Lots of applications: e.g., QSO density correlated with Lyman-alpha power spectrum
- All of the results and much more are summarised in Chi-Ting Chiang's PhD thesis: arXiv:1508.03256



**Read my** 

thesis!

#### More on b<sub>2</sub>

• Using slightly more advanced models, we find:

	baseline	eff kernel	tidal bias	both*
$b_2$	$0.41 \pm 0.41$	$0.51\pm0.41$	$0.48\pm0.41$	$0.60 \pm 0.41$

\*The last value is in agreement with b<sub>2</sub> found by the Barcelona group (Gil-Marín et al. 2014) that used the full bispectrum analysis and the same model

r-space	$b_1$	$b_2$
baseline	$1.971 \pm 0.076$	$0.58\pm0.31$
eff kernel	$1.973 \pm 0.076$	$0.62\pm0.31$
tidal bias	$1.971 \pm 0.076$	$0.64\pm0.31$
both	$1.973\pm0.076$	$0.68\pm0.31$

z-space	$b_1$	$b_2$
baseline	$1.931\pm0.077$	$0.54\pm0.35$
eff kernel	$1.933 \pm 0.077$	$0.65\pm0.35$
tidal bias	$1.932 \pm 0.077$	$0.60\pm0.35$
both	$1.933\pm0.077$	$0.71\pm0.35$

#### One more cool thing

- We can use the separate universe simulations to test validity of SPT to all orders in perturbations
- The fundamental prediction of SPT: the non-linear power spectrum at a given time is given by the linear power spectra at the same time
  - In other words, the only time dependence arises from the linear growth factors, D(t)

#### One more cool thing

• We can use the separate universe simulations to test validity of SPT to all orders in perturbations

$$egin{aligned} \delta' + 
abla \cdot \left[ (1+\delta) \mathbf{v} 
ight] &= 0 \;, \ \mathbf{v}' + \left( \mathbf{v} \cdot 
abla 
ight) \mathbf{v} &= -\mathcal{H} \mathbf{v} - 
abla \phi \;, \ 
abla^2 \phi &= 4 \pi G a^2 ar{
ho} \delta \;, \end{aligned}$$

SPT at all orders: Exact solution of the pressureless fluid equations

We can test validity of SPT as a description of collisions particles

#### Example: P<sub>3rd-order</sub>(k)

• SPT to 3rd order  $P(k, a) = P_l(k, a) + P_{22}(k, a) + 2P_{13}(k, a)$ 

$$P_{22}(k,a) = 2 \int rac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} P_l(q,a) P_l(|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}|,a) \left[F_2(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})
ight]^2$$

$$2P_{13}(k,a) = \frac{2\pi k^2}{252} P_l(k,a) \int_0^\infty \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^3} P_l(q,a)$$
  
 
$$\times \left[ 100\frac{q^2}{k^2} - 158 + 12\frac{k^2}{q^2} - 42\frac{q^4}{k^4} + \frac{3}{k^5q^3}(q^2 - k^2)^3(2k^2 + 7q^2)\ln\left(\frac{k+q}{|k-q|}\right) \right]$$

- The only time-dependence is in  $P_I(k,a) \sim D^2(a)$
- Is this correct?

#### Rescaled simulations vs Separate universe simulations

- To test this, we run two sets of simulations.
- **First**: we rescale the initial amplitude of the power spectrum, so that we have a given value of the linear power spectrum amplitude at some later time, t<sub>out</sub>
- Second: full separate universe simulation, which changes all the cosmological parameters consistently, given a value of  $\delta$ 
  - We choose  $\delta$  so that it yields the same amplitude of the linear power spectrum as the first one at  $t_{\text{out}}$

#### Results: 3-point function



 To isolate the effect of the growth rate, we have removed the dilation and reference-density effects from the response functions

#### Results: 4-point function



 To isolate the effect of the growth rate, we have removed the dilation and reference-density effects from the response functions

#### Results: 5-point function



 To isolate the effect of the growth rate, we have removed the dilation and reference-density effects from the response functions

#### Break down of SPT at all orders

- At z=0, SPT computed to all orders breaks down at k~0.5 Mpc/h with 10% error, in the squeezed limit 3point function
  - Break down occurs at lower k for the squeezed limits of the 4- and 5-point functions
  - Break down occurs at higher k at z=2
- I find this information quite useful: *it quantifies accuracy of the perfect-fluid approximation of density fields*